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#### Abstract

Bipartite graphs $G=(L, R ; E)$ and $H=\left(L^{\prime}, R^{\prime} ; E^{\prime}\right)$ are bi-placeabe if there is a bijection $f: L \cup R \rightarrow L^{\prime} \cup R^{\prime}$ such that $f(L)=L^{\prime}$ and $f(u) f(v) \notin E^{\prime}$ for every edge $u v \in E$. We prove that if $G$ and $H$ are two bipartite balanced graphs of order $|G|=|H|=2 p \geq 4$ such that the sizes of $G$ and $H$ satisfy $\|G\| \leq 2 p-3$ and $\|H\| \leq 2 p-2$, and the maximum degree of $H$ is at most 2 , then $G$ and $H$ are bi-placeable, unless $G$ and $H$ is one of easily recognizable couples of graphs.

This result implies easily that for integers $p$ and $k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{l}$ such that $k_{i} \geq 2$ for $i=1, \ldots, l$ and $k_{1}+\cdots+k_{l} \leq p-1$ every bipartite balanced graph $G$ of order $2 p$ and size at least $p^{2}-2 p+3$ contains mutually vertex disjoint cycles $C_{2 k_{1}}, \ldots, C_{2 k_{l}}$, unless $G=K_{3,3}-3 K_{1,1}$.
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## 1. Preliminaries

Let $G=(L, R ; E)$ and $G^{\prime}=\left(L^{\prime}, R^{\prime} ; E^{\prime}\right)$ be two bipartite graphs. $|G|$ denotes the order of $G$ and by $\|G\|$ its size $(|G|=|L \cup R|,\|G\|=|E|) . \Delta_{R}(G)$ is the maximum vertex degree $d_{G}(x)$, when $x \in R$ and $\Delta_{L}(G)$ the maximum degree $d(y, G)$ when $y \in L$. The maximum vertex degree in $G$ is denoted

[^0]by $\Delta(G)\left(\Delta(G)=\max \left\{\Delta_{L}(G), \Delta_{R}(G)\right\}\right)$. The corresponding minimum degrees are denoted by $\delta_{R}(G), \delta_{L}(G)$ and $\delta(G)$, respectively. A vertex $x$ with $d(x, G)=1$ is said to be pendent. The set $L(G)=L$ is called the left hand side set, and $R(G)=R$ the right hand side set of bipartition of the vertex set $V(G)=L \cup R$.

For $x \in V(G), N(x ; G)$ denotes the set of the neighbors of the vertex $x$ in $G . C_{k}$ denotes a cycle of the length $k$.
$G$ is called $(p, q)$-bipartite if $|L(G)|=p$ and $|R(G)|=q$. If $p=q$ then $G$ is said to be balanced. $K_{p, q}$ stands for the complete bipartite graph with $\left|L\left(K_{p, q}\right)\right|=p$ and $\left|R\left(K_{p, q}\right)\right|=q$.

Bi-placement of $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ is a bijection $f: L \cup R \rightarrow L^{\prime} \cup R^{\prime}$ such that $f(L)=L^{\prime}$ and $f(u) f(v) \notin E^{\prime}$ for every edge $u v \in E$. If there is a bi-placement of $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ then we say that $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ are bi-placeable.

Note that the bipartite graphs $H=(\{a, b\},\{c, d, e\} ;\{a c, a d, b e\})$ and $H^{\prime}=\left(\left\{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right\},\left\{c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}, e^{\prime}\right\} ;\left\{a^{\prime} c^{\prime}, b^{\prime} c^{\prime}\right\}\right)$ are not bi-placeable, while it is very easy to find a bi-placement of $H$ and $H^{\prime \prime}=\left(\left\{a^{\prime \prime}, b^{\prime \prime}\right\},\left\{c^{\prime \prime}, d^{\prime \prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right\} ;\left\{a^{\prime \prime} c^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime \prime} d^{\prime \prime}\right\}\right)$ (see Figure 1).


Figure 1. $H$ bi-placeable with $H^{\prime \prime}$ and non bi-placeable with $H^{\prime}$.

The notion of bi-placeability of bipartite graphs appeared in [7]. To say that $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ are bi-placeable is equivalent to saying that the bipartite graph $G=(L, R ; E)$ is a subgraph of the bipartite graph $\overline{G^{\prime}}=\left(L, R ; \overline{E^{\prime}}\right)$ in the sense of [4] $\left(\overline{E^{\prime}}=\left\{x y: x \in L^{\prime}, y \in R^{\prime}, x y \notin E^{\prime}\right\}\right)$. The problem of existence of a matching or a hamiltonian cycle in a bipartite graph is, in fact, a problem of bi-placeability of some bipartite graphs. For a survey of results concerning placing of graphs and bi-placing of bipartite graphs we refer the reader to $[3,11]$ or $[12]$.

The following theorem was proved in [9].

Theorem 1. Let $G=(L, R ; E)$ and $H=\left(L^{\prime}, R^{\prime}, ; E^{\prime}\right)$ be two bipartite balanced graphs of order $2 p$ such that $\|G\| \leq p-1$ and $\|H\| \leq 2 p$. Then $G$ and $H$ are bi-placeable unless $\|G\|=p-1,\|H\|=2 p$ and either

- $\Delta_{L}(G) \leq 1$ and $H=K_{2, p} \cup K_{p-2,0}$ or
- $\Delta_{R}(G) \leq 1$ and $H=K_{p, 2} \cup K_{0, p-2}$ or
- $G=K_{1, p-1} \cup \overline{K_{p-1,1}}$ and $\Delta_{L}(H)=2$ or else
- $G=K_{p-1,1} \cup \overline{K_{1, p-1}}$ and $\Delta_{R}(H)=2$.
$G=(L, R ; E)$ is said to be $2 k$ freely cyclable whenever, for any sequence $k_{1}, \ldots, k_{l}$ of integers such that $k_{i} \geq 2$ for $i=1, \ldots, l$ and $k_{1}+\cdots+k_{l} \leq k, G$ contains mutually vertex disjoint cycles $C_{2 k_{1}}, \ldots, C_{2 k_{l}}$. The problem of the existence of a union of independent cycles of prescribed lengths in a graph was considered by many authors (see $[1,5,6,8,10]$ ).

Theorem 1 implies easily the following generalisation of a result of Amar, Fournier and Germa (Theorem 2 in [2]).

Theorem 2. Let $G=(L, R ; E)$ be a bipartite balanced graph of order $2 p$ and size at least $p^{2}-p+1$. Then $G$ is $2 p$ freely cyclable unless $\|G\|=p^{2}-p+1$ and $G$ contains a penedent vertex.

In the next section we give a sufficient condition for a ( $p, p$ ) -bipartite graphs to be $2(p-1)$ freely cyclable. Namely, we shall prove that the only balanced bipartite graph of order $2 p$ and size at least $p^{2}-2 p+3$ which is not $2(p-1)$ freely cyclable is $K_{3,3}$ minus a perfect matching.

## 2. Results

Theorem 3. Let $p \geq 2$ be an integer, and let $G=(L, R ; E)$ and $H=$ ( $L^{\prime}, R^{\prime} ; E^{\prime}$ ) be two ( $p, p$ )-bipartite graphs such that $\|G\| \leq 2 p-3,\|H\| \leq$ $2 p-2$ and $\Delta(H) \leq 2$. Then $G$ and $H$ are bi-placeable unless one of the following occurs:
(1) $\Delta_{L}(G)=p$ and $\delta_{L}(H)>0$,
(2) $\Delta_{R}(G)=p$ and $\delta_{R}(H)>0$,
(3) $p=3, G$ is a perfect matching $3 K_{1,1}$, and $H=K_{2,2} \cup \overline{K_{1,1}}$ (see Figure 2),
(4) $p=6, G=K_{3,3} \cup \overline{K_{3,3}}, H=C_{8} \cup 2 K_{1,1}$ (see Figure 3).

The couples of graphs $G$ and $H$ described in (1), (2), (3) and (4) will be called exceptional or exceptions (1), (2), (3) and (4), respectively.


Figure 2. Exceptional couple (3).


Figure 3. Exceptional couple (4).
Theorem 3 implies easily the following corollary announced already at the end of Section 1.

Corollary 4. Let $G$ be a balanced bipartite graph of order $|G|=2 p$ and size $\|G\| \geq p^{2}-2 p+3$. Then $G$ is $2(p-1)$ freely cyclable unless $p=3$ and $G=K_{3,3}-3 K_{1,1}=C_{6}$.

For $p \geq 3$ the graph $H_{p, p}=K_{2, p-1} \cup \overline{K_{p-2,1}}$ is $(p, p)$-bipartite of order $2 p$ and size $2 p-2$ which is not bi-placeable with any union of vertex disjoint cycles $C_{2 l_{1}} \cup \cdots \cup C_{2 l_{q}}$, where $l_{1}+\cdots+l_{q}=p-1$ and $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{q} \geq 2$. Hence

Theorem 3 may not be improved by a simple rising the size of the graph $G$. The graph $\overline{H_{p, p}}$ (the complement of $H_{p, p}$ in $K_{p, p}$ ) proves that also Corollary 4 is sharp.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 3

The proof is by induction on $p$. It is easy to verify that the theorem holds for $p=2,3$. Suppose that $p \geq 4$ and the theorem holds for $p^{\prime}$ provided that $2 \leq p^{\prime}<p$. Note that without loss of generality, we may assume that $\|G\|=2 p-3,\|H\|=2 p-2$ and $\Delta(H)=2$. Then the graph $H$ is a union of a number of (even) cycles and exactly two (possibly trivial) paths. Moreover, since $H$ is balanced, either both paths have odd lengths (even order) or each path has an even length. In the later case, if the end vertices of one path are in $L^{\prime}$, then the end vertices of the second paths are in $R^{\prime}$ and vice versa.

We shall consider two cases and several subcases.
Case 1. There is an isolated vertex $z$ in the set $V(H)$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $z \in R^{\prime}$. Let $x$ be a vertex of minimal degree in $L$. It follows immediately that $d(x, G) \leq 1$.

Subcase 1.1. $x$ is an isolated vertex.
Let $y \in R, d(y, G)=\Delta_{R}(G), w \in L^{\prime}$ and $d(w, H)=2$. If the graphs $G^{\prime}=G-\{x, y\}$ and $H^{\prime}=H-\{w, z\}$ are m.p. then a bi-placement of the graphs $G$ and $H$ is obvious. Hence, we may suppose that the couple $G^{\prime}$ and $H^{\prime}$ is one of the exceptions (1)-(4). Note that $H^{\prime} \neq C_{4} \cup \overline{K_{1,1}}$, and that $w$ may be choosen in such a way that $H^{\prime} \neq C_{8} \cup 2 K_{1,1}$. Hence we have only two subcases to consider.

Subcase 1.1a. $\Delta_{R}\left(G^{\prime}\right)=p-1$ and $\delta_{R}\left(H^{\prime}\right) \geq 1$.
There is a vertex $y^{\prime} \in R\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ such that $d\left(y^{\prime}, G^{\prime}\right)=p-1$. Hence $d(y, G)=p-1$ and we have $e(G) \geq 2 p-2$, a contradiction.

Subcase 1.1b. $\Delta_{L}\left(G^{\prime}\right)=p-1$ and $\delta_{L}\left(H^{\prime}\right) \geq 1$.
Let $x_{1} \in L\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ and $d\left(x_{1}, G^{\prime}\right)=p-1$. If $d\left(x_{1}, G\right)=p$ then the couple $G$ and $H$ form the first exception (1). If $d\left(x_{1}, G\right)=p-1$ then we can choose the following vertices: $y_{1}$ - a pendent vertex in $R, w_{1}$ - a pendent vertex in $L^{\prime}$. Let $z_{1} \in N\left(w_{1}, H\right)$. Then $d\left(z_{1}, H\right)=2$ and the graphs $G^{\prime \prime}=G-\left\{x, y, x_{1}, y_{1}\right\}$
and $H^{\prime \prime}=H-\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, z, z_{1}\right\}$, where $w_{2}$ is the second neighbour of $z_{1}$, are bi-placeable by Theorem 1.

Let $f$ be a bi-placement of $G^{\prime \prime}$ and $H^{\prime \prime}$. Then we can extend $f$ to a packing $f_{*}$ of $G$ and $H$ by letting $f_{*}(v)=f(v)$, for $v \in V\left(H^{\prime \prime}\right), f_{*}\left(w_{1}\right)=x_{1}$, $f_{*}\left(w_{2}\right)=x, f_{*}(z)=y_{1}$ and $f_{*}\left(z_{1}\right)=y$.

Subcase 1.2. $\quad d(x, G)=1$ and the neighbor $y$ of $x$ is not pendent $(d(y, G) \geq 2)$.

So, we can apply the induction hypothessis to the graphs $G_{1}^{\prime}=G-\{x, y\}$ and $H_{1}^{\prime}$, where $H_{1}^{\prime}$ is the graph $H^{\prime}$ defined in Subcase 1.1. If $G_{1}^{\prime}$ and $H_{1}^{\prime}$ are bi-placeable then it is easy to check that $G$ and $H$ are bi-placeable too.

So we may suppose that the couple $G_{1}^{\prime}, H_{1}^{\prime}$ is one of the exceptions. Note that since $\delta_{L}(G)>0$, we have $G_{1}^{\prime} \neq K_{3,3} \cup \overline{K_{3,3}}$, and since $\Delta(H)=2$, we have $H_{1}^{\prime} \neq C_{4} \cup \overline{K_{1,1}}$. Hence $G_{1}^{\prime}$ and $H_{2}^{\prime}$ may be the only one of exceptions (1)-(2).

Subcase 1.2a. $\Delta_{R}\left(G_{1}^{\prime}\right)=p-1$ and $\delta_{R}\left(H_{1}^{\prime}\right) \geq 1$.
Let $y_{1} \in R\left(G_{1}^{\prime}\right), d\left(y_{1}, G\right)=p-1, x_{1} \in N\left(y_{1}, G\right)$ and $d\left(x_{1}, G\right)=1$. Observe that we may apply the induction hypothessis to the graphs $G_{2}=G-\left\{x_{1}, y_{1}\right\}$ and $H_{2}=H^{\prime}$. From this, we can now map the vertex $w$ to the vertex $x_{1}$ and the vertex $z$ to $y_{1}$ and we can extend a bi-placement of $G_{2}$ and $H_{2}$ to a bi-placement of $G$ and $H$.

Subcase 1.2b. $\Delta_{L}\left(G_{1}^{\prime}\right)=p-1$ and $\delta_{L}\left(H_{1}^{\prime}\right) \geq 1$.
Since $\Delta_{L}(G) \geq p-1$ and $\delta_{L}(G) \geq 1$, we have $\|G\| \geq 2 p-2$, a contradiction.
Subcase 1.3. There is no isolated vertex in $L$ and the neighbors of pendent vertices of $L$ are pendent.

Let $x y$ be an isolated edge of $G, x \in L, y \in R$.
Subcase 1.3.1. There is an isolated vertex $w$ in $L^{\prime}$.
Note that $H-\{w, z\}$ is a union of vertex disjoint even cycles. Let $x^{\prime} \in L-\{x\}$ and $y^{\prime} \in R^{\prime}-\{y\}$ be choosen in such a way that the sum of degrees $d\left(x^{\prime}, G\right)+$ $d\left(y^{\prime}, G\right)$ is maximum. One may check easily that $d\left(x^{\prime}, G\right)+d\left(y^{\prime}, G\right) \geq 4$. Since $p \geq 4$, there exist two nonadjacent vertices $w^{\prime} \in L^{\prime}-\{w\}$ and $z^{\prime} \in$ $R^{\prime}-\{z\}$. Observe that $d\left(w^{\prime}, H\right)=d\left(z^{\prime}, H\right)=2$. The graphs $G_{3}^{\prime}=G-$ $\left\{x, y, x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right\}$ and $H_{3}^{\prime}=H-\left\{w, z, w^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right\}$ verify the induction hypothesis. Moreover, an easy computation shows that $\Delta\left(G_{3}^{\prime}\right)<p-2$. It is also clear that $H_{3}^{\prime} \neq K_{2,2} \cup \overline{K_{1,1}}$ and $H_{3}^{\prime} \neq C_{8} \cup 2 \overline{K_{1,1}}$. Hence there is a bi-placement,
say $f$, of $H_{3}^{\prime}$ and $G_{3}^{\prime}$. The function $f_{*}$ defined by $f_{*}(v)=f(v)$, for $v \in V\left(H_{3}^{\prime}\right)$, $f_{*}(w)=x^{\prime}, f_{*}(z)=y^{\prime}, f_{*}\left(w^{\prime}\right)=x$ and $f_{*}\left(z^{\prime}\right)=y$ is a bi-placement of $H$ and $G$.

Subcase 1.3.2. The minimal vertex degree in $L^{\prime}$ is equal to one. Let $w$ be such a vertex of $L^{\prime}$ that $d(w, H)=1$ and let $z^{\prime} \in R^{\prime}$ be the neighbour of $w$. Note that $H-\{w, z\}$ is a union of a path of odd length and a number of even cycles.

We have $d\left(z^{\prime}, H\right)=2$. Since $p \geq 4$ we may choose $w^{\prime} \in L^{\prime}$ such that $d\left(w^{\prime}, H\right)=2$ and $\left(w^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right) \notin E^{\prime}$. We set $G_{4}^{\prime}=G_{3}^{\prime}$, where $G_{3}^{\prime}$ is defined in Subcase 1.3.1, and $H_{4}^{\prime}=H-\left\{w, z, w^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right\}$. The graphs $G_{4}^{\prime}$ and $H_{4}^{\prime}$ are bi-placeable, by the induction hypothesis. Every bi-placement of $H_{4}^{\prime}$ and $G_{4}^{\prime}$ may be extended to a bi-placement of $H$ and $G$ by mapping the vertex $w$ to $x^{\prime}, z$ to $y^{\prime}, w^{\prime}$ to $x$ and $z^{\prime}$ to $y$.

Case 2. There is no isolated vertex in $V(H)$.
Then the graph $H$ is a sum of two non trivial paths $P_{1}, P_{2}$ and independent cycles.

Subcase 2.1. The paths $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ have length 1.
Let $P_{1}=(w, z)$ and $P_{2}=\left(w^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)$, where $w, w^{\prime} \in L^{\prime}$ and $z, z^{\prime} \in R^{\prime}$, and let $w_{1} \in L^{\prime}$ and $z_{1} \in R^{\prime}$ be two vertices of degree 2 in $H$.

Subcase 2.1.1. $\delta_{L}(G)=\delta_{R}(G)=0$.
Let $x \in L$ and $y \in R$ be two isolated vertices of $G$ and let $x_{1} \in L$ and $y_{1} \in R$ by two nonadjacent vertices of $G$ chosen such that the degree sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(x_{1}, G\right)+d\left(y_{1}, G\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is maximal.
Under the hypothesis of Subcase 2.1.1 we shall prove two claims.
Claim 1. If there is in $G$ a vertex of degree $p-1$ then $G$ and $H$ are biplaceable.

Proof of Claim 1. Suppose that $x_{0} \in L$ is a vertex of degree $p-1$ in $G$. Then $\left\|G-\left\{x_{0}, y\right\}\right\|=2 p-3-(p-1)=(p-1)-1$. Hence, by Theorem 1 , there is a bi-placement $f_{*}$ of $G-\left\{x_{0}, y\right\}$ and $H-\{w, z\}$ which may be easily extended to a bi-placement of $G$ and $H$.

Claim 2. If $d\left(x_{1}, G\right)+d\left(y_{1}, G\right) \geq 4$ then $G$ and $H$ are bi-placeable.
Proof of Claim 2. If $G^{\prime}=G-\left\{x, y, x_{1}, y_{1}\right\}$ and $H^{\prime}=H-\left\{w, z, w_{1}, z_{1}\right\}$ are bi-placeable, then we extend a bi-placement of $G^{\prime}$ and $H^{\prime}$ to the biplacement of $G$ and $H$ mapping $x_{1} \mapsto w, y_{1} \mapsto z, x \mapsto w_{1}, y \mapsto z_{1}$.

So, by the induction hypothesis, $G^{\prime}$ and $H^{\prime}$ is one of exceptions (1)-(4) described in the theorem. Note that $H^{\prime} \neq K_{2,2} \cup \overline{K_{1,1}}$ and $H^{\prime} \neq C_{8} \cup 2 K_{1,1}$. So let us suppose that $\Delta\left(G^{\prime}\right)=p-2$. Without loss of generality we may assume that there is a vertex $x^{\prime} \in L-\left\{x, x_{1}\right\}$, such that $d\left(x^{\prime}, G^{\prime}\right)=p-2$. If $x^{\prime} y_{1} \in E$ then $d\left(x^{\prime}, G\right)=p-1$ and we apply Claim 1 . If $x^{\prime} y_{1} \notin E$ then, by the maximality of the sum (1), we have $d\left(x_{1}, G\right)=p-2$ and the graphs $G^{\prime \prime}=G-\left\{x_{1}, x^{\prime}, y_{1}, y\right\}$ and $H^{\prime \prime}=H-\left\{w, w^{\prime}, z, z^{\prime}\right\}$ are bi-placeable, unless $H^{\prime \prime}=K_{2,2}$, but then $G=\overline{K_{1,1}} \cup K_{1,1} \cup K_{2,2}$ and $H=2 K_{1,1} \cup K_{2,2}$ are bi-placeable. Any bi-placement of $G^{\prime \prime}$ and $H^{\prime \prime}$ may be easily extended to a bi-placement of $G$ and $H$.
By Claim 2 we may suppose that $d\left(x_{1}, G\right)+d\left(y_{1}, G\right)<4$. Consider the following three subcases.

Subcase 2.1.1.1. $d\left(x_{1}, G\right)+d\left(y_{1}, G\right)=1$.
Without loss of generality we may suppose that $d\left(x_{1}, G\right)=1$ and $d\left(y_{1}, G\right)=$ 0 . By the maximality of the sum (1) we have $d(u, G) \leq 1$ for every $u \in L$ and therefore $2 p-3=\|G\| \leq p-1$, contrary to $p \geq 4$.

Subcase 2.1.1.2. $d\left(x_{1}, G\right)+d\left(y_{1}, G\right)=2$.

- $d\left(x_{1}, G\right)=d\left(y_{1}, G\right)=1$.

Then the degree of each vertex in $L$ which is not a neighbour of $y_{1}$ is 1 at the most. Denote by $x_{2}$ the neighbor of $y_{1}$. We have $2 p-3=\|G\| \leq$ $p-2+d\left(x_{2}, G\right)$. Hence $d\left(x_{2}, G\right)=p-1$ and the theorem follows from Claim 1.

- $d\left(x_{1}, G\right)=0, d\left(y_{1}, G\right)=2$.

Then all the vertices of $L$ which are not the neighbors of $y_{1}$ are isolated. Since $\|G\|=2 p-3$ one of the two neighbors of $y_{1}$ has degree at least $p-1$ and we may apply Claim 1.

Subcase 2.1.1.3. $d\left(x_{1}, G\right)+d\left(y_{1}, G\right)=3$.

- $d\left(x_{1}, G\right)=3, d\left(y_{1}, G\right)=0$.

Note that in this subcase we have necessarily $p \geq 5$ (since in $R$, except of the vertices $y$ and $y_{1}$ which are isolated, we have three neighbors of $x_{1}$ ). Let $y_{2}, y_{3}$ and $y_{4}$ be the neighbors of $x_{1}$. By the maximality of the sum (1) each vertex of $R$ which is not a neighbor of $x_{1}$ is isolated. One of the vertices $y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}$ has the degree equal to 3 otherwise $2 p-3 \leq 6$ and therefore $p \leq 4$, which is a contradiction. Without loss of generality we may suppose $d\left(y_{2}, G\right)=3$. Note that now the vertices of $L$ which are not the neighbors of $y_{2}$ are isolated in $G$. Hence $2 p-3=\|G\| \leq 9$ and, in consequence, either $p=5$ or $p=6$. If $p=6$ then $G=K_{3,3} \cup \overline{K_{3,3}}$ and $H=C_{8} \cup 2 K_{1,1}$ (exceptional couple (4)). If $p=5$ then $H=C_{6} \cup 2 K_{1,1}$ and $G$ is one of two graphs $G_{1}, G_{2}$ depicted in Figure 4 (note that in $G_{2}$ there are two nonadjacent vertices $u \in L$ and $v \in R$ with degree sum equal to 4 ).


Figure 4. Two bi-placeable graphs with $G=C_{6} \cup 2 K_{1,1}$.

- $d\left(x_{1}, G\right)=2, d\left(y_{1}, G\right)=1$ and there is no vertex of degree greater than 2 in $G$.

In $R$ there is one isolated vertex (the vertex $y$ ), one pendent vertex (the vertex $y_{1}$ ) and all remaining vertices have their degrees equal to 2 . Hence $p=4$ (otherwise there is a vertex $y^{\prime} \in R$ such that $d\left(x_{1}, G\right)+d\left(y^{\prime}, G\right)=4$ and $x_{1}$ and $y^{\prime}$ are nonadjacent, so Claim 2 is applicable), $G=\overline{K_{1,1}} \cup K_{1,1} \cup C_{4}$, $H=2 K_{1,1} \cup K_{2,2}$ and $G$ and $H$ are bi-placeable.

Subcase 2.1.2. $\delta_{R}(G)=0$ and $\delta_{L}(G)=1$. Let $y \in R$ be an isolated vertex of $G, x_{1} \in L$ a vertex of degree 1 and $y_{1} \in R$ its neighbor in $G$. Let $x_{2} \in L$ be a vertex not adjacent to $y_{1}$ such that the
sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(x_{2}, G\right)+d\left(y_{1}, G\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is maximum (note, that if $d\left(y_{1}, G\right)=p$ then $G$ and $H$ form an exceptional couple (2)).

Subcase 2.1.2.1. $d\left(x_{2}, G\right)+d\left(y_{1}, G\right) \geq 4$.
Then, by the induction hypothesis, either $G^{\prime}=G-\left\{x_{1}, y, x_{2}, y_{1}\right\}$ and $H^{\prime}=$ $H-\left\{w, z, w_{1}, z_{1}\right\}$ are bi-placeable or $G^{\prime}$ and $H^{\prime}$ form an exceptional couple (1)-(4).

- If there is a bi-placement of $G^{\prime}$ and $H^{\prime}$, then it may be extended to a bi-placement of $G$ and $H$ by mapping $x_{2} \mapsto w, y_{1} \mapsto z, x_{1} \mapsto w_{1}, y \mapsto z_{1}$.
- Suppose that $\Delta_{L}\left(G^{\prime}\right)=p-2$. Let $x_{3} \in L-\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$ be a vertex of degree $p-2$ in $G^{\prime}$. Since $\delta_{L}(G)=1$ and $(p-2)+(p-1)=\|G\|$, we have $d\left(x_{3}, G\right)=p-2$. Moreover, since $x_{3}$ and $y_{1}$ are nonadjacent and, by the maximality of the degree sum (2), we have also $d\left(x_{2}, G\right)=p-2$ and $2 p-3=\|G\| \geq 2(p-2)+p-2$. This gives $p \leq 3$, a contradiction.
- Suppose that there is a vertex $y_{2} \in R\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ such that $d\left(y_{2}, G^{\prime}\right)=p-2$. If $d\left(y_{2}, G\right)=p-1$ then $G-\left\{x_{1}, y_{2}\right\}$ and $H-\{w, z\}$ are bi-placeable by Theorem 1, and bi-placeability of $G$ and $H$ follows easily. So we may assume that $x_{2}$ and $y_{2}$ are nonadjacent. Since $d\left(x_{2}, G\right) \geq 1$, we have $\left\|G-\left\{x_{2}, y_{2}\right\}\right\| \leq p-2$ and, again by Theorem $1, G-\left\{x_{2}, y_{2}\right\}$ and $H-\{w, z\}$ are bi-placeable. $x_{2} \mapsto w, y_{2} \mapsto z$ extands any bi-placement of $G-\left\{x_{2}, y_{2}\right\}$ and $H-\{w, z\}$ to a bi-placement of $G$ and $H$.

Note that, since $H$ contains two independent edges, $H^{\prime} \neq K_{2,2} \cup \overline{K_{1,1}}$. For $p-2=6$ the vertices $w_{1}$ and $z_{1}$ may be chosen in such a way that $H^{\prime} \neq C_{8} \cup$ $2 K_{1,1}$. Hence $G^{\prime}$ and $H^{\prime}$ may be supposed to form neither the exceptional couple (3) nor the exceptional couple (4).

Subcase 2.1.2.2. If $u, v \in L$ and $t \in R$ are such vertices of $G$ that $d(u, G)=1, t$ is the neighbor of $u$ and the vertices $v$ and $t$ are nonadjacent, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(v, G)+d(t, G)<4 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

- If $d\left(y_{1}, G\right) \geq 3$, then either $d\left(y_{1}, G\right)=p$ and $G$ and $H$ form an excluded couple, or there is a vertex $s \in L$ not adjacent to $y_{1}$. Since $\delta_{L}(G) \geq 1$, this contradicts (3).
- Suppose that $d\left(y_{1}, G\right)=2$ and let $x_{3}$ denote the second neighbor of $y_{1}$. By (3) we have $d(a, G) \leq 1$ for every $a \in L-\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}\right\}$. Hence $d(a, G)=1$ for every $a \in L-\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}\right\}$ and $2 p-3=\|G\|=1+d\left(x_{3}, G\right)+p-2=d\left(x_{3}, G\right)+p-1$ and therefore $d\left(x_{3}, G\right)=p-2$.

Let $y_{2} \in R$ be a vertex of the maximum degree in $R$, such that $y_{2} \neq y_{1}$ (since $p \geq 4$ we check at once that such a vertex exists). We have $\| G$ $\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}, y, y_{2}\right\}\|\leq(2 p-3)-p=p-3\| H-,\left\{w, z, w^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right\} \| \leq 2 p-4$ and, by Theorem 1, there is a bi-placement of $G-\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}, y, y_{2}\right\}$ and $H-\left\{w, z, w^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right\}$ which may be easily extended to a bi-placement of $G$ and $H$.

- Hence we may suppose that the neighbor of every pendent vertex $u \in L$ is also pendent.

It is clear by (3), that for every $u \in L$ we have $d(u, G) \leq 2$. Since $\delta_{L}(G) \geq 1$, we have exactly three vertices of degree 1 in $L(G)$ while the remaining $p-3$ vertices have their degree equal to 2 . Let $x_{1} \in L, y_{1} \in R$ be two pendent vertices adjacent in $G ; x_{2} \in L$ such that $d\left(x_{2}, G\right)=1$ and $y_{3} \in R$ of maximum degree in $R$ (note that $d\left(y_{3}, G\right) \geq 2$ ). In $H$ we choose the vertices $w, w^{\prime} \in L^{\prime}, z \in R^{\prime}$ (each of which has its degree equal to 1 ) and $z_{1} \in R^{\prime}$ with $d\left(z_{1}, H\right)=2$. We have $\left\|G-\left\{x_{1}, y_{1}, x_{2}, y_{3}\right\}\right\| \leq$ $\|G\|-4=2(p-2)-3$ and $\left\|H-\left\{w, z, w^{\prime}, z_{1}\right\}\right\| \leq 2(p-2)$. By the induction hypothesis $G^{\prime}=G-\left\{x_{1}, y_{1}, x_{2}, y_{3}\right\}$ and $H^{\prime}=H-\left\{w, z, w^{\prime}, z_{1}\right\}$ are bi-placeable (note that $G^{\prime}$ and $H^{\prime}$ are not an excluded couple). Every bi-placement of $G^{\prime}$ and $H^{\prime}$ may be extended to a bi-placement of $G$ and $H$ by mapping $x_{1} \mapsto w^{\prime}, x_{2} \mapsto w, y_{1} \mapsto z_{1}, y_{3} \mapsto z$.

Subcase 2.1.3. There are no isolated vertices in $V(G)(\delta(G) \geq 1)$. Let $x \in L, y \in R$ be nonadjacent pendent vertices in $V(G), y_{1} \in N(x, G)$, $x_{1} \in N(y, G)$ and let $w, w_{1} \in L^{\prime}, z, z_{1} \in R^{\prime}$ be such that $w z$ and $w_{1} z_{1}$ are isolated edges in $H$.

Subcase 2.1.3.1. We can choose vertices $x$ and $y$ in such a way that $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \notin E$.

Put $G_{3}^{\prime}=G-\{x, y\}$ and $H_{3}^{\prime}=H-\left\{w, z_{1}\right\}$. Note that $\Delta\left(G_{3}^{\prime}\right)<p-1$, otherwise since $\delta(G) \geq 1$ we would have $\|G\| \geq 2(p-1)$. For $p=4$ we may
choose $x$ and $y$ such that $G_{3}^{\prime} \neq 3 \overline{K_{1,1}}$. It is also clear that $H_{3}^{\prime} \neq C_{8} \cup 2 \overline{K_{1,1}}$. Hence, by the induction hypothesis, there is a bi-placement of $G_{3}^{\prime}$ and $H_{3}^{\prime}$.

- If $f\left(x_{1}\right) \neq w_{1}$ and $f\left(y_{1}\right) \neq z$ then we extend $f$ to a bi-placing of $G$ and $H$ by mapping $x \mapsto w, y \mapsto z_{1}$.
- If $f\left(x_{1}\right)=w_{1}$ and $f\left(y_{1}\right)=z$ then $f_{*}$ defined by: $f_{*}(v)=f(v)$ for every $v \in V\left(G_{3}^{\prime}\right)-\left\{x_{1}, y_{1}\right\}, f_{*}(x)=w, f_{*}\left(y_{1}\right)=z_{1}, f_{*}(y)=z$ and $f_{*}\left(x_{1}\right)=w_{1}$ is a desired bi-placement of $G$ and $H$.
- If $f\left(x_{1}\right)=w_{1}$ and $f\left(y_{1}\right)=z^{\prime} \neq z$ then there is a vertex $y^{\prime} \in R\left(G_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $f\left(y^{\prime}\right)=z$. Define $f_{*}$ by the formula $f_{*}(v)=f(v)$ for every $v \in V\left(G_{3}^{\prime}\right)-\left\{y_{1}\right\}, f_{*}(x)=w, f_{*}\left(y_{1}\right)=z_{1}$ and $f_{*}(y)=z^{\prime}$.

Subcase 2.1.3.2. For each choice of vertices $x$ and $y$ we have $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \in E$. If $d\left(x_{1}, G\right)=p$ or $d\left(y_{1}, G\right)=p$ then $G$ and $H$ are exceptional and the theorem is proved. So assume that $d\left(x_{1}, G\right) \leq p-1$ and $d\left(y_{1}, G\right) \leq p-1$. Note that $G_{3}^{\prime}=G-\{x, y\}$ and $H_{3}^{\prime}=H-\left\{w, z_{1}\right\}$ is not an exceptional couple of graphs hence, by induction hypothesis, there is a bi-placement of $G_{3}^{\prime}$ and $H_{3}^{\prime}$. If $f\left(x_{1}\right) \neq w_{1}$ and $f\left(y_{1}\right) \neq z$ we extend $f$ to a bi-placement of $G$ and $H$ easily.

So, we suppose that $f\left(x_{1}\right)=w_{1}$ or $f\left(y_{1}\right)=z$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $f\left(x_{1}\right)=w_{1}$. Then there is a vertex $y_{2} \in R-N\left(x_{1}, G\right)$ and a vertex $z_{2} \in R\left(H_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $f\left(y_{2}\right)=z_{2}$. We map $y \mapsto z_{2}, y_{2} \mapsto z_{1}$ and

- if $f\left(y_{1}\right) \neq z$ then $x \mapsto w$,
- if $f\left(y_{1}\right)=z$ then choose $x_{2} \in L-N\left(y_{1}, G\right)$. Let $w_{2}=f\left(x_{2}\right)$. Map $x \mapsto w_{2}, x_{2} \mapsto w$.

Subcase 2.2. $\left|P_{1}\right| \geq 3$ or $\left|P_{2}\right| \geq 3$.
Subcase 2.2.1. There is an isolated vertex, say $y$, in $V(G)$.
Without loss of generality we may assume that $y \in R$. Let $x \in L$ and $d(x, G)=\Delta_{L}(G)$. There is a pendent vertex $w \in L^{\prime}$ such that, if $z \in$ $N(w, H)$ then $d(z, H)=2$. If the graphs $G^{\prime}=\{x, y\}$ and $H^{\prime}=\{w, z\}$ are bi-placeable, then there is also a bi-placement of $G$ and $H$. Note also, that the the couple $G^{\prime}$ and $H^{\prime}$ is neither exception (3) nor (4) of the theorem. Hence, by the induction hypothesis, $\Delta\left(G^{\prime}\right)=p-1$. Note that since $\Delta_{L}(G)=$ $d(x, G)$ we have $\Delta\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\Delta_{R}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, otherwise $\|G\| \geq 2(p-1)$, a contradiction.

Let $y_{1} \in R\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ be a vertex of degree $p-1$ in $G^{\prime}$. If $d\left(y_{1}, G\right)=p$ then $G$ and $H$ is an exceptional couple of graphs. For $d\left(y_{1}, G\right)=p-1$ define $G^{\prime \prime}=G-\left\{x, x_{1}, y, y_{1}\right\}$ where $x_{1} \in L(G)$ is a pendent vertex of $G$ and $H^{\prime \prime}=$ $H-\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, z_{1}, z_{2}\right\}$, where $w_{1}, w_{2} \in L(H), z_{1}, z_{2} \in R(H), z_{1}$ is pendent, $w_{1}$ is the neighbor of $z_{1}, z_{2}$ is a neighbor of $w_{1}$ if $d\left(w_{1}, G\right)=2$, otherwise $z_{2}$ is any vertex of $R(G)-\left\{z_{1}\right\}$, and $w_{2}$ is any vertex of $L(G)-\left\{w_{1}\right\}$. We have $\left\|G^{\prime \prime}\right\| \leq 2 p-3-(p-1+2)<p-3$ and $\left\|H^{\prime \prime}\right\|<2(p-2)$ hence, by Theorem 1, $G^{\prime \prime}$ and $H^{\prime \prime}$ are bi-placeable. The mappings $x \mapsto w_{1}, x_{1} \mapsto w_{2}, y_{1} \mapsto z_{1}, y \mapsto$ $z_{2}$ extend any bi-placement of $G^{\prime \prime}$ and $H^{\prime \prime}$ to a bi-placement of $G$ and $H$.

Subcase 2.2.2. There is no isolated vertex in $V(G)$.
There are pendent vertices $x \in L$ and $y \in R$ such that $(x, y) \notin E$. Let $y_{1}$ be the neighbor of $x$ and $x_{1}$ the neighbor of $y$ in $G$.

It is easily seen that in $H$ there are pendent vertices $w \in L^{\prime}$ and $z \in R^{\prime}$, such that their respective neighbors $z^{\prime} \in R^{\prime}$ and $w^{\prime} \in L^{\prime}$ have their degrees equal to 2. Note that the couple of graphs $G^{\prime}=G-\{x, y\}$ and $H^{\prime}=$ $H-\left\{w, z^{\prime}\right\}$ is not exceptional. Hence, by induction hypothesis, $G^{\prime}$ and $H^{\prime}$ are bi-placeable.

Let $w_{1}$ be the second neighbor of $z^{\prime}$ in $H\left(w_{1} \neq w\right)$. If there is a biplacement $f$ of $G^{\prime}$ and $H^{\prime}$ such that $f\left(x_{1}\right) \neq w_{1}$ then $f$ may be extended by the mapping $x \rightarrow w, y \rightarrow z^{\prime}$ to a bi-placement of $G$ and $H$. Therefore we may assume that $f\left(x_{1}\right)=w_{1}$.

We shall prove that $d\left(x_{1}, G\right)=p-2$ and for every $v \in L-\left\{x_{1}\right\} d(v, G)=$ 1 (unless $G$ and $H$ are bi-placeable). It is clear that $d\left(x_{1}, G\right) \leq p-2$, since there is no isolated vertex in $L$ and $\sum_{v \in L} d(v, G)=2 p-3$. Moreover, if $d\left(x_{1}, G\right)=p-2$ then all remaining vertices of $L$ are pendent.

Suppose that $d\left(x_{1}, G\right) \leq p-3$. Then there is a vertex $y_{2} \in R$ such that $y_{2} \neq y_{1}, x_{1} y_{2} \notin E(G)$ and $f\left(x_{1}\right) f\left(y_{2}\right) \notin E(H)$ (we remember that $w_{1}$ has in $H$ at most two neighbors). Let $z^{\prime \prime}$ denote the vertex $f\left(y_{2}\right)$ and define $f_{*}: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ by the following formulas: $f_{*}(v)=f(v)$ for $v \neq x, y, y_{2}$, $f_{*}(x)=w, f_{*}\left(y_{2}\right)=z^{\prime}$ and $f_{*}(y)=z^{\prime \prime} . f_{*}$ is a bi-placement of $G$ and $H$.

In the exactly the same way we prove that either $G$ and $H$ are biplaceable, or $d\left(y_{1}, G\right)=p-2$.

Observe now that either

- $x_{1}$ and $y_{1}$ are adjacent and $G$ is the union of two independent edges and two stars $K_{1, p-3}$ and $K_{p-3,1}$ with adjacent centers (see Figure 5a) or else
- $x_{1}$ and $y_{1}$ are nonadjacent and $G$ is the union of two stars $K_{1, p-2}$, $K_{p-2,1}$ and an isolated edge (see Figure 5(b)).


Figure 5
To finish the proof one may verify easily that then $G$ and $H$ (which is a union of two non-trivial paths and some cycles) are bi-placeable.
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