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#### Abstract

We call the digraph $D$ an $m$-coloured digraph if the arcs of $D$ are coloured with $m$ colours and all of them are used. A directed path is called monochromatic if all of its arcs are coloured alike. A set $N$ of vertices of $D$ is called a kernel by monochromatic paths if for every pair of vertices there is no monochromatic path between them and for every vertex $v$ in $V(D) \backslash N$ there is a monochromatic path from $v$ to some vertex in $N$. We denote by $A^{+}(u)$ the set of arcs of $D$ that have $u$ as the initial endpoint.

In this paper we introduce the concept of semikernel modulo $i$ by monochromatic paths of an $m$-coloured digraph. This concept allow us to find sufficient conditions for the existence of a kernel by monochromatic paths in an $m$-coloured digraph. In particular we deal with bipartite tournaments such that $A^{+}(z)$ is monochromatic for each $z \in V(D)$.
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## 1. Introduction

For general concepts we refer the reader to [1]. A kernel $N$ of a digraph $D$ is an independent set of vertices of $D$ such that for every $w \in V(D) \backslash N$ there exists an arc from $w$ to $N$ (i.e., $N$ is dominating). So a kernel is a dominating independent set of vertices. For a deep wide study of domination the reader can see [15] or [16]. An $m$-coloured digraph $D$ is called quasi-monochromatic if with at the most one exception all of its arcs are coloured alike (i.e., all arcs are coloured alike or all arcs but one are coloured alike). The problem of the existence of a kernel in a given digraph has been studied by several authors in particular Richardson [23, 24], Duchet and Meyniel [5], Duchet [3, 4], Galeana-Sánchez and V. Neumann-Lara [10, 11]. A survey on kernels can be found in [2] and in [6]. The concept of kernel by monochromatic paths is a generalization of the concept of kernel and it was introduced by Galeana-Sánchez [7]. In that work she obtained some sufficient conditions for an $m$-coloured tournament $T$ has a kernel by monochromatic paths. More information about $m$-coloured digraphs can be found in [8, 9, 27, 28]. Another interesting generalization is the concept of $(k, l)$-kernel introduced by M. Kwaśnik [19]. Other results about $(k, l)$-kernels have been developed by M. Kucharska [17], M. Kucharska and M. Kwaśnik [18], M. Kwaśnik [20], and A. Włoch and I. Włoch [26].

In [25] Sands et al. have proved that any 2-coloured digraph has a kernel by monochromatic paths. In particular they proved that any 2 -coloured tournament has a kernel by monochromatic paths. They also raised the following problem: Let $T$ be a 3 -coloured tournament such that every directed cycle of length 3 is quasi-monochromatic; must $D$ have a kernel by monochromatic paths? In [21] S. Minggang proved that if in the problem we ask that every transitive tournament of order 3 be quasi-monochromatic, the answer will be yes. In [7] it was proved that if $T$ is an $m$-coloured tournament such that every directed cycle of length at most 4 is quasi-monochromatic then $T$ has a kernel by monochromatic paths. The known sufficient conditions for the existence of a kernel by monochromatic paths in $m$-coloured $(m \geq 3)$ tournaments, (or nearly tournaments), ask for the monochromaticity or quasi-monochromaticity of small subdigraphs as directed cycles of length at most 4 or transitive tournaments of order 3. A related problem to the existence of kernels by monochromatic paths in tournaments is to find absorbing sets of minimum size, this problem has been studied by G. Hahn, P. Ille and R. Woodrow [14]. In [12] were proved that: Let $D$ be an
$m$-coloured bipartite tournament. If every directed cycle of length 4 in $D$ is monochromatic, then $D$ has a kernel by monochromatic paths. Another kind of condition is about the number of colours assigned to $A^{+}(u)$ for each $u$, [13]. ( $A^{+}(u)$ denotes the sets of arcs with $u$ as an endpoint).

A digraph $T$ is called bipartite tournament if exists a partition $\left\{V_{1}, V_{2}\right\}$ of $V(T)$ such that every arc of $A(T)$ joins a vertex of $V_{1}$ to a vertex of $V_{2}$ and between every vertex of $V_{1}$ and every vertex of $V_{2}$ exists one and only one arc.

We denoted by $T_{4}$ the digraph such that $V\left(T_{4}\right)=\{u, v, w, x\}$ and $A\left(T_{4}\right)=\{(u, v),(v, w),(w, x),(u, x)\}$. Let $C_{3}$ be the 3 -coloured directed cycle of length 3 . We say that a digraph $D$ is a $(1,1,2)$ subdivision of $C_{3}$ if $V(D)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right\}$ and $A(D)=\left\{\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right),\left(v_{2}, v_{3}\right),\left(v_{3}, v_{4}\right),\left(v_{4}, v_{1}\right)\right\}$ such that $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ and $\left(v_{2}, v_{3}\right)$ are coloured $a,\left(v_{3}, v_{4}\right)$ is coloured $b$ and $\left(v_{4}, v_{1}\right)$ is coloured $c$, with $a \neq b, a \neq c, b \neq c$, see Figure 1 .


Figure 1
In this paper is proved that if $T$ is an $m$-coloured bipartite tournament with $m \geq 3$ such that for every vertex $u$ of $T, A^{+}(u)$ is monochromatic (all of its elements have the same colour) and $T$ contains no $(1,1,2)$ subdivision of $C_{3}$ and every $T_{4}$ contained in $T$ is at most 2-coloured. Then $T$ has a kernel by monochromatic paths.

We will need the following results:
Lemma 1.1. Every uv-monochromatic walk in a digraph contains a uvmonochromatic path.

Lemma 1.2 (H. Galeana-Sánchez and R. Rojas-Monroy [12]). Let $D$ be a bipartite tournament, if $C=\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ is a directed walk in $D$ then for $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, n\}$ and $j \in\{0,1, \ldots, n\},\left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right) \in A(D)$ or $\left(u_{j}, u_{i}\right) \in A(D)$ if and only if $j-i \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$.

Theorem 1.3 (Sands, Sauer and Woodrow [25]). Let D be a 2-coloured digraph, then $D$ has a kernel by monochromatic paths.

Let $\alpha=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be a path, we will denote by $\ell(\alpha)$ the length of $\alpha$, and we will denote by $\left(x_{i}, \alpha, x_{j}\right)$ the path $\left(x_{i}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{j}\right)$.

## 2. Semikernels Modulo $i$ by Monochromatic Paths

An important concept in the study of the existence of kernels in digraphs has been semikernel that was given by V. Neumann-Lara in 1971 [22]. In this section we defined semikernel modulo $i$ by monochromatic paths for an $m$-coloured digraph. This concept is a generalization of semikernel and will be useful to prove Theorem 3.1, our main result.

Definition 2.1. Let $D$ be an $m$-coloured digraph, let $1,2, \ldots, m$ be the distinct colours, and let $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ be anyone but fixed. A set $S \subseteq V(D)$ is a semikernel of $D$ modulo $i$ by monochromatic paths if the following conditions are fulfilled:

1. $S$ is an independent set by monochromatic paths, i.e., for every pair of vertices there is no monochromatic path between them.
2. For each $z \in V(D) \backslash S$ such that there exists a $S z$-monochromatic path of different colour of $i$, then there exists a $z S$-monochromatic path in $D$. ( $S z$-monochromatic path denotes a $s z$-monochromatic path for some $s \in S$, similarly $z S$-monochromatic paths).

Theorem 2.2. Let $D$ be an m-coloured digraph such that for every $u \in$ $V(D), A^{+}(u)$ is monochromatic. Then $D$ has a non empty semikernel modulo $i$ by monochromatic paths for every $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$.

Proof. Let $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ and $z_{0} \in V(D)$ such that $A^{+}(u)$ is coloured $i$, clearly $\left\{z_{0}\right\}$ is a semikernel modulo $i$ by monochromatic paths.
Let $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ and $\Gamma_{i}=\{S \mid S$ is a non empty semikernel mod $i$ by monochromatic paths of $D\}$. Notice that $\Gamma_{i} \neq \emptyset$ by Theorem 2.2.

We define a partial order in $\Gamma_{i}$ as follows:
Definition 2.3. Let $\left\{S_{1}, S_{2}\right\} \subseteq \Gamma_{i}$. We called $S_{1} \leq S_{2}$ if for each $x_{1} \in S_{1}$ exists $x_{2} \in S_{2}$ such that one the following properties is satisfies:

1. $x_{1}=x_{2}$,
2. There exists a $x_{1} x_{2}$-monochromatic path coloured $i$ and there exists no $x_{2} x_{1}$-monochromatic path coloured $i$.

We will denote $x_{1} \xrightarrow{i} x_{2}$ if there exists an $x_{1} x_{2}$-monochromatic path coloured $i$, and $x_{2}{ }^{i} x_{1}$ if there is no monochromatic path coloured $i$.

Theorem 2.4. $\Gamma_{i}$ is a partially ordered set by $\leq$.
Proof. 1. $S \leq S$ for every $S \in \Gamma_{i}$ is immediate.
2. $S_{1}=S_{2}$ whenever $\left\{S_{1}, S_{2}\right\} \subseteq \Gamma_{i}, S_{1} \leq S_{2}, S_{2} \leq S_{1}$.

We will prove $S_{1} \subseteq S_{2}$. Let $x_{1} \in S_{1}$, we have $S_{1} \leq S_{2}$ then there exists $x_{2} \in S_{2}$ such that $x_{1}=x_{2}$ or $x_{1} \xrightarrow{i} x_{2}$ and $x_{2} \not^{i} x_{1}$. We analyze two cases.
(a) If $x_{1}=x_{2}$ then $x_{1} \in S_{2}$.
(b) If $x_{1} \xrightarrow{i} x_{2}$ and $x_{2} \xrightarrow{i} x_{1}$. Since $x_{2} \in S_{2}, S_{2} \leq S_{1}$ there exists $u_{1} \in S_{1}$ such that $x_{2}=u_{1}$ or $x_{2} \xrightarrow{i} u_{1}$ and $u_{1} \xrightarrow{i} x_{2}$. Then we have the following cases
(i) Let $x_{2}=u_{1}$ then $x_{1} \xrightarrow{i} x_{2}=u_{1},\left\{x_{1}, u_{1}\right\} \subseteq S_{1}$, contradicting that $S_{1}$ is independent by monochromatic paths.
(ii) If $x_{2} \xrightarrow{i} u_{1}$ and $u_{1}{ }^{i} x_{2}$ then $x_{1} \xrightarrow{i} x_{2} \xrightarrow{i} u_{1}$, from Lemma 1.1 $x_{1} \xrightarrow{i} u_{1}$, contradicting that $S_{1}$ is independent by monochromatic paths.
Thus (b) is not possible.
The proof of $S_{2} \subseteq S_{1}$ is similar.
Thus $S_{1}=S_{2}$.
3. If $S_{1} \leq S_{2}, S_{2} \leq S_{3}$ we prove that $S_{1} \leq S_{3}, \quad\left\{S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}\right\} \subseteq \Gamma_{i}$.

Let $x_{1} \in S_{1}$. Since $S_{1} \leq S_{2}$, then there exists $x_{2} \in S_{2}$ such that $x_{1}=x_{2}$ or $x_{1} \xrightarrow{i} x_{2}$ and $x_{2} \xrightarrow{i} x_{1}$. We consider two possible cases.
(a) If $x_{1}=x_{2}$. Since $S_{2} \leq S_{3}$ then there exists $x_{3} \in S_{3}$ such that $x_{2}=x_{3}$ or $x_{2} \xrightarrow{i} x_{3}$ and $x_{3}{ }^{i} \xrightarrow{i} x_{2}$. Then we have:
(i) If $x_{2}=x_{3}$, then $x_{1}=x_{2}=x_{3}$ with $x_{3} \in S_{3}$.
(ii) If $x_{2} \xrightarrow{i} x_{3}$ and $x_{3} \xrightarrow{i} x_{2}$. Since $x_{1}=x_{2}$, we have that $x_{2}=$ $x_{1} \xrightarrow{i} x_{3}$ and $x_{3}{ }^{i} x_{2}=x_{1}$.
(b) If $x_{1} \xrightarrow{i} x_{2}$ and $x_{2}{ }^{i} x_{1}$. We have the next cases:
(i) If $x_{2}=x_{3}$ then $x_{1} \xrightarrow{i} x_{3}$ and $x_{3}{ }^{i} x_{1}$.
(ii) If $x_{2} \xrightarrow{i} x_{3}$ and $x_{3} \xrightarrow{f^{i}} x_{2}$, then $x_{1} \xrightarrow{i} x_{2}$ and $x_{2} \xrightarrow{i} x_{3}$ then from Lemma $1.1 x_{1} \xrightarrow{i} x_{3}$.
We will prove $x_{3} f^{i} x_{1}$. By contradiction, we assume there exists $x_{3} \xrightarrow{i} x_{1}$ and we have $x_{1} \xrightarrow{i} x_{2}$, then from Lemma 1.1 there exists $x_{3} \xrightarrow{i} x_{2}$, contradicting that $x_{3}{ }^{i} x_{2}$.

Thus $S_{1} \leq S_{3}$.
Since $D$ is a finite digraph, we claim that $\left(\Gamma_{i}, \leq\right)$ has maximal elements.

## 3. The Main Result

Now we show a sufficient condition for an $m$-coloured bipartite tournament has a kernel by monochromatic paths.

Theorem 3.1. Let $T$ be an $m$-coloured bipartite tournament with $m \geq 3$, such that $A^{+}(u)$ is monochromatic for every $u \in V(T)$. If every $T_{4}$ contained in $T$ is at most 2-coloured and $T$ has not $(1,1,2)$ subdivision of $C_{3}$, then $T$ has a kernel by monochromatic paths.

Proof. By induction on $|V(T)|$.
If $|V(T)| \leq 3, T$ has at most $2 \operatorname{arcs}$ and $T$ can not be 3 -coloured, so by Theorem 1.3 $T$ has a kernel by monochromatic paths.

If $|V(T)|=4$, suppose that $V(T)=\{u, v, w, x\}$ w.l.o.g. suppose that ( $X, Y$ ) is a bipartition of $T$ and we have the next cases:

Case I. $X=\{u\}, \quad Y=\{v, w, x\}$.
I.a. $\left|A^{+}(u)\right| \geq 2$, it contradicts the 3-coloring.
I.b. $\left|A^{+}(u)\right| \leq 1$.
i. $\left|A^{+}(u)\right|=0$, in this case $N=\{u\}$ is a kernel by monochromatic paths.
ii. $\left|A^{+}(u)\right|=1$, in this case $N=\{v, w, x\}$ is a kernel by monochromatic paths of $T$.

Case II. $X=\{u, v\}, \quad Y=\{w, x\}$.
II.a. $\left|A^{+}(u)\right|=2=\left|A^{+}(v)\right|$, this is not possible, $T$ is 3-coloured.
II.b. $\left|A^{+}(u)\right|=2,\left|A^{+}(v)\right|=1$, this is not possible, $T$ contains no 3-coloured $T_{4}$.
II.c. $\left|A^{+}(u)\right|=2,\left|A^{+}(v)\right|=0$. In this case, $N=\{u, v\}$ is a kernel by monochromatic paths (we are using 3 colours).
II.d. $\left|A^{+}(u)\right|=1=\left|A^{+}(v)\right|$.
i. $\left|A^{+}(x)\right|=2,\left|A^{+}(w)\right|=0$, analogous at the case (II.c).
ii. $\left|A^{+}(x)\right|=1=\left|A^{+}(w)\right|$ is not possible, $T$ contains no $(1,1,2)$ subdivision of $C_{3}$, and we suppose that $m \geq 3$ then there is no monochromatic paths of length greater or equal than 1 . Thus $T$ has a kernel by monochromatic paths, let $N=\{u, v\}$.

Now, let $T$ be an $m$-coloured bipartite tournament with $|V(T)|=n, \quad n \geq 5$.
By contradiction. We assume that $T$ has no kernel by monochromatic paths. From Theorem 2.2 we know that $T$ has a non empty semikernel modulo $i$ by monochromatic paths. Let $S$ be a maximal element of $\left(\Gamma_{i}, \leq\right)$. Then $S$ is not a kernel by monochromatic paths of $T$. Let $X_{0}=\{x \in$ $V(T) \backslash S \mid$ there is no $x S$-monochromatic path $\}$. Since $X_{0} \neq \emptyset$ we have that $T\left[X_{0}\right]$ is a proper induced subdigraph of $T$. And by inductive hypothesis $T\left[X_{0}\right]$ has a kernel by monochromatic paths we call $N_{0}$. Let $B=\{x \in S \mid$ there is no $x N_{0}$-monochromatic path coloured $i$ in $\left.T\right\}$. Then we have the following assertions:

1. $B \cup N_{0} \in \Gamma_{i}$.
1.1. First we will prove $B \cup N_{0}$ is independent by monochromatic paths. We have that:
1.1.1. $B$ is independent by monochromatic paths, $B \subseteq S$.
1.1.2. $N_{0}$ is independent by monochromatic paths in $T$. We observe that $N_{0}$ is independent by monochromatic paths in $T\left[X_{0}\right]$. We proceed by contradiction. We assume that there exists $\{x, y\} \subseteq N_{0}$ such that there exists a $x y$-monochromatic path in $T$, we call it $\alpha$. Then we have that: (i) $V(\alpha) \cap\left(V(T) \backslash X_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset$ (otherwise $\alpha \subseteq D\left[X_{0}\right]$ contradicting that $S$ is independent by monochromatic paths in $D\left[X_{0}\right]$ ), and (ii) $V(\alpha) \cap S=\emptyset$ (otherwise we have an $X_{0} S$-monochromatic path, contradiction to the definition of $X_{0}$.

Since $V(\alpha) \cap\left(V(T) \backslash X_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset$, then exists $z \in V(\alpha) \cap\left(V(T) \backslash X_{0}\right)$ and we can suppose that $z \notin S$ for (ii). Thus exists a $z S$-monochromatic path we call it $\gamma$. Since $z \in V(\alpha) \cap V(\gamma)$ and $A^{+}(z)$ is monochromatic we have that $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ have the same colour. Then $(x, \alpha, z) \cup \gamma$ is a walk and by Theorem
1.1 it contains a $x S$-monochromatic path, contradicting $x \in N_{0} \subseteq X_{0}$. Thus $N_{0}$ is independent by monochromatic paths in $T$.
1.1.3. There is no $B N_{0}$-monochromatic paths. We assume that there exists $v \in B$ and $u \in N_{0}$ such that there is a $v u$-monochromatic path, we call it $\alpha$, and $\alpha$ is coloured distinct of $i$ because $v \in B$. Since $S \in \Gamma_{i}, S$ is a non empty semikernel by monochromatic paths modulo $i$, then by definition of $S$ exists a $u S$-monochromatic path in $T$, contradicting $u \in N_{0} \subseteq X_{0}$.
1.1.4. There is no $N_{0} B$-monochromatic paths. It is immediately from $B \subseteq$ $S, N_{0} \subseteq X_{0}$ and definition of $X_{0}$.
Thus $B \cup N_{0}$ is independent by monochromatic paths.
1.2. Let $z \in V(T) \backslash\left(B \cup N_{0}\right)$ such that there exists a $w z$-monochromatic path coloured distinct of $i$, with $w \in B \cup N_{0}$. We will prove that there exists a $z\left(B \cup N_{0}\right)$-monochromatic path. Since $N_{0}$ is a kernel by monochromatic paths of $T\left[X_{0}\right]$, then $N_{0} \neq \emptyset$, so $B \cup N_{0} \neq \emptyset$.

Now we proceed by contradiction. We assume that there is no $z\left(B \cup N_{0}\right)$ monochromatic path in $T$. Let $\alpha$ be a $w z$-monochromatic path coloured $j$, with $j \neq i$, we may assume $j=2, \alpha=\left(w=x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}, z\right)$. We have the following cases:

Case 1. Suppose $w \in B$. Since $w \in B \subseteq S$ and $S \in \Gamma_{i}$ then there exists a $z s$-monochromatic path in $T$ with $s \in S$, we call it $\alpha^{\prime}$, let $\alpha^{\prime}=$ $\left(z, y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{m-1}, s\right)$. Thus $s \in S \backslash B,\{w, s\} \subseteq S$ and $S$ is independent by monochromatic paths, then $\alpha$ and $\alpha^{\prime}$ have distinct colour, we may assume that $\alpha^{\prime}$ is coloured $b \neq 2$.

Since $s \in S \backslash B$ and the definition of $B$ implies that for some $u \in N_{0}$ there exists a $s u$-monochromatic path coloured $i$, we call it $\alpha^{\prime \prime}$ let $\alpha^{\prime \prime}=$ $\left(s, z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{\ell}=u\right)$.

If $b=i$ then $\alpha^{\prime} \cup \alpha^{\prime \prime}$ is a $z u$-walk, by Theorem 1.1 it contains a $z u$-monochromatic path with $u \in N_{0}$. Thus there exists a $z\left(B \cup N_{0}\right)$ monochromatic path, a contradiction.

We may assume that $b \neq i$, we remember that $b \neq i, b \neq 2$, and $i \neq 2$ we may assume that $b=3$.

Case 1.1. $\ell\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)=1$.
Then $\left(x_{n-1}, z, s, z_{1}\right)$ is a path of length 3, Lemma 1.2 implies $\left(x_{n-1}, z_{1}\right) \in$ $A(T)$ or $\left(z_{1}, x_{n-1}\right) \in A(T)$. If $\left(x_{n-1}, z_{1}\right) \in A(T)$, then $\left\{x_{n-1}, z, s, z_{1}\right\}$ induces a 3 -coloured $T_{4}$, contradicting the hypothesis. So we will assume $\left(z_{1}, x_{n-1}\right) \in A(T)$, now we consider two possible cases:

Case 1.1.1. $\ell\left(\alpha^{\prime \prime}\right) \geq 2$.
In this case $\left(z_{1}, x_{n-1}\right)$ is coloured $i$ thus $\left\{x_{n-1}, z, s, z_{1}\right\}$ induces a $(1,1,2)$ subdivision of $C_{3}$, a contradiction.

Case 1.1.2. $\ell\left(\alpha^{\prime \prime}\right)=1$.
In this case $z_{1}=u$. If $\left(u, x_{n-1}\right)$ is coloured $i$ or 2 , then $\left\{u, x_{n-1}, z, s\right\}$ is a $(1,1,2)$ subdivision of $C_{3}$, a contradiction. We may assume $\left(u, x_{n-1}\right)$ is coloured $c$, with $c \neq 2$ and $c \neq i$. And we analyze the following cases:

Case 1.1.2.1. $\ell(\alpha) \geq 2$.
We have $\left(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, z, s\right)$ is a path of length 3 and Lemma 1.2 implies that $x_{n-2}$ and $s$ are adjacent. If $\left(x_{n-2}, s\right) \in A(T)$ then it is coloured 2 , so $\left\{x_{n-2}, s, u, x_{n-1}\right\}$ induces a 3 -coloured $T_{4}$, a contradiction. Then we may assume $\left(s, x_{n-2}\right) \in A(D)$ then it is coloured $i$ and $\left\{s, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, z\right\}$ induces a $(1,1,2)$ subdivision of $C_{3}$ a contradiction.

Case 1.1.2.2. $\ell(\alpha)=1$.
In this case $(w, z, s, u)$ is a $w u$-path of length 3 , by Lemma $1.2 u$ and $w$ are adjacent. The definition of $X_{0}$ implies $(u, w) \notin A(D)$, so $(w, u) \in A(D)$. Then $\{w, z, s, u\}$ induces a 3 -coloured $T_{4}$, a contradiction.

Case 1.2. $\ell\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)>1$.
We have that $S$ is an independent set and $\{w, s\} \subseteq S$ then $w$ and $s$ are not adjacent in $T$. Since $T$ is a bipartite tournament then $w$ and $y_{m-1}$ are adjacent. If $\left(y_{m-1}, w\right) \in A(T)$, then it is coloured 3 , so $\left(z, \alpha^{\prime}, y_{m-1}\right) \cup$ $\left(y_{m-1}, w\right)$ is a $z w$-monochromatic path, a contradiction. We may assume that $\left(w, y_{m-1}\right) \in A(T)$ and we have that it is coloured 2. Now, $\left(w, y_{m-1}, s, z_{1}\right)$ is a path of length 3 , by Lemma 1.2 we have that $w$ and $z_{1}$ are adjacent. If $\left(w, z_{1}\right) \in A(T)$ then it is coloured 2 , then $\left\{w, y_{m-1}, s, z_{1}\right\}$ induces a 3 coloured $T_{4}$, a contradiction. We may assume that $\left(z_{1}, w\right) \in A(T)$.

If $\ell\left(\alpha^{\prime \prime}\right) \geq 2$ then $\left(z_{1}, w\right) \in A(T)$ is coloured $i$. Hence $\left\{w, y_{m-1}, s, z_{1}, w\right\}$ induces a $(1,1,2)$ subdivision of $C_{3}$, a contradiction. If $\ell\left(\alpha^{\prime \prime}\right)=1$, then $z_{1}=u$ and $(u, w) \in A(T)$, and we have a contradiction with the definition of $X_{0}$. If $(w, u) \in A(T)$ is coloured 2 , then $\left\{w, y_{m-1}, s, u\right\}$ induces a 3 -coloured $T_{4}$, a contradiction.

We conclude that case 1 is not possible.
Case 2. Suppose that $w \in N_{0}$.
If $z \in X_{0}$, since $N_{0}$ is kernel by monochromatic paths of $T\left[X_{0}\right]$, then there
exists a $z N_{0}$-monochromatic path, a contradiction. Assume that $z \notin X_{0}$. Then there is a $z s$-monochromatic path $\alpha^{\prime}$, for some $s \in S$. Let $\alpha^{\prime}=$ $\left(z, y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{m-1}, s\right)$. If $s \in B$ we have done. Assume that $s \notin B$. The definition of $B$ implies that there is a $s x$-path $\alpha^{\prime \prime}$ coloured $i$, for some $x \in$ $N_{0}$. Let $\alpha^{\prime \prime}=\left(s, z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{\ell}=x\right)$. If $\alpha^{\prime}$ is coloured 2 , then $\alpha \cup \alpha^{\prime}$ is a $w s$-monochromatic walk and Theorem 1.1 implies that it contains a $w s$ monochromatic path, but this is a contradiction with the definition of $X_{0}$. We may assume that $\alpha^{\prime}$ is not coloured 2. If $\alpha^{\prime}$ is coloured $i$ then $\alpha^{\prime} \cup \alpha^{\prime \prime}$ contains a $z x$-monochromatic path with $x \in N_{0} \subset B \cup N_{0}$, a contradiction. Assume that $\alpha^{\prime}$ is coloured 3 , with $3 \neq 2$ and $3 \neq i$. Since $T$ is a bipartite tournament then $w$ and $s$ are adjacent or $y_{m-1}$ and $w$ are adjacent, thus we have the following cases.

Case 2.1. Suppose that $w$ and $s$ are adjacent. Since $w \in N_{0} \subseteq X_{0}$, then the definition of $X_{0}$ implies that $(s, w) \in A(T)$. Hence $(s, w)$ is coloured $i$. Now, $\left(y_{m-1}, s, w, x_{1}\right)$ is a path of length 3 , so Lemma 1.2, implies that $y_{m-1}$ and $x_{1}$ are adjacent. If $\left(y_{m-1}, x_{1}\right) \in A(T)$ then it is coloured 3 and $\left\{y_{m-1}, s, w, x_{1}\right\}$ induces a 3 -coloured $T_{4}$, a contradiction. We may assume that $\left(x_{1}, y_{m-1}\right) \in A(T)$. If $\ell(\alpha) \geq 2$ then $\left(x_{1}, y_{m-1}\right)$ is coloured 2 , so $\left\{y_{m-1}, s, w, x_{1}\right\}$ induces a $(1,1,2)$ subdivision of $C_{3}$, a contradiction. When $\ell(\alpha)=1$ then $z=x_{1}$ and $\left(x_{1}, y_{m-1}\right)$ is coloured 3 , so $\left\{z, y_{m-1}, s, w\right\}$ induces a $(1,1,2)$ subdivision of $C_{3}$, a contradiction.

Case 2.2. Suppose that $\left(y_{m-1}, w\right) \in A(T)$. In this case $\left(y_{m-1}, w\right)$ is coloured 3, then $\left(z, \alpha^{\prime}, y_{m-1}\right) \cup\left(y_{m-1}, w\right)$ contains a $z w$-monochromatic path, a contradiction.

Case 2.3. Suppose that $\left(w, y_{m-1}\right) \in A(T)$. We have that $\left(w, y_{m-1}\right)$ is coloured 2. Then $\left(w, y_{m-1}, s, z_{1}\right)$ is a path of length 3, by Lemma $1.2 w$ and $z_{1}$ are adjacent. If $\left(w, z_{1}\right) \in A(T)$ then it is coloured 2 , so $\left\{w, y_{m-1}, s, z_{1}\right\}$ induces a 3 -coloured $T_{4}$, a contradiction. If $\left(z_{1}, w\right) \in A(T)$, then it is coloured $i$. Thus $\left\{w, y_{m-1}, s, z_{1}\right\}$ induces a $(1,1,2)$ subdivision of $C_{3}$, a contradiction. Then case 2 is not possible and we conclude that for every $z \in V(T) \backslash$ ( $B \cup N_{0}$ ) there is a $\left(B \cup N_{0}\right) z$-monochromatic path.

Therefore $B \cup N_{0} \in \Gamma_{i}$.
2. $S<B \cup N_{0}$.

Let $u \in S$, we will prove that there exists $v \in B \cup N_{0}$ such that $u=v$ or there is a $u v$-monochromatic path coloured $i$ and there is no a $v u$-monochromatic path coloured $i$.

If $u \in B$, we have done. Assume that $u \in S \backslash B$ then there is a $u v$ monochromatic path coloured $i$, for some $v \in N_{0}$ and the definition of $X_{0}$ implies that there is not a $v u$-monochromatic path coloured $i$.

Then $S<B \cup N_{0}$ contradicting of maximality of $S$ in $\left(\Gamma_{i}, \leq\right)$.
We conclude that $T$ has a kernel by monochromatic paths.
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