

NOTE

**TRIANGLE-FREE PLANAR GRAPHS WITH MINIMUM  
DEGREE 3 HAVE RADIUS AT LEAST 3**

SEOG-JIN KIM

*Mathematics Education Department*  
*Konkuk University, Seoul, Korea*

**e-mail:** skim12@konkuk.ac.kr

AND

DOUGLAS B. WEST\*

*Department of Mathematics*  
*University of Illinois*  
*Urbana, IL 61801, USA*

**e-mail:** west@math.uiuc.edu

**Abstract**

We prove that every triangle-free planar graph with minimum degree 3 has radius at least 3; equivalently, no vertex neighborhood is a dominating set.

**Keywords:** planar graph, radius, minimum degree, triangle-free, dominating set.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 05C10, 05C12, 05C69.

In 1975, Plesník [3] determined all triangle-free planar graphs with diameter 2. They are the stars, the complete bipartite graphs  $K_{2,n}$ , and a third family that can be described in several ways. One can start with the disjoint union  $K_2 + K_1$  and add vertices of degree 2 joined to either nonadjacent pair of the original triple, or start with  $C_5$  and expand two nonadjacent vertices into larger independent sets, or start with  $K_{2,n}$  and apply a “vertex split” to one of the high-degree vertices.

---

\*This research is partially supported by the National Security Agency under Award No. H98230-06-1-0065.

Each graph in Plesník's characterization has a vertex of degree at most 2. Thus his result implies that every triangle-free planar graph with minimum degree 3 has diameter at least 3 (note that no triangle-free planar graph has minimum degree greater than 3). In this note, we strengthen this statement by proving that every triangle-free graph with minimum degree 3 has radius at least 3. That is, it has no vertex whose neighborhood is a dominating set. There are many triangle-free planar graphs with minimum degree 3 and radius equal to 3.

Our result can also be related to other past work about distances in triangle-free or planar graphs. Erdős, Pach, Pollack, and Tuza [1] studied the maximum radius and diameter among graphs with fixed minimum degree. They also solved these problems in the family of triangle-free graphs. In contrast, we are seeking the minimum radius when the family is further restricted to planar graphs.

For planar graphs, Harant [2] proved an upper bound on the radius when the graph is 3-connected and has no long faces (it is  $n/6 + q + \frac{3}{2}$  when the graph has  $n$  vertices and no face of length more than  $q$ ). We prove a lower bound on the radius when the graph has no short faces (no triangles), without restriction on connectivity.

We use  $\delta(G)$  to denote the minimum degree of  $G$ , and we write  $[v_1, \dots, v_k]$  to denote a cycle with vertices  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  in order. Our graphs have no loops or multiple edges. A vertex *dominates* (is adjacent to) any subset of its neighbors.

**Theorem 1.** *Every triangle-free planar graph with minimum degree 3 has radius at least 3.*

**Proof.** If the radius is 1, then one vertex dominates all others; additional edges would create triangles, so the other vertices cannot reach degree 3. Hence it suffices to forbid radius 2. We assume that our graph  $G$  has a vertex  $v$  whose neighborhood  $U$  dominates the remaining vertices. Let  $W = V(G) - U - \{v\}$ .

If  $v$  lies on no cycle, then each component of  $G - v$  is dominated by one vertex of  $U$ , which cannot happen since  $G$  is triangle-free and  $\delta(G) = 3$ . If  $v$  lies on no cycle of length at most 5, then the shortest path in  $G - v$  between any two vertices of  $U$  has length at least 4, and the center of such a path is undominated by  $U$ .

Fix a planar embedding of  $G$ . Define a *trap* to be a cycle of length at most 5 through  $v$ . Say that a cycle in  $G$  is *empty* if no vertex lies inside

the region enclosed by it. Let a *flap* in an embedding of  $G$  be the subgraph induced by a nonempty trap and the vertices inside it. If a trap  $C$  is empty, then we redraw  $G$  so that  $C$  is the external face, and now  $G$  itself is a flap. Hence a flap exists in some embedding of  $G$ .

We obtain a contradiction by proving that every flap  $P$  in an embedding of  $G$  contains another flap; this contradicts the finiteness of  $G$ . The cases appear in Figure 1.




Figure 1. Cases for the proof.

Let  $C$  be the external cycle in  $P$ . Let  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  be the neighbors of  $v$  on  $C$  (in  $U$ ). Let  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  be their neighbors on  $C$  other than  $v$ , respectively, where  $w_1 = w_2$  if  $C$  has length 4. Note that  $w_1, w_2 \in W$ , since  $U$  is independent. Let  $S$  be the set of vertices of  $P$  not on  $C$ ; call them the *internal* vertices. If  $|S| \leq 2$ , then  $\delta(G) \geq 3$  forces a triangle, since neighbors of adjacent vertices in  $S$  cannot alternate on  $C$ . Hence we have  $|S| \geq 3$ .

*Case 1.  $u_1$  or  $u_2$  has an internal neighbor.*

Let  $u_1$  have an internal neighbor. Let  $w_3$  be the internal neighbor of  $u_1$  on the bounded face  $F$  of  $P$  that contains  $w_1$  and  $u_1$ , and let  $x$  be the next vertex reached in following  $F$ . If  $x \in U$ , then  $[v, u_1, w_3, x]$  is a trap that encloses a smaller flap than  $P$ , since  $w_3$  has a third neighbor inside that trap. If  $x \notin U$ , then  $x$  has a neighbor  $u' \in U$ , and now  $[v, u_1, w_3, x, u']$  encloses a smaller flap.

*Case 2.  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  have no internal neighbors, but  $w_1$  or  $w_2$  does.*

By symmetry, we may assume that  $w_1$  has an internal neighbor. Let  $y$  be the internal neighbor of  $w_1$  following  $w_1$  on the bounded face  $F$  of  $P$  that contains  $w_2$  and  $w_1$ .

If  $y \in U$ , then there are two cycles formed by  $v$ ,  $y$ , and part of  $C$ . Whichever encloses a neighbor of  $y$  encloses a smaller flap.

If  $y \in W$ , then let  $z$  be the next vertex after  $y$  in following  $F$ . If  $z \in U$ , then the cycle  $[v, u_1, w_1, y, z]$  encloses a neighbor of  $y$  and yields a smaller flap. If  $z \in W$ , then let  $u_3$  be a neighbor of  $z$  in  $U$ . Now  $[v, u_1, w_1, y, z, u_3]$  encloses the remaining neighbors of  $y$ , which must include a vertex  $u_4$  in  $U$ . Since  $u_4$  must have another neighbor in the region enclosed by the 6-cycle,  $[v, u_1, w_1, y, u_4]$  or  $[v, u_4, y, z, u_3]$  is a trap enclosing a smaller flap.

*Case 3. None of  $\{u_1, w_1, w_2, u_2\}$  has an internal neighbor.*

Since the interior is nonempty and  $G$  is connected,  $v$  has an internal neighbor. Let  $u_3$  be the one reached after  $u_1$  and  $v$  when following the face  $F$  of  $P$  whose boundary contains all of  $C$ . Let  $w_3$  be the vertex after  $u_3$  on  $F$  (since  $U$  is independent,  $w_3 \in W$ ), and let  $z$  be the vertex after  $w_3$ . If  $z \in W$ , then we can choose  $u_4 \in N(z) \cap U - \{u_3\}$ . Otherwise,  $z \in U$ . In the two cases,  $[v, u_3, w_3, z, u_4]$  or  $[v, u_3, w_3, z]$  encloses another neighbor of  $u_3$  and yields a smaller flap. ■

#### REFERENCES

- [1] P. Erdős, J. Pach, R. Pollack and Zs. Tuza, *Radius, diameter, and minimum degree*, J. Combin. Theory (B) **47** (1989) 73–79.
- [2] J. Harant, *An upper bound for the radius of a 3-connected planar graph with bounded faces*, Contemporary methods in graph theory (Bibliographisches Inst., Mannheim, 1990), 353–358.
- [3] J. Plesník, *Critical graphs of given diameter*, Acta Fac. Rerum Natur. Univ. Comenian. Math. **30** (1975) 71–93.

Received 29 January 2008

Accepted 9 May 2008