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#### Abstract

A cyclic colouring of a graph $G$ embedded in a surface is a vertex colouring of $G$ in which any two distinct vertices sharing a face receive distinct colours. The cyclic chromatic number $\chi_{c}(G)$ of $G$ is the smallest number of colours in a cyclic colouring of $G$. Plummer and Toft in 1987 conjectured that $\chi_{\mathrm{c}}(G) \leq \Delta^{*}+2$ for any 3 -connected plane graph $G$ with maximum face degree $\Delta^{*}$. It is known that the conjecture holds true for $\Delta^{*} \leq 4$ and $\Delta^{*} \geq 18$. The validity of the conjecture is proved in the paper for some special classes of planar graphs.
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## 1. Introduction

Graphs, which we are dealing with, are plane, 3-connected and simple. Consider such a graph $G=(V, E, F)$ and let us present notations used in this article. The degree $\operatorname{deg}(x)$ of $x \in V \cup F$ is the number of edges incident to $x$. A vertex of degree $k$ is a $k$-vertex, a face of degree $k$ is a $k$-face. By $V(x)$ we denote the set of all vertices incident to $x \in E \cup F$; similarly, $F(y)$ is the set of all faces incident to $y \in V \cup E$. If $e \in E, F(e)=\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}\right\}$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1}\right) \leq \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{2}\right)$, then the pair $\left(\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1}\right), \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{2}\right)\right)$ is called the type of $e$. A cycle in $G$ is facial if its vertex set is equal to $V(f)$ for some $f \in F$.

A vertex $x_{1}$ is cyclically adjacent to a vertex $x_{2} \neq x_{1}$ if there is a face $f$ with $x_{1}, x_{2} \in V(f)$. The cyclic neighbourhood $N_{\mathrm{c}}(x)$ of a vertex $x$ is the set of all vertices that are cyclically adjacent to $x$ and the closed cyclic
neighbourhood of $x$ is $\bar{N}_{\mathrm{c}}(x)=N_{\mathrm{c}}(x) \cup\{x\}$. (The usual neighbourhood of $x$ is denoted by $N(x)$.) The cyclic degree of $x$ is $\operatorname{cd}(x)=\left|N_{\mathrm{c}}(x)\right|$. A cyclic colouring of $G$ is a mapping $\varphi: V \rightarrow C$ in which $\varphi\left(x_{1}\right) \neq \varphi\left(x_{2}\right)$ whenever $x_{1}$ is cyclically adjacent to $x_{2}$ (elements of $C$ are colours of $\varphi$ ). The cyclic chromatic number $\chi_{\mathrm{c}}(G)$ of the graph $G$ is the minimum number of colours in a cyclic colouring of $G$.

For $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $[p, q]=\{z \in \mathbb{Z}: p \leq z \leq q\}$ and $[p, \infty)=\{z \in \mathbb{Z}:$ $p \leq z\}$.

Let $G$ be an embedding of a 2 -connected graph and let $v$ be its vertex of degree $n$. Consider a sequence ( $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}$ ) of faces incident to $v$ in a cyclic order around $v$ (there are altogether $2 n$ such sequences) and the sequence $D=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ in which $d_{i}=\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{i}\right)$ for $i \in[1, n]$. The sequence $D$ is called the type of the vertex $v$ provided it is the lexicographical minimum of the set of all such sequences corresponding to $v$.

It is easy to see that $\operatorname{cd}(v)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(d_{i}-2\right)$. A contraction of an edge $x y \in$ $E(G)$ consists in a continuous identification of the vertices $x$ and $y$ forming a new vertex $x \leftrightarrow y$ and the removal of the created loop together with all possibly created multiedges; let $G / x y$ be the result of such a contraction. An edge $x y$ of a 3-connected plane graph $G$ is contractible if $G / x y$ is again 3 -connected.

If the graph $G$ is 2 -connected, any face $f$ of $G$ is incident to $\operatorname{deg}(f)$ vertices. In such a case $\chi_{\mathrm{c}}(G)$ is naturally lower bounded by $\Delta^{*}(G)$, the maximum face degree of $G$.

By a classical result of Whitney [9] all plane embeddings of a 3-connected planar graph are essentially the same. This means that $\chi_{\mathrm{c}}\left(G_{1}\right)=\chi_{\mathrm{c}}\left(G_{2}\right)$ if $G_{1}, G_{2}$ are plane embeddings of a fixed 3 -connected planar graph $G$; thus, we can speak simply about the cyclic chromatic number of $G$. Plummer and Toft in [8] conjectured that if $G$ is a 3 -connected plane graph, then $\chi_{\mathrm{c}}(G) \leq \Delta^{*}(G)+2$. They showed a weaker inequality $\chi_{\mathrm{c}}(G) \leq \Delta^{*}(G)+9$. Let PTC (d) denote the conjecture by Plummer and Toft restricted to graphs with $\Delta^{*}(G)=d$. By the Four Colour Theorem, for a triangulation $G$ we have $\chi_{\mathrm{c}}(G) \leq 4=\Delta^{*}(G)+1$. PTC(4) is known to be true by the work of Borodin [2]. Horňák and Jendrol' [5] proved PTC( $d$ ) for any $d \geq 24$. The bound was improved to 22 by Morita [7], but to the best of our knowledge, the proof was never published. Hornák and Zlámalová [6] proved PTC( $d$ ) for any $d \geq 18$. Enomoto et al. [4] obtained for $\Delta^{*}(G) \geq 60$ even a stronger result, namely that $\chi_{\mathrm{c}}(G) \leq \Delta^{*}(G)+1$. The example of the (graph of) $d$ sided prism with maximum face degree $d$ and cyclic chromatic number $d+1$
shows that the bound is best possible. The best known general result (with no restriction on $\Delta^{*}(G)$ ) is the inequality $\chi_{\mathrm{c}}(G) \leq \Delta^{*}(G)+5$ of Enomoto and Horňák [3].

Conjecture by Plummer and Toft is still open. This means that we do not know any $G$ with $\chi_{\mathrm{c}}(G)-\Delta^{*}(G) \geq 3$. On the other hand, all $G$ 's with $\chi_{\mathrm{c}}(G)-\Delta^{*}(G)=2$ we are aware of satisfy $\Delta^{*}(G)=4$. Therefore, the conjecture could even be strengthened: If $G$ is a 3-connected plane graph $G$ with $\Delta^{*}(G) \neq 4$, then $\chi_{\mathrm{c}}(G) \leq \Delta^{*}(G)+1$.

In this paper we show that $\operatorname{PTC}(d)$ is true for 3 -connected plane graphs of minimum degree 5 or of minimum degree 4 and maximum face degree at least 6 .

## 2. Auxiliary Results

In the proof of the result of this paper we shall need a special information on the structure of 3 -connected plane graphs contained in Lemma 1 that follows by results of Ando et al. [1].

Lemma 1. If a vertex of degree at least four of a 3-connected plane graph $G$ with $|V(G)| \geq 5$ is not incident to a contractible edge, then it is adjacent to three 3 -vertices.

Let $d \in[5, \infty)$. A 3 -connected plane graph $G$ is said to be $d$-minimal if $\Delta^{*}(G) \leq d$ and $\chi_{\mathrm{c}}(G)>d+2$, but $\Delta^{*}(H) \leq d$ implies $\chi_{\mathrm{c}}(H) \leq d+2$ for any 3 -connected plane graph $H$ such that the pair $(|V(H)|,|E(H)|)$ is lexicographically smaller then the pair $(|V(G)|,|E(G)|)$.

The next lemma shows that a $d$-minimal graph cannot contain some configurations.

Lemma 2. Let $d \in[5, \infty)$ and let $G$ be a d-minimal graph. Then $G$ does not contain any of the following configurations:

1. a vertex $x$ with $\operatorname{deg}(x) \geq 4$ and $\operatorname{cd}(x) \leq d+1$ that is incident to a contractible edge;
2. an edge of type $\left(3, d_{2}\right)$ with $d_{2} \in[3,4]$;
3. the configuration $\mathcal{C}_{i}$ of Figure $i, i \in[1,2]$, where $d=6$ and the configuration $\mathcal{C}_{3}$ of Figure 3, where $d=7$ and where encircled numbers represent degrees of corresponding vertices and vertices without degree specification are of an arbitrary degree.


Figure 1. $\operatorname{cd}\left(x_{1}\right) \leq 10$


Figure 2. $\operatorname{cd}\left(x_{1}\right) \leq 9, \operatorname{cd}\left(x_{4}\right) \leq 9$


Figure 3. $\operatorname{cd}\left(x_{1}\right) \leq 10$

Proof. 1. The statement has already been proved in [5] (Lemma 3.1(e)).
2. The statement has already been proved in [6] (Lemma 3.6).
3. For the rest of the proof suppose that $G$ contains a configuration $C_{i}$, $i \in[1,3]$, described in Lemma 2.3. Then 4 -vertex $x_{0}$ of the configuration $C_{i}, i \in[1,3]$, is incident to a contractible edge (because of Lemma 1). The graph $G^{\prime}$ obtained by contracting of this edge is a 3-connected plane graph satisfying $\Delta^{*}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leq \Delta^{*}(G) \leq d$ and $\left|V\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|=|V(G)|-1$, hence there is a cyclic colouring $\varphi: V\left(G^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow C$. This colouring will be used to find a cyclic colouring $\psi: V(G) \rightarrow C$ in order to obtain a contradiction with $\chi_{\mathrm{c}}(G)>d+2$. If not stated explicitly otherwise, we put $\psi(u)=\varphi(u)$ for any $u \in V(G)-\left\{x_{0}\right\}$.
$i \in\{1,3\}$ : First note that $\operatorname{cd}\left(x_{0}\right)=d+2$. If there is a colour $c \in$ $C-\varphi\left(N\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$, then we put $\psi\left(x_{0}\right)=c$, else, by assumptions, there is a colour $c^{*}$ such that $c^{*} \notin \varphi\left(\bar{N}\left(x_{1}\right) \cup \bar{N}\left(x_{2}\right)-N\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$. Therefore we can put $\psi\left(x_{1}\right)=c^{*}\left(\psi\left(x_{2}\right)=c^{*}\right)$ and $\psi\left(x_{0}\right)=\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)\left(\psi\left(x_{0}\right)=\varphi\left(x_{2}\right)\right)$.
$i=2$ : If there is a colour $c \in C-\varphi\left(N\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$, then we put $\psi\left(x_{0}\right)=c$, else there is exactly one $j \in C$ such that $\left|\left\{\varphi(u)=j: u \in N\left(x_{0}\right)\right\}\right|=2$. Without loss of generality we can suppose that $j \neq \varphi\left(x_{2}\right)$.

If $\varphi\left(x_{1}\right) \neq j$, then $C-\varphi\left(\bar{N}\left(x_{1}\right)\right) \neq \emptyset$, so we can put $\psi\left(x_{0}\right)=\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)$ and colour properly $x_{1}$.

Now let us suppose that $\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)=j$. If $\varphi\left(x_{3}\right) \neq j$, then $C-\varphi\left(\bar{N}\left(x_{3}\right)\right) \neq \emptyset$ and we can recolour $x_{3}$ and put $\psi\left(x_{0}\right)=\varphi\left(x_{3}\right)$.

If $\varphi\left(x_{3}\right)=j$, then we put $\psi\left(x_{2}\right)=\psi\left(x_{4}\right)=j, \psi\left(x_{0}\right)=\varphi\left(x_{2}\right), \psi\left(x_{3}\right)=$ $\varphi\left(x_{4}\right)$ and $\psi\left(x_{1}\right)=c$, where $c \in C-\varphi\left(\bar{N}\left(x_{1}\right)\right)$.

The result of this paper will be proved by contradiction, using the Discharging Method. For any vertex $v$ of 3-connected graph $G=(V, E, F)$ let

$$
c_{0}(v)=1-\frac{\operatorname{deg}(v)}{2}+\sum_{f \in F(v)} \frac{1}{\operatorname{deg}(f)}
$$

be the initial charge of vertex $v$. Then, using Euler's formula and the handshaking lemma, is easy to see that $\sum_{v \in V} c_{0}(v)=2$.

In this section we shall establish (Lemma 2) that the structure of a $d$ minimal graph $G=(V, E, F)$ is restricted. In the next section we use the Discharging Method to distribute the initial charges of vertices of $G$ such that every vertex $v \in V(G)$ will have a nonpositive new charge $c_{1}(v)$, but the sum of all charges will be the same. Then we will show that the restriction of structure of $G$ is so strong that the existence of $G$ is incompatible with $\sum_{v \in V} c_{1}(v)=2$.

If a vertex $v$ is of type $\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$, then

$$
c_{0}(v)=\gamma\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)=1-\frac{n}{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{d_{i}} .
$$

Clearly, if $\pi$ is a permutation of the set $[1, n]$, then $\gamma\left(d_{\pi(1)}, \ldots, d_{\pi(n)}\right)=$ $\gamma\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$. Let the weight of a sequence $D=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ be defined by $\operatorname{wt}(D)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}$. For $n \in[2, \infty), q \in[0, n-2],\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n-1}\right) \in$ $[1, \infty)^{n-1}$ and $w \in\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d_{i}+1, \infty\right)$ let $S_{q}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n-1} ; w\right)$ be the set of all sequences $D=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{q}, d_{q+1}^{\prime}, \ldots, d_{n}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ satisfying $d_{i}^{\prime} \geq d_{i}$ for any $i \in[q+1, n-1]$ and $\operatorname{wt}(D) \geq w$. The following lemma has been proved as Lemma 4 in [6].

Lemma 3. The maximum of $\gamma\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{q}, d_{q+1}^{\prime}, \ldots, d_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ over all sequences $\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{q}, d_{q+1}^{\prime}, \ldots, d_{n}^{\prime}\right) \in S_{q}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n-1} ; w\right)$ is equal to $\gamma\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n-1}\right.$, $\left.w-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d_{i}\right)$.

Claim 1. 1. If $c_{0}(v)>0$ for a vertex $v$ of a 3-connected graph $G=(V, E, F)$ with $\Delta^{*}(G) \geq 5$, then $\operatorname{deg}(v) \leq 4$.
2. If $c_{0}(v)>0$ for a 4 -vertex $v$ of a 3 -connected graph $G=(V, E, F)$, then the type of $v$ is from the set $\{(3,5,3,5),(3,5,3,6),(3,5,3,7)\}$.

Proof. 1. Clearly, for vertices of degree at least 6 it holds

$$
c_{0}(v)=1-\frac{\operatorname{deg}(v)}{2}+\sum_{f \in F(v)} \frac{1}{\operatorname{deg}(f)} \leq 1-\frac{\operatorname{deg}(v)}{2}+\sum_{f \in F(v)} \frac{1}{3}
$$

$$
=1-\frac{\operatorname{deg}(v)}{2}+\frac{\operatorname{deg}(v)}{3}=1-\frac{\operatorname{deg}(v)}{6} \leq 0
$$

By Lemmas 2.2 and 3, for vertices of degree 5 it holds $c_{0}(v) \leq \gamma(3,5,3,5,5)$ $\leq 0$.
2. The statement can be derived from Lemmas 2.2 and 3 and the following facts:
If a 4-vertex $v$ is not adjacent to a 3-face, then $c_{0}(v) \leq \gamma(4,4,4,4) \leq 0$.
If a 4-vertex $v$ is adjacent to exactly one 3 -face, then $c_{0}(v) \leq \gamma(3,5,4,5) \leq 0$. If a 4 -vertex $v$ is adjacent to exactly two 3 -faces, but no 5 -face, then $c_{0}(v) \leq$ $\gamma(3,6,3,6) \leq 0$.
If a 4 -vertex $v$ is adjacent to exactly two 3 -faces, 5 -face and face of degree at least 8 , then $c_{0}(v) \leq \gamma(3,5,3,8) \leq 0$.
A vertex $v \in V$ is positive if $c_{0}(v)>0$, otherwise it is nonpositive. For a vertex $v \in V$ let $n(v)$ denote the number of all neighbours of $v$ of positive initial charge.

## 3. Discharging

Theorem 4. For every 3-connected plane graph $G$ with $\delta(G)=4$ and $\Delta^{*}(G) \geq 6$ or with $\delta(G) \geq 5$ it holds $\chi_{c}(G) \leq \Delta^{*}(G)+2$.

Proof. Let $G$ be a $\Delta^{*}$-minimal graph.
Case A. If $\delta(G) \geq 5$, then by the definition of the initial charge and Claim 1.1 we have $c_{0}(v) \leq 0$ for any $v \in V(G)$, contradicting Euler's formula. If $\delta(G)=4$ and $\Delta^{*}(G) \geq 9$, then, by Lemmas 1 and 2.1, $G$ does not contain positive 4 -vertices. Thus, by the definition of initial charge and Claim 1.1, we have $c_{0}(v) \leq 0$ for every $v \in V(G)$, contradicting Euler's formula.

Case B. Let $\delta(G)=4$ and $\Delta^{*}(G) \in[6,8]$. Let us state the only redistribution rule R:A vertex $v$ with $c_{0}(v)<0$ sends to its neighbour $w$ with $c_{0}(w)>0$ the amount $\frac{c_{0}(v)}{n(v)}$.

Now our aim is to show that $c_{1}(v) \leq 0$ for any $v \in V(G)$ (where $c_{1}(v)$ is the charge of $v$ after using R ).
(1) If $c_{0}(v) \leq 0$, then obviously $c_{0}(v) \leq c_{1}(v) \leq 0$.
(2) If $c_{0}(v)>0$, then $v$ is either of type $(3,5,3,6)$ with $c_{0}(v)=\frac{1}{30}$ or of type $(3,5,3,7)$ with $c_{0}(v)=\frac{1}{105}$ for the case $\Delta^{*}(G) \in\{7,8\}$ (because of

Lemmas 1 and $2.2 G$ does not contain vertices of type $(3,5,3,5))$ and $v$ is either of type $(3,5,3,5)$ with $c_{0}(v)=\frac{1}{15}$ or of type $(3,5,3,6)$ with $c_{0}(v)=\frac{1}{30}$ for the case $\Delta^{*}(G)=6$.
(21) If $v$ is of type $(3,5,3,5)$, then:
(211) If there exist two distinct neighbours $t_{1}, t_{2}$ of vertex $v$ such that $\operatorname{deg}\left(t_{1}\right), \operatorname{deg}\left(t_{2}\right) \geq 5$, then $c_{1}(v) \leq \frac{1}{15}+2 \cdot \frac{1}{5} \cdot \gamma(3,5,3,5,5) \leq 0$.
(212) If at most one neighbour of vertex $v$ is of degree at least 5 , then, by absence of $C_{1}$ in $G, c_{1}(v) \leq \frac{1}{15}+4 \cdot \gamma(3,5,4,5)=0$.
(22) If $v$ is either of type $(3,5,3,6)$ or of type ( $3,5,3,7$ ), then let $t_{2}, t_{3}$ be the neighbours of $v$ incident with 5 -face, let $t_{1}, t_{4}$ be the other two neighbours of $v$, where $t_{1}$ is a common neighbour of vertices $v$ and $t_{2}$ and $t_{4}$ is a common neighbour of vertices $v$ and $t_{3}$.
(221) If there exists $i \in[1,4]$ such that $\operatorname{deg}\left(t_{i}\right) \geq 5$, then $c_{0}\left(t_{i}\right)+$ $\frac{1}{30} n\left(t_{i}\right) \leq 1-\frac{7}{30} \operatorname{deg}\left(t_{i}\right)+\frac{1}{30} n\left(t_{i}\right) \leq 1-\frac{7}{30} \operatorname{deg}\left(t_{i}\right)+\frac{1}{30} \operatorname{deg}\left(t_{i}\right)=1-\frac{1}{5} \operatorname{deg}\left(t_{i}\right) \leq$ 0 , and so $\frac{c_{0}\left(t_{i}\right)}{n\left(t_{i}\right)} \leq-\frac{1}{30}$. Therefore $c_{1}(v) \leq \frac{1}{30}-\frac{1}{30}=0$.
(222) If $\operatorname{deg}\left(t_{i}\right)=4$ for any $i \in[1,4]$, then let $g_{1}$ be another face incident with the edge $t_{1} t_{2}$ (and not incident with vertex $v$ ); similarly let $g_{2}$ be another face incident with the edge $t_{3} t_{4}$ (and not incident with vertex $v)$. By Lemma 2.2 we have $\operatorname{deg}\left(g_{i}\right) \geq 5, i \in\{1,2\}$. Finally, let $f_{i}$ be the fourth face incident with the vertex $t_{i}$ (thus $f_{i}$ is not incident with $v$ and $\left.f_{i} \notin\left\{g_{1}, g_{2}\right\}\right)$.
(2221) If there exists $i \in[1,4]$ such that $\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{i}\right) \geq 5$, then $c_{0}\left(t_{i}\right) \leq$ $\gamma(3,5,5,5)=-\frac{1}{15}$ and $n\left(t_{i}\right) \leq 2$. Therefore $c_{1}(v) \leq c_{0}(v)+\frac{1}{2} \cdot\left(-\frac{1}{15}\right) \leq 0$.
(2222) If there exists $i \in\{1,4\}$ such that $\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{i}\right)=4$, then let $j \in\{1,2\}$ be such that face $g_{j}$ is neighbour of face $f_{i}$.
(22221) If $\operatorname{deg}\left(g_{j}\right) \geq 6$, then $c_{0}\left(t_{i}\right) \leq \gamma(3,6,4,6)=-\frac{1}{12}$ and $n\left(t_{i}\right) \leq 2$. Thus $c_{1}(v) \leq c_{0}(v)+\frac{1}{2} \cdot\left(-\frac{1}{12}\right) \leq 0$.
(22222) If $\operatorname{deg}\left(g_{j}\right)=5$, then $c_{0}\left(t_{i}\right) \leq \gamma(3,5,4,6)=-\frac{1}{20}$. Simultaneously $n\left(t_{i}\right)=1$, else either $G$ contains a vertex of type ( $3,5,3,5$ ) (for $\Delta^{*}(G) \in\{7,8\}$ ) or $G$ contains a configuration $C_{1}$ (if $\Delta^{*}(G)=6$ ). Then $c_{1}(v) \leq c_{0}(v)-\frac{1}{20} \leq 0$.
(223) Let now $\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{4}\right)=3$.
(2231) If $\Delta^{*}(G) \in\{7,8\}$, then:
(22311) If there exists $i \in\{2,3\}$ such that $\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{i}\right)=3$, then, by $C_{3}, v$ is of type ( $3,5,3,7$ ) and $g_{j}$ adjacent to $f_{i}, j \in\{1,2\}$, is of degree at least 6 , because $G$ does not contain a vertex of type ( $3,5,3,5$ ). Then a vertex $t_{k}, k \in\{1,4\}$, which is a common neighbour of vertices $v$ and $t_{i}$, has the initial charge $c_{0}\left(t_{k}\right) \leq \gamma(3,6,3,7)=-\frac{1}{42}$. Due to $R$, the vertex $t_{k}$ sends at
most $-\frac{1}{168}$ to the vertex $v$. If $\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{5-i}\right)=3$, then also the vertex $t_{5-k}$ sends at most $-\frac{1}{168}$ to the vertex $v$, else $t_{5-i}$ sends at most $\frac{1}{2} \cdot\left(-\frac{1}{60}\right)$ to $v$. Thus $c_{1}(v) \leq \max \left\{c_{0}(v)-2 \cdot \frac{1}{168}, c_{0}(v)-\frac{1}{168}-\frac{1}{120}\right\}=c_{0}(v)-\frac{1}{84} \leq 0$.
(22312) Let now $\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{2}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{3}\right)=4$. Then $c_{0}\left(t_{2}\right), c_{0}\left(t_{3}\right) \leq$ $\gamma(3,5,4,5)=-\frac{1}{60}$. Now if $v$ is of type $(3,5,3,7)$, then $c_{1}(v) \leq c_{0}(v)-$ $2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{60} \leq 0$, else, by $C_{3}, d=7, \operatorname{deg}\left(g_{1}\right), \operatorname{deg}\left(g_{2}\right) \geq 6$ and so $c_{1}(v) \leq$ $c_{0}(v)+2 \gamma(3,5,4,6) \leq 0$.
(2232) If $\Delta^{*}(G)=6$, then due to absence of configuration $C_{2}$ in $G$, there exists $i \in\{2,3\}$ such that vertex $t_{i}$ is of type $(3,5,4,6)$. Therefore $n\left(t_{i}\right)=1$ and $c_{1}(v) \leq c_{0}(v)-\frac{1}{20} \leq 0$.
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