Discussiones Mathematicae
Graph Theory 30 (2010) 257–263

NORDHAUS-GADDUM RESULTS FOR WEAKLY CONVEX DOMINATION NUMBER OF A GRAPH

Magdalena Lemańska

Department of Applied Physics and Mathematics
Gdańsk University of Technology
Narutowicza 11/12, 80–952 Gdańsk, Poland

e-mail: magda@mif.pg.gda.pl

Abstract

Nordhaus-Gaddum results for weakly convex domination number of a graph G are studied.

Keywords: weakly convex domination number, Nordhaus-Gaddum results.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C05, 05C69.

1. Introduction

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a connected undirected graph of order n. The *neighbourhood* of a vertex $v \in V$ in G is the set $N_G(v)$ of all vertices adjacent to v in G. For a set $X \subseteq V$, *the open neighbourhood* $N_G(X)$ is defined to be $\bigcup_{v\in X} N_G(v)$ and *the closed neighbourhood* $N_G[X] = N_G(X) \cup X$. The *degree* $\deg_G(v)$ of a vertex v in G is the number of edges incident to v, $\deg_G(v) = |N_G(v)|$. The minimum and maximum degree of a vertex in G we denote $\delta(G)$ and $\Delta(G)$, respectively. If $deg_G(v) = n-1$, then v is called an *universal vertex* of G. A set $D \subseteq V$ is a *dominating set* of G if $N_G[D] = V$. The *domination number* of G, denoted $\gamma(G)$, is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set in G.

Given a graph G and a set $S \subseteq V$, the *private neighbourhood* of $v \in S$ relative to S is defined as $PN[v, S] = N_G[v] - N_G[S - \{v\}]$, that is, $PN[v, S]$ denotes the set of all vertices of the closed neighbourhood of v, which are not dominated by any other vertex of S. The vertices of $PN[v, S]$ are called *private neighbours* of v relative to S.

The *distance* $d_G(u, v)$ between two vertices u and v in a connected graph G is the length of the shortest $(u - v)$ path in G. A $(u - v)$ path of length $d_G(u, v)$ is called $(u - v)$-*geodesic*. A set $X \subseteq V$ is *weakly convex* in G if for every two vertices $a, b \in X$ there exists an $(a - b)$- geodesic in which all vertices belong to X. A set $X \subseteq V$ is a *weakly convex dominating set* if X is both weakly convex and dominating. The *weakly convex domination number* $\gamma_{wcon}(G)$ of a graph G equals the minimum cardinality of a weakly convex dominating set. Weakly convex domination number was first introduced by Jerzy Topp, Gdańsk University of Technology, 2002.

The classical paper of Nordhaus and Gaddum [4] established the following inequalities for the chromatic numbers χ and $\bar{\chi}$ of a graph G and its complement $\overline{G}$, where $n = |V|$:

$$2\sqrt{n} \leq \chi + \bar{\chi} \leq n + 1,$$

$$n \leq \chi\bar{\chi} \leq \frac{(n+1)^2}{4}.$$

There are a large number of results in the graph theory literature of the form $\alpha + \bar{\alpha} \leq n \pm \epsilon$, where $\epsilon \in Q$, for a domination parameter α. Results of this form have previously been obtained for example for the domination number γ [3] and the connected domination number γ_c [2].

Theorem 1. *For any graph G such that G and $\overline{G}$ are connected,*

1. $\gamma(G) + \gamma(\overline{G}) \leq n + 1$,
2. $\gamma_c(G) + \gamma_c(\overline{G}) \leq n + 1$.

We are concerned with analogous inequalities involving weakly convex domination number. For unexplained terms and symbols see [1].

2. Results

Since G and $\overline{G}$ must be connected, we consider graphs G with $n(G) \geq 4$. We begin with the following result of Nordhaus-Gaddum type for weakly convex domination number.

Theorem 2. *For any graph G such that G and $\overline{G}$ are connected,* $4 \leq \gamma_{wcon}(G) + \gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) \leq n + 2$.

Proof. If there is an universal vertex in G, then $\overline{G}$ is not connected. Thus there is no universal vertex in G and no universal vertex in $\overline{G}$ and hence $\gamma_{wcon}(G) \geq 2$ and $\gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) \geq 2$. Thus $\gamma_{wcon}(G) + \gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) \geq 4$. Notice that equality $\gamma_{wcon}(G) + \gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) = 4$ holds if $G \cong P_4$.

Of course $\gamma_{wcon}(G) \leq n$ and $\gamma_{wcon}(G) \leq n$. We consider some cases, depending on the diameter of G.

Case 1. If $diam(G) = 1$, then there is an universal vertex in G and $\overline{G}$ are not connected.

Case 2. If $diam(G) \geq 3$, then let x, y be two vertices of V such that $d_G(x, y) = diam(G)$. Then $\{x, y\}$ is a weakly convex dominating set of $\overline{G}$ and $\gamma_{con}(G) + \gamma_{con}(\overline{G}) \leq n + 2$.

Case 3. Let $diam(G) = 2$. If $diam(G) \geq 3$, then we can exchange G and $\overline{G}$ and we have Case 2. Thus $diam(G) = 2$ and $diam(\overline{G}) = 2$. Let x be any vertex of G. Since $diam(G) = 2$, for every $v \in V$ is $d_G(v, x) \leq 2$. Let $Y = \{y \in V : d_G(x, y) = 1\}$ and $Z = \{z \in V : d_G(x, z) = 2\}$, $|Y| = k, |Z| = l$, where $k, l \geq 1$ (if $l = 0$, then there is an universal vertex in G and $\overline{G}$ are not connected). Then $n = k + l + 1$ and it is easy to observe that $D = \{x\} \cup Y$ is a connected dominating set of G. For every two vertices u, v belonging to D, the distance between u and v is not greater than two and if $d_G(u, v) = 2$, then x belonging to D is on (u, v)-geodesic. Thus D is a weakly convex dominating set of G and $\gamma_{wcon}(G) \leq |D| = k + 1$.

Since $\overline{G}$ is connected and $diam(\overline{G}) = 2$, every vertex from Y has a neighbour in $\{x\} \cup Z$ in $\overline{G}$ and hence $D' = \{x\} \cup Z$ is a connected dominating set of $\overline{G}$. For every two vertices u, v belonging to D', the distance between u and v is not greater than two and if $d_G(u, v) = 2$, then x belonging to D' is on (u, v)-geodesic. Thus D' is a weakly convex dominating set of $\overline{G}$ and $\gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) \leq |D'| = l + 1$.

Thus $\gamma_{wcon}(G) + \gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) \leq k + 1 + l + 1 \leq n + 1 \leq n + 2$. ■

The next theorem concerns of the graphs G for which weakly convex domination number is equal to the number of vertices. Let $g(G)$ denotes the girth of the graph G.

Theorem 3. *If G is a connected graph with $\delta(G) \geq 2$ and $g(G) \geq 7$, then $\gamma_{wcon}(G) = n$.*

Proof. Let G be a connected graph with $\delta(G) \geq 2$ and $g(G) \geq 7$. Suppose that $\gamma_{wcon}(G) < n$. Let D be a minimum weakly convex dominating set of G. Since $\gamma_{wcon}(G) < n$, there exists a vertex x in G such that $x \notin D$. Denote $N_G(x) = \{x_1, \ldots, x_p\}$, where $p \geq 2$ (because $\delta(G) \geq 2$). It is easy to observe that since $g(G) \geq 7$, for every x_i, x_j is $x_i x_j \notin E(G)$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq p$.

Notice that for every x_i, x_j, where $x_i \neq x_j$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq p$ we have $d_G(x_i, x_j) = 2$ and every shortest path between x_i and x_j contains x.

Suppose there are vertices $x_1, x_2 \in N_G(x)$ such that $x_1, x_2 \in D$. Then, since D is weakly convex, there is a vertex $v \in D$ such that $v \in N_G(x_1) \cap N_G(x_2)$. But then we can find a cycle $C = (x_1, x, x_2, v, x_1)$ which length is less than seven, what gives a contradiction.

Thus $|N_G(x) \cap D| \leq 1$. Since x has to be dominated, we have $|N_G(x) \cap D| = 1$. Without loss of generality assume that $x_1 \in N_G(x) \cap D$. Thus, since $\delta(G) \geq 2$, there exists at least one vertex belonging to $N_G(x)$ say x_2, such that $x_2 \notin D$. Since $\delta(G) \geq 2$ and x_2 is dominated, there exists a vertex $y \in N_G(x_2)$ such that $y \neq x$ and $y \in D$. Since $g(G) \geq 7$, we have $N_G(y) \cap N_G(x) = \emptyset$ and $N_G(y) \cap N_G(x_i) = \emptyset$, where $1 \leq i \leq p$.

Since D is a weakly convex set, $d_G(y, x_1) = 3$ and there is a $(x_1 - y)$-geodesic P_1 such that all vertices of P_1 belong to D. Thus we have at least two $(x_1 - y)$-geodesics: P_1 and $P_2 = (x_1, x, x_2, y)$ what produces a cycle of length less than seven. That gives contradiction with $g(G) \geq 7$ and hence we have $\gamma_{wcon}(G) = n$. ■

The simplest example of a graph G such that $\gamma_{wcon}(G) = n$ can be a graph $G = C_n$ with $n \geq 7$. For $\overline{C_n}$ we have $\gamma_{wcon}(\overline{C_n}) = 2$ and $\gamma_{wcon}(G) + \gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) = n + 2$.

Corollary 4. *If G and $\overline{G}$ are connected, $\delta(G) \geq 2$ and $g(G) \geq 7$, then $\gamma_{wcon}(G) + \gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) = n + 2$.*

Theorem 5. *For any graph G such that G and $\overline{G}$ are connected, $\gamma_{wcon}(G)\gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) \leq (\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1)^2$. Furthermore, $\gamma_{wcon}(G)\gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) = (\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1)^2$ if and only if G or $\overline{G}$ is isomorphic to C_5.*

Proof. Again we consider three cases, depending on the diameter of G.

If $diam(G) = 1$, then $\gamma_{wcon}(G) = 1$ and $\overline{G}$ is not connected.

If $diam(G) \geq 3$, then similarly like in the proof of Theorem 2, $\gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) = 2$ and since $n \geq 4$, $\gamma_{wcon}(G)\gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) \leq 2n < (\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1)^2$.

Let $diam(G) = 2$. Similarly like in the proof of the previous theorem, let x be any vertex of G, let $Y = \{y \in V : d_G(x, y) = 1\}$ and $Z = \{z \in V : d_G(x, z) = 2\}$, $|Y| = k, |Z| = l$, where $k, l \geq 1$.

If $k = 1$, then $\gamma_{wcon}(G) = 1$, there is an universal vertex in G and $\overline{G}$ is not connected.

If $k = 2$, then, since $\{x\} \cup Y$ is a weakly convex dominating set of G, $\gamma_{wcon}(G) \leq 3$. Let $Y = \{u, v\}$. Notice that $\{x\}$ dominates itself and Z in $\overline{G}$ and to dominate Y in $\overline{G}$, it is enough to take two vertices a, b from Z such that $au \in E(\overline{G})$ and $bv \in E(\overline{G})$ (such vertices a, b must exist since $\overline{G}$ is connected and $diam(\overline{G}) = 2$). Since $a, b \in Z$, $ax \in E(\overline{G})$ and $bx \in E(\overline{G})$ and thus $\{x, a, b\}$ is a weakly convex dominating set of $\overline{G}$. Hence $\gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) \leq 3$.

Since G and $\overline{G}$ are connected and $diam(G) = 2$, we have $|Z| \geq 2$ and $n \geq 5$. It is easy to observe that $\gamma_{wcon}(G)\gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) \leq (\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1)^2$.

If $\gamma_{wcon}(G) = 3$, $\gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) = 3$ and $n = 5$ we have equality $\gamma_{wcon}(G)\gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) = (\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1)^2$ and C_5 realizes this equality. In the other cases we have $\gamma_{wcon}(G)\gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) < (\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1)^2$.

Now let $k \geq 3$. Since $\{x\} \cup Y$ is a weakly convex dominating set of G, we have $\gamma_{wcon}(G) \leq k + 1$. We consider three cases:

Case 1. If $l > k$, then $k < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. Observe that x dominates itself and Z in $\overline{G}$. Since $\overline{G}$ is connected and $diam(\overline{G}) = 2$, every vertex from Y has a neighbour in Z. Let $Y = \{y_1, \ldots, y_k\}$ and let $\{z_1, \ldots, z_k\}$ be the set of vertices from Z such that $y_1 z_1 \in E(\overline{G}), \ldots, y_k z_k \in E(\overline{G})$. Thus $\{x\} \cup \{z_1, \ldots, z_k\}$ is a weakly convex dominating set of $\overline{G}$ and $\gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) \leq k + 1$. Hence $\gamma_{wcon}(G)\gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) \leq (k + 1)^2$ and since $k < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, we have $\gamma_{wcon}(G)\gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) < (\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1)^2$.

Case 2. If $l = k$, then $k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ and $l \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. Since $\{x\} \cup Z$ is a weakly convex dominating set of $\overline{G}$, we have $\gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) \leq l + 1$. Thus $\gamma_{wcon}(G)\gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) \leq (k + 1)(l + 1) \leq (\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1)^2$.

Case 3. If $l < k$, then $l < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. Similarly like in Case 2 we have $\gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) \leq l + 1$. Notice that $\{x\}$ dominates itself and Y in G and to dominate Z in G it is enough to take l vertices from Y. Thus $\gamma_{wcon}(G) \leq l + 1$ and $\gamma_{wcon}(G)\gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) \leq (l + 1)^2 < (\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1)^2$.

We have already shown that for C_5 equality $\gamma_{con}(G)\gamma_{con}(\overline{G}) = (\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1)^2$ holds. Conversely, let G be a graph for which we have equality. Then (from the earlier part of the proof) we have $diam(G) = 2$ and $l = k$.

If $k = 2$, then $l = 2$ and $n = 5$. Since $diam(G) = 2$, there is no end vertex in Z. Let $Z = \{z_1, z_2\}, Y = \{y_1, y_2\}$. If both z_1, z_2 have two neighbours in Y, then $\overline{G}$ is not connected. If one vertex of Z, without loss of generality if z_1 has two neighbours in Y, then $\gamma_{wcon}(G) = 2 = \gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G})$ and $\gamma_{wcon}(G)\gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) < (\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1)^2$. Thus every of vertices z_1 and z_2 has only one neighbour in Y. If z_1, z_2 have a common neighbour in Y, say y_1, then y_1 is an end vertex in $\overline{G}$ and $diam(\overline{G}) > 2$. Thus every vertex from Z has exactly one neighbour in Y and every vertex from Y has exactly one neighbour in Z, without loss of generality let $z_1y_1 \in E(G)$ and $z_2y_2 \in E(G)$. Since there is no end vertex in G, we have $z_1z_2 \in E(G)$. If $y_1y_2 \in E(G)$, then we have an end vertex in $\overline{G}$ and $diam(\overline{G}) > 2$; hence $y_1y_2 \notin E(G)$ and $G \cong C_5$.

Now let $l = k, k \geq 3$. We distinguish two cases.

1. There exists a vertex $y \in Y$ such that $PN[y, Y] = \emptyset$. Then $(\{x\} \cup Y) - \{y\}$ is a weakly convex dominating set of G and $\gamma_{con}(G) \leq k$. Since $\{x\} \cup Z$ is a weakly convex dominating set of $\overline{G}$, we have $\gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) \leq l + 1$ and since $k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ and $l \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, we have $\gamma_{wcon}(G)\gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) \leq k(l + 1) \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1) < (\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1)^2$.
2. For every $y \in Y$ we have $PN[y, Y] \neq \emptyset$. Let us denote $Y = \{y_1, \ldots, y_k\}$, $Z = \{z_1, \ldots, z_k\}$ and $PN[y_1, Y] = \{z_1\}, \ldots, PN[y_k, Y] = \{z_k\}$. Then $\{x, z_1, z_2\}$ is a weakly convex dominating set of $\overline{G}$ and $\gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) \leq 3$. Thus we have $\gamma_{wcon}(G)\gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) \leq 3(k + 1) < (\lfloor \frac{n}{2} + 1)^2 \rfloor$.

Hence if $\gamma_{wcon}(G)\gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) = (\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1)^2$, then $G \cong C_5$. ■

Corollary 6. *If G and $\overline{G}$ are connected, $diam(G) \leq 2$ and $G \neq C_5$, then* $\gamma_{wcon}(G)\gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1)$.

Theorem 7. *If G and $\overline{G}$ are connected, $G \neq C_7$ and $G \neq C_5$, then* $\gamma_{wcon}(G)\gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1)$.

Proof. Let G be a graph such that G and $\overline{G}$ are connected and $G \neq C_5$ and $G \neq C_7$. From Corollary 6, if $diam(G) \leq 2$, then $\gamma_{wcon}(G)\gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1)$; so let $diam(G) \geq 3$. Then $\gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) = 2$ and $\gamma_{wcon}(G)\gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) \leq 2n \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1)$ for $n \geq 8$.

Since $diam(G) \geq 3$ and $G, \overline{G}$ are connected, we have $n \geq 4$.

If $n = 4$, then $G \cong \overline{G} \cong P_4$ and $\gamma_{wcon}(G)\gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1)$.

If $n = 5$, then $\gamma_{wcon}(G) \leq 3$ and since $\gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) = 2$ we have $\gamma_{wcon}(G)\gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1)$.

If $n = 6$, then $\gamma_{wcon}(G) \leq 4$ and since $\gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) = 2$ is $\gamma_{wcon}(G)\gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor (\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1)$.

If $n = 7$, then, since $G \neq C_7$, we have $\gamma_{wcon}(G) \leq 5$ and since $\gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) = 2$, again we have $\gamma_{wcon}(G)\gamma_{wcon}(\overline{G}) < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor (\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1)$. ■



Figure 1. Graph G_1.

The example of the extremal graph of Theorem 7 can be the graph G_1 from Figure 1.

REFERENCES

[1] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi and P.J. Slater, Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs (Marcel Dekker, Inc., 1998).

[2] S.T. Hedetniemi and R. Laskar, *Connected domination in graphs*, in: B. Bollobás (ed.), Graph Theory and Combinatorics (Academic Press, London, 1984) 209–218.

[3] F. Jaegar and C. Payan, *Relations du type Nordhaus-Gaddum pour le nombre d'absorption d'un graphe simple*, Compt. Rend. Acad. Sci. Paris **274** (1972) 728–730.

[4] E.A. Nordhaus and J.W. Gaddum, *On complementary graphs*, Amer. Math. Monthly **63** (1956) 175–177.

Received 27 February 2009
Revised 26 June 2009
Accepted 26 June 2009