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#### Abstract

Let $G$ be a graph and $C$ be a set of cycles of $G$. The tree graph of $G$ defined by $C$, is the graph $T(G, C)$ that has one vertex for each spanning tree of $G$, in which two trees $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ are adjacent if their symmetric difference consists of two edges and the unique cycle contained in $T \cup T^{\prime}$ is an element of $C$. We give a necessary and sufficient condition for this graph to be connected for the case where every edge of $G$ belongs to at most two cycles in $C$.
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## Introduction

The tree graph of a connected graph $G$ is the graph $T(G)$ whose vertices are the spanning trees of $G$, in which two trees $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ are adjacent if $T \cup T^{\prime}$ contains a unique cycle. It is well-known that the $T(G)$ is always connected.

[^0]Several variations of the tree graph have been studied; see for instance the adjacency tree graph studied by Zhang and Chen in [5] and by Heinrich and Liu in [3], and the leaf exchange tree graph of Broersma and Li [1] and Harary et al. [2].

Let $G$ be a connected graph and $C$ be a set of cycles of $G$. The tree graph of $G$ defined by $C$ is the spanning subgraph $T(G, C)$ of $T(G)$ in which two trees $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ are adjacent if they are adjacent in $T(G)$ and the unique cycle contained in $T \cup T^{\prime}$ is an element of $C$.

A unicycle $U$ of $G$ is a connected spanning subgraph of $G$ that contains exactly one cycle. In [4], Li et al. defined the following property: A cycle $\sigma$ of $G$ has property $\Delta^{*}$ with respect to $C$ if for any unicycle $U$ of $G$ containing $\sigma$, there are two cycles $\delta, \gamma \in C$, contained in $U+e$ for some edge $e$ of $G$, such that $\sigma=\delta \Delta \gamma$. The closure $c l_{G}(C)$ of the set $C$ is the set of cycles obtained from $C$ by successively adding new cycles of $G$ that satisfy property $\Delta^{*}$ until no such cycle remains. A set $C$ is $\Delta^{*}$-dense if $c l_{G}(C)$ is the set of all cycles of $G$. Li et al. proved that if $T(G, C)$ is connected, then $C$ must span the cycle space of $G$ and that if $C$ is $\Delta^{*}$-dense, then $T(G, C)$ is connected.

In this article we define a weaker property which we call $\Delta^{+}$and show that being $\Delta^{+}$-dense is also a sufficient condition for $T(G, C)$ to be connected. We also prove that if $T(G, C)$ is connected and every edge of $G$ lies in at most two cycles of $C$, then $C$ is $\Delta^{+}$-dense.

## 1. $\Delta^{+}$-Dense: A New Sufficient Condition

We denote by $\Gamma(G)$ the cycle space of a graph $G$. Let $\sigma$ be a cycle and $U$ be a unicycle of $G$, we say that $U$ is a $\sigma$-unicycle if the unique cycle contained in $U$ is $\sigma$.

For an integer $k$, a cycle $\sigma$ and a $\sigma$-unicycle $U$ of $G,(\sigma, U)$ has property $\Delta_{k}$ with respect to $C$ if there exists a set of cycles $X=\left\{\sigma_{0}, \ldots, \sigma_{k}\right\} \subseteq C$ and a set of edges $Y=\left\{e_{0}, \ldots, e_{k-1}\right\} \subseteq E(G) \backslash E(U)$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:
$\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}\right) X$ is a basis of $\Gamma(U+Y)$.
$\left(\mathcal{P}_{2}\right)$ Let $\rho \in X$. For every edge $x \in E(\rho) \backslash E(\sigma)$, there exists a unique $\rho^{\prime} \in X$ such that $\rho \neq \rho^{\prime}$ and $x \in E\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)$.
${ }_{\left(\mathcal{P}_{3}\right)}$ For every edge $x \in E(\sigma)$, there exists a unique $\rho \in X$ such that $x \in E(\rho)$.

We say a cycle $\sigma$ of $G$ has property $\Delta^{+}$with respect to $C$ if for every $\sigma$-unicycle $U$ of $G$, there exists a positive integer $k$ such that $(\sigma, U)$ has property $\Delta_{k}$ with respect to $C$.

The closure $c l_{G}(C)^{+}$of the family $C$ is the set of cycles obtained from $C$ by successively adding new cycles of $G$ that satisfy property $\Delta^{+}$until no such cycle remains. It is not difficult to prove that this closure is well defined. We say $C$ is $\Delta^{+}$-dense if $c l_{G}^{+}(C)$ is the set of all cycles of $G$.

Remark 1. If $C$ is $\Delta^{*}$-dense, then $C$ is $\Delta^{+}$-dense.
Note that $\sigma$ has property $\Delta^{*}$ with respect to $C$ if and only if $(\sigma, U)$ has property $\Delta_{1}$ with respect to $C$ for every $\sigma$-unicycle $U$ of $G$.

Lemma 1. Let $C$ be a family of cycles of a connected graph $G, U$ be a $\sigma$-unicycle of $G$ for some cycle $\sigma \notin C$ and $k$ be a positive integer. If $(\sigma, U)$ has property $\Delta_{k}$ with respect to $C$, then every pair of spanning trees of $U$ is connected by a path in $T(G, C)$.

Proof. By induction on $k$. The induction basis follows from the proof of Lemma 3.1 in [4]. Nevertheless, we will include a proof here.

Let $U$ be a $\sigma$-unicycle of $G$ such that $(\sigma, U)$ has the property $\Delta_{1}$ and $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ be two spanning trees of $U$. There exists an edge $e \notin E(U)$ and two cycles $\delta, \gamma \in C$ of $U+e$ such that $\sigma=\delta \Delta \gamma$. Let $a$ and $b$ be edges of $U$ such that $T^{\prime}=(T-a)+b$.

If $a \in E(\delta) \backslash E(\gamma)$ and $b \in E(\gamma) \backslash E(\delta)$, let $Q=(T-a)+e$. It follows that $Q=\left(T^{\prime}-b\right)+e$. Since the unique cycle of $G$ contained in $Q \cup T$ is $\delta$ and the unique cycle of $G$ contained in $Q \cup T^{\prime}$ is $\gamma$, we have that $Q$ is adjacent to $T$ and to $T^{\prime}$ in $T(G, C)$. Thus, we have found the path $\left\{T, Q, T^{\prime}\right\}$ from $T$ to $T^{\prime}$ in $T(G, C)$.

If $a \in E(\gamma) \backslash E(\delta)$ and $b \in E(\delta) \backslash E(\gamma)$ we can interchange $\gamma$ with $\delta$ in the previous argument.

If $a, b \in E(\delta) \backslash E(\gamma)$ let $c \in E(\gamma) \backslash E(\delta)$ and consider the spanning trees $Q=(T-c)+e$ and $Q^{\prime}=\left(T^{\prime}-c\right)+e$ of $G$. Notice that $Q$ is adjacent to $T$ in $T(G, C)$ because the unique cycle contained in $Q \cup T$ is $\gamma$ and that $Q^{\prime}$ is adjacent to $T^{\prime}$ in $T(G, C)$ because the unique cycle contained in $T^{\prime} \cup Q$ is also $\gamma$. Even more, $Q$ is adjacent to $Q^{\prime}$ in $T(G, C)$ because $Q^{\prime}=(Q-a)+b$ and the unique cycle contained in $Q \cup Q^{\prime}$ is $\delta$. Thus, $\left\{T, Q, Q^{\prime}, T^{\prime}\right\}$ is a path connecting $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ in $T(G, C)$.

Finally, if $a, b \in E(\gamma) \backslash E(\delta)$, we interchange $\gamma$ with $\delta$ in the previous argument.

Suppose now that the result is true for every positive integer less than $k$. Let $U$ be a $\sigma$-unicycle of $G$ such that $(\sigma, U)$ has the property $\Delta_{k}$ with respect to $C$. Then there exists a set of cycles $X=\left\{\sigma_{0}, \ldots, \sigma_{k}\right\} \subseteq C$ and a set of edges $Y=\left\{e_{0}, \ldots, e_{k-1}\right\}$ of $G$ that satisfy $\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}\right),\left(\mathcal{P}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{P}_{3}\right)$.

Let $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ be spanning trees of $U$ and $a, b \in E(U)$ be such that $T^{\prime}=(T-a)+b$. Notice that $\sigma_{0} \Delta \cdots \Delta \sigma_{k}=\sigma$ because every edge of $\sigma$ is in exactly one cycle in $X$ and every edge of a cycle in $X$ that is not an edge of $\sigma$ is an edge of exactly two cycles in $X$. Thus, there must exist at least one cycle $\rho \in X$ such that $E(\rho) \cap E(\sigma) \neq \emptyset$. It follows that at least one edge $e \in E(\rho) \cap Y$ is such that $U+e$ contains two cycles $\delta$ and $\gamma$ such that $\delta \Delta \gamma=\sigma$. Without loss of generality we assume $e=e_{k-1}$.

Case 1. $a \in E(\delta) \backslash E(\gamma)$ and $b \in E(\gamma) \backslash E(\delta)$.
Subcase 1.1. $\delta \in X$.
We can rename the cycles in $X$ in such a way that $\delta=\sigma_{k}$. Let $U^{\prime}=$ $(U-a)+e_{k-1}$, clearly $U^{\prime}$ is a $\gamma$-unicycle of $G$. We shall prove that $\left(\gamma, U^{\prime}\right)$ has property $\Delta_{k-1}$. Let $X^{\prime}=\left\{\sigma_{0}, \ldots, \sigma_{k-1}\right\}$ and $Y^{\prime}=\left\{e_{0}, \ldots, e_{k-2}\right\}$.

Let $x \in E(\rho)$, for $\rho \in X^{\prime}$, then $x \in E(U) \cup Y=\left(E\left(U^{\prime}\right) \cup Y^{\prime}\right) \cup\{a\}$. Notice that $a \in E\left(\sigma_{k}\right) \cap E(\sigma)$, so the unique cycle in $X$ that contains $a$ is $\sigma_{k}$ because $\sigma, U, X$ and $Y$ satisfy $\left(\mathcal{P}_{3}\right)$. It follows that $x \neq a$, so $x \in E\left(U^{\prime}\right) \cup Y^{\prime}$. Then, every cycle in $X^{\prime}$ is a cycle of $U^{\prime}+Y^{\prime}$. The dimension of $\Gamma\left(U^{\prime}+Y^{\prime}\right)$ is $k$, and $X^{\prime}$ is a linear independent set consisting of $k$ cycles of $U^{\prime}+Y^{\prime}$, then $X^{\prime}$ is a basis of $\Gamma\left(U^{\prime}+Y^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore $\gamma, U^{\prime}, X^{\prime}$ and $Y^{\prime}$ satisfy property $\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}\right)$.

Let $\rho \in X^{\prime}$ and $x \in E(\rho) \backslash E(\gamma)$. If $x \in E(\sigma)$, then $x \in E(\sigma) \backslash E(\gamma)$. Since $\sigma=\gamma \Delta \sigma_{k}$ and $\sigma, U, X$ and $Y$ satisfy ( $\mathcal{P}_{3}$ ), the unique cycle in $X$ that contains $x$ is $\sigma_{k}$ which is impossible because $\rho \neq \sigma_{k}$ and $\rho \in X$. It follows that $x \notin E(\sigma)$ and $x \notin E\left(\sigma_{k}\right)$ because $\sigma=\sigma_{k} \Delta \gamma$. Since $\sigma, U, X$ and $Y$ satisfy $\left(\mathcal{P}_{2}\right)$, there exists a unique $\rho^{\prime} \in X$ such that $x \in E\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)$. Clearly $\rho^{\prime} \neq \sigma_{k}$, so $\rho^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$. Therefore $\gamma, U^{\prime}, X^{\prime}$ and $Y^{\prime}$ satisfy $\left(\mathcal{P}_{2}\right)$.

Let $x \in \sigma$. If $x \in E(\gamma)$, there exists a unique cycle $\rho \in X$ such that $x \in E(\rho)$ because $\sigma, U, X$ and $Y$ satisfy $\left(\mathcal{P}_{3}\right)$. Since $\sigma=\delta \Delta \gamma, \rho \neq \sigma_{k}$. It follows that $\rho \in X^{\prime}$. If $x \in E(\gamma) \backslash E(\sigma), x \in E\left(\sigma_{k}\right)$. We know that $\sigma, U, X$ and $Y$ satisfy $\left(\mathcal{P}_{2}\right)$ implying there exists a unique cycle $\rho \in X \backslash\left\{\sigma_{k}\right\}=X^{\prime}$ containing $x$. Therefore $\gamma, U^{\prime}, X^{\prime}$ and $Y^{\prime}$ satisfy $\left(\mathcal{P}_{3}\right)$.

Since $\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}\right),\left(\mathcal{P}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{P}_{3}\right)$ are satisfied, $\left(\gamma, U^{\prime}\right)$ has property $\Delta_{k-1}$ and the inductive hypothesis applies. Let $Q=(T-a)+e_{k-1}$, since $Q$ and $T^{\prime}$ are spanning trees of $U^{\prime}$, by induction $Q$ and $T^{\prime}$ are joined by a path in $T(G, C)$.

On the other hand, $T$ is adjacent to $Q$ in $T(G, C)$ because $\delta$ is the unique cycle of $T \cup Q$. So, $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ are also joined by a path in $T(G, C)$.

Subcase 1.2. $\gamma \in X$.
This case can be proved in the same way interchanging $\gamma$ with $\delta$ and $a$ with $b$.
Subcase 1.3. $\delta, \gamma \notin X$.
Since $X$ is a basis of $\Gamma(U+Y)$, by reordering X we can also assume that there exists an integer $1 \leq r \leq k-2$ such that $\sigma_{0} \Delta \cdots \Delta \sigma_{r}=\delta$. Since $\delta \Delta \gamma=\sigma=\sigma_{0} \Delta \cdots \Delta \sigma_{k}$, it follows that $\gamma=\sigma_{r+1} \Delta \cdots \Delta \sigma_{k}$.

Let $A=\left\{\sigma_{0}, \ldots, \sigma_{r}\right\}$ and $B=\left\{\sigma_{r+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{k}\right\}$. If an edge $e_{i} \in Y \backslash\left\{e_{k-1}\right\}$ is such that $e_{i} \in E(\rho)$ for some cycle $\rho \in A$ and $e_{i} \in E\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)$ for some $\rho^{\prime} \in B$, then $e_{i} \in E(\delta) \cap E(\gamma)$. Since $E(\delta) \cap E(\gamma) \subseteq E\left(U+e_{k-1}\right)$, $e_{i}=e_{k-1}$, which is impossible. Thus, $A$ and $B$ induce a partition of the edges in $Y \backslash\left\{e_{k-1}\right\}$ and this partition is not trivial because every $\rho \in X$ contains at least one edge in $Y \backslash\left\{e_{k-1}\right\}$.

Then, we can reorder the edges of $Y \backslash\left\{e_{k-1}\right\}$ in such a way that there exists an integer $0 \leq t \leq k-2$, such that $A^{\prime}=\left\{e_{0}, \ldots, e_{t-1}\right\}$ is the set of edges in $Y \backslash\left\{e_{k-1}\right\}$ contained in elements of $A$ and $B^{\prime}=\left\{e_{t}, \ldots, e_{k-2}\right\}$ is the set of edges in $Y \backslash\left\{e_{k-1}\right\}$ contained in elements of $B$.

Let $U_{1}=(U-b)+e_{k-1}$ and $U_{2}=(U-a)+e_{k-1}$. Notice that $U_{1}$ is a $\delta$-unicycle and $U_{2}$ is a $\gamma$-unicycle. We will show that $\left(\delta, U_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\gamma, U_{2}\right)$ have properties $\Delta_{r}$ and $\Delta_{k-r+1}$, respectively.

It is not difficult to see that no cycle of $A$ contains $b$ and that no cycle of $B$ contains $a$. That means that every cycle in $A$ is contained in $U_{1}+A^{\prime}$ and every cycle in $B$ is contained in $U_{2}+B^{\prime}$. It follows that $A$ is a linear independent set of $\Gamma\left(U_{1}+A^{\prime}\right)$ and $B$ is a linear independent set of $\Gamma\left(U_{2}+B^{\prime}\right)$, so $|A| \leq\left|A^{\prime}\right|+1$ and $|B| \leq\left|B^{\prime}\right|+1$. That is, $r+1 \leq t+1$ and $(k+1)-(r+1) \leq$ $((k-1)-t)+1$. Thus, $t \leq r \leq t$; this implies $r=t$.

Since the dimension of $\Gamma\left(U_{1}+A^{\prime}\right)$ is $t+1$ and $A$ is a linear independent set of $\Gamma\left(U_{1}+A^{\prime}\right)$ with $t+1$ cycles, $A$ is a basis of $\Gamma\left(U_{1}+A^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore $\delta$, $U_{1}, A$ and $A^{\prime}$ satisfy $\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}\right)$. Analogously $\gamma, U_{2}, B$ and $B^{\prime}$ satisfy $\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}\right)$.

Let $\rho \in A$ and $x \in E(\rho) \backslash E(\delta)$. If $x \in E(\sigma) \cap((E(\rho) \backslash E(\delta))$, there exists a unique cycle in $X$ that contains $x$, because $\sigma, U, X$ and $Y$ satisfy $\left(\mathcal{P}_{3}\right)$. Then, $\rho$ is the unique cycle of $X$ that contains $x$ and therefore also the unique cycle of $A$ that contains $x$. Thus, $x$ is an edge of $\Delta_{\rho \in A} \rho=\delta$ which is impossible. It follows that $x \notin E(\sigma)$. Since $\sigma, U, X$ and $Y$ satisfy $\left(\mathcal{P}_{2}\right)$, there exists a unique $\rho^{\prime} \in X$ such that $\rho^{\prime} \neq \rho$ and $x \in E\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)$. It is clear that if $\rho^{\prime} \in B$, then $x \in E(\gamma) \cap E(\delta)$ which is impossible. Thus, $\rho^{\prime} \in A$.

Therefore $\delta, U_{1}, A$ and $A^{\prime}$ satisfy ( $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ ). Analogously $\gamma, U_{2}, B$ and $B^{\prime}$ satisfy $\left(\mathcal{P}_{2}\right)$.

Let $x \in E(\delta)$. If $x \in E(\sigma)$, then there exists a unique cycle $\rho \in X$ such that $x \in E(\rho)$ because $\sigma, U, X$ and $Y$ satisfy $\left(\mathcal{P}_{3}\right)$. Since $x \in E(\delta)$, $\rho \in A$. If $x \notin E(\sigma)$, then $x \in E(\gamma) \cap E(\delta)$. Since $\delta=\Delta_{\rho \in A} \rho, x \in E(\rho)$ for some $\rho \in A$ and since $\gamma=\Delta_{\rho \in B} \rho, x \in E\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)$ for some $\rho^{\prime} \in B$. There are at most two cycles in $X$ containing $x$ because $(\sigma, U)$ has property $\Delta_{k}$ with respect to $C$. Thus, if $x \in E(\delta)$, there exists a unique cycle $\rho \in A$ such that $x \in E(\rho)$. Therefore $\delta, U_{1}, A$ and $A^{\prime}$ satisfy $\left(\mathcal{P}_{3}\right)$. Analogously $\gamma, U_{2}, B$ and $B^{\prime}$ satisfy $\left(\mathcal{P}_{3}\right)$.

We can now apply the inductive hypothesis to $\left(\delta, U_{1}\right)$ and to $\left(\gamma, U_{2}\right)$.
Let $R=(T-a)+e_{k-1}=\left(T^{\prime}-b\right)+e_{k-1}$. Since $R$ is a spanning tree of both $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$, there exists a path in $T(G, C)$ from $T$ to $R$ and a path from $R$ to $T^{\prime}$. Therefore $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ can also be joined by a path in $T(G, C)$.

Case 2. $a \in E(\gamma) \backslash E(\delta)$ and $b \in E(\delta) \backslash E(\gamma)$.
This case can be proved as Case 1 by interchanging $a$ with $b$.
Case 3. $a, b \in E(\delta) \backslash E(\gamma)$.
Consider an edge $c \in E(\gamma) \backslash E(\delta)$ and let $Q=(T-a)+c=\left(T^{\prime}-b\right)+c$. Notice that Q is a spanning tree of $U$. Applying Case 1 to $T$ and $Q$ and to $Q$ and $T^{\prime}$, we have that $T$ and $Q$ are joined by a path in $T(G, C)$ and also there exists a path between $Q$ and $T^{\prime}$ in $T(G, C)$. It follows that there exists a path from $T$ to $T^{\prime}$ in $T(G, C)$.

Case 4. $a, b \in E(\gamma) \backslash E(\delta)$.
The proof of this case is analogous to that of Case 3 by interchanging $\delta$ with $\gamma$.

Theorem 1. Let $C$ be a set of cycles of a connected graph $G$. The graph $T(G, C)$ is connected if and only if $T\left(G, c_{G}^{+}(C)\right)$ is connected.

Proof. If $T(G, C)$ is connected then $T\left(G, c_{G}^{+}(C)\right)$ is connected because $C \subseteq c l_{G}^{+}(C)$.

Let $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}$ be the sequence of cycles added to $C$ while obtaining $c l_{G}^{+}(C)$ and let $C_{0}=C$ and $C_{i}=C \cup\left\{\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{i}\right\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since $\sigma_{i}$ has property $\Delta^{+}$with respect to $C_{i-1}$, for every $\sigma_{i}$-unicycle $U$ of $G$ there exists a positive integer $k$ such that $\left(\sigma_{i}, U\right)$ has property $\Delta_{k}$ with respect to $C_{i-1}$.

Suppose that $T\left(G, C_{i}\right)$ is connected and let $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ be two spanning trees of $G$ adjacent in $T\left(G, C_{i}\right)$. If $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ are not adjacent in $T\left(G, C_{i-1}\right)$, then $T \cup T^{\prime}$ is a $\sigma_{i}$-unicycle. By Lemma 1 , there exists a $T T^{\prime}$-path in $T\left(G, C_{i-1}\right)$. Therefore if $T\left(G, C_{i}\right)$ is connected, then $T\left(G, C_{i-1}\right)$ is connected. Clearly this implies that if $T\left(G, c l_{G}^{+}(C)\right)$ is connected, then $T(G, C)$ is connected.

Corollary 1. If $C$ is $\Delta^{+}$-dense, then $T(G, C)$ is connected.
Proof. If $C$ is $\Delta^{+}$-dense, then $T\left(G, c l_{G}^{+}(C)\right)=T(G)$ which is always connected. By Theorem 1, $T(G, C)$ is connected.

## 2. Main Result

Let $G$ be a connected graph and $C$ be a family of cycles of $G$ such that $T(G, C)$ is connected. For any spanning trees $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ of $G$, let $d_{C}\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)$ denote the distance between $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ in $T(G, C)$. For any edge $x$ of $G$, we denote by $A_{x}$ the set of spanning trees of $G$ containing $x$. For a spanning tree $T$ of $G$ such that $x \notin E(T)$, the distance $d_{C}\left(T, A_{x}\right)$ from $T$ to $A_{x}$ is the minimum distance $d_{C}(T, R)$ with $R \in A_{x}$.

Lemma 2. Let $C$ be a family of cycles of a connected graph $G$ such that every edge of $G$ is in at most two cycles of $C$. Let $U$ be a $\sigma$-unicycle of $G$ for some cycle $\sigma \notin C$. If $T(G, C)$ is connected, then $(\sigma, U)$ has property $\Delta_{k}$ for some positive integer $k$.

Proof. Let $T$ be a spanning tree of $U$, and let $x$ be the unique edge of $U$ not in $T$. Since $T(G, C)$ is connected, there exists a spanning tree $T_{x}$ such that the path between $T$ and $T_{x}$ has length $d=d_{C}\left(T, A_{x}\right)$. Since $\sigma \notin C$, $d \geq 2$. We shall prove by induction on $d$ that $(\sigma, U)$ has property $\Delta_{k}$ for some integer $k$.

Suppose $d=2$ and let $S \notin A_{x}$ be such that $\left\{T, S, T_{x}\right\}$ is a path in $T(G, C)$. Since $T$ is adjacent to $S$ in $T(G, C)$, there exist $a \in E(T) \backslash E(S)$, $e \in E(S) \backslash E(T)$ and $\delta \in C$ such that $S=(T-a)+e$ and $S \cup T$ is a $\delta$-unicycle of $G$. On the other hand, since $S$ is adjacent to $T_{x}$ in $T(G, C)$, there exist $b \in E(S) \backslash E\left(T_{x}\right)$ and $\gamma \in C$ such that $T_{x}=(S-b)+x$ and $T_{x} \cup S$ is a $\gamma$-unicycle of $G$.

Notice that $x \in E(\gamma) \backslash E(\delta)$ since $S \notin A_{x}$. Thus $\delta \neq \gamma$ and $U+e$ contains three different cycles: $\delta, \gamma$ and $\sigma$. Therefore $\sigma=\delta \Delta \gamma$. We conclude that $(\sigma, U)$ has property $\Delta_{1}$.

We proceed by induction assuming $d \geq 3$ and that $(\gamma, V)$ has property $\Delta_{s}$ for some positive integer $s$ for each cycle $\gamma \notin C$ and each $\gamma$-unicycle $V$ of $G$ whenever there exists a spanning tree $R$ of $V$ such that $R+z=V$ for some edge $z \in E(V) \backslash E(R)$ and $d_{C}\left(R, A_{z}\right)<d$.

Let $\left\{T=T_{0}, T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}=T_{d}\right\}$ be a $T T_{x}$-path of length $d$ in $T(G, C)$. Since $T$ and $T_{1}$ are adjacent in $T(G, C)$, there exists $a \in E(T) \backslash E\left(T_{1}\right)$, $b \in E\left(T_{1}\right) \backslash E(T)$ and a cycle $\delta \in C$ such that $T_{1}=(T-a)+b$ and $T \cup T_{1}$ is a $\delta$-unicycle of $G$. Let $\gamma$ be the unique cycle in $V=T_{1}+x$.

Since $x \notin E\left(T_{1}\right), d_{C}\left(T_{1}, A_{x}\right)=d-1$. By the inductive hypothesis, $(\gamma, V)$ has property $\Delta_{s}$ for some integer $s$. Therefore there exists a set of cycles $X_{1}=\left\{\gamma_{0}, \ldots, \gamma_{s}\right\} \subseteq C$ and a set of edges $Y_{1}=\left\{e_{0}, \ldots, e_{s-1}\right\} \subseteq E(G) \backslash E(V)$ such that $\gamma, V, X_{1}$ and $Y_{1}$ satisfy $\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}\right),\left(\mathcal{P}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{P}_{3}\right)$.

Case 1. $\gamma=\sigma$.
If $b \notin E(\rho)$ for any $\rho \in X_{1}$ then $E(\rho) \subseteq(E(V) \backslash\{b\}) \cup E\left(Y_{1}\right)=E(U) \cup E\left(Y_{1}\right)$. So $(\sigma, U)$ has the property $\Delta_{s}$ because $\sigma, U, X_{1}$ and $Y_{1}$ satisfy $\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}\right),\left(\mathcal{P}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{P}_{3}\right)$.

Then, we can assume $b \in E(\rho)$ for some $\rho \in X_{1}$. Clearly $b \notin E(\sigma)$ because $b \notin E(U)$ and $U$ contains $\sigma$. Since $\gamma, V, X_{1}$ and $Y_{1}$ satisfy $\left(\mathcal{P}_{2}\right)$ there exists $\rho^{\prime} \in X_{1}$ such that $b \in E\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)$. Since $b$ is also an edge of $\delta$ and $b$ is in at most two cycles of $C$, without loss of generality we can assume $\rho=\delta$. Since $a \in E(\delta) \backslash E(V), a=e_{t}$ for some $0 \leq t \leq s-1$. Then, it is not difficult to prove that $\sigma, U, X$ and $Y$ satisfy $\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}\right),\left(\mathcal{P}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{P}_{3}\right)$, where $X=X_{1}$ and $Y=\left(Y_{1} \backslash\{a\}\right) \cup\{b\}$. This also implies that $(\sigma, U)$ has property $\Delta_{s}$.

Case 2. $\rho \neq \sigma$.
In this case $U+b$ contains exactly three cycles $\sigma, \delta, \gamma$. Since $b \notin E(\sigma)$, $b \in E(\delta) \cap E(\gamma)$ and $\delta \Delta \gamma=\sigma$.

Subcase 2.1. $a \notin E(\rho)$ for any $\rho \in X$.
Let $X=X_{1} \cup\{\delta\}$ and $Y=Y_{1} \cup\{a\}$. Since $\delta$ is the unique cycle in $X$ containing $a$, then $X$ is a linear independent set of $\Gamma(G)$. Note that every cycle in $X$ is a cycle of $U+Y$ and $\Gamma(U+Y)$ has dimension $s+1$, then $X$ is a basis of $\Gamma(U+Y)$.

Let $x \in E(\rho) \backslash E(\sigma)$ for some $\rho \in X$. If $x \notin E(\gamma)$, then there exists $\rho^{\prime} \in X_{1}$ such that $x \in E\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)$ because $\gamma, V, X_{1}$ and $Y_{1}$ satisfy $\left(\mathcal{P}_{2}\right)$. If $x \in E(\gamma)$ then $x \in E(\delta)$ because $\sigma=\delta \Delta \gamma$. It follows that if $x \in E(\rho)$, then $x$ lies in at least two cycles of $X$. Since every edge is in at most two cycles
of $C, x$ belongs to exactly two cycles of $X$. In other words, if $x \in E(\rho)$ for some $\rho \in X^{\prime}$, then there exists a unique cycle $\rho^{\prime} \in X$ such that $x \in E\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)$.

Let $x \in E(\sigma)$. If $x \notin E(\delta)$, then $x \in E(\gamma)$ because $\sigma=\delta \Delta \gamma$. Since $\gamma, V, X_{1}$, and $Y_{1}$ satisfy $\left(\mathcal{P}_{3}\right)$, there exists a unique cycle $\rho \in X_{1}$ such that $x \in E(\rho)$. Thus there exists a unique cycle $\rho \in X$ such that $x \in E(\rho)$ because $x \notin E(\delta)$.

Now suppose that $x \in E(\delta)$, then $x \notin E(\gamma)$ because $\sigma=\sigma \Delta \gamma$. If $x \in E(\rho)$ for some $\rho \in X_{1}$, then there exists $\rho^{\prime} \in X_{1}$ such that $x \in E\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)$ because $\gamma, V, X_{1}$ and $Y_{1}$ satisfy $\left(\mathcal{P}_{2}\right)$. Then $x$ is an edge of $\delta, \rho$ and $\rho^{\prime}$ which is impossible because $x$ can not be an edge of three cycles of $C$. Thus, the unique cycle in $X$ containing $x$ is $\delta$.

Therefore $\sigma, U, X$ and $Y$ satisfy $\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}\right),\left(\mathcal{P}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{P}_{3}\right)$. This implies that $(\sigma, U)$ has property $\Delta_{s+1}$.

Subcase 2.2. $a \in E(\rho)$ for some $\rho \in X$.
In this case we can suppose w.l.o.g that $a=e_{s-1}$. By property $\Delta_{s}$ of $(\gamma, V)$, the edge $a$ is in exactly two cycles of $X_{1}$. Since $a \in E(\delta)$ and $a$ is in at most two cycles of $C$, then we can assume $\delta=\gamma_{s}$. Let $X=X_{1} \backslash\left\{\gamma_{s}\right\}$ and $Y=Y_{1} \backslash\left\{e_{s-1}\right\}$.

Since $b \in E(\delta) \backslash E(\sigma)$ and $\sigma=\delta \Delta \gamma$, then $b \in E(\delta) \cap E(\gamma)$. Thus, there exists a unique cycle $\rho \in X_{1}$ containing $b$ because $\gamma, V, X_{1}$ and $Y_{1}$ satisfy ( $\mathcal{P}_{3}$ ). Therefore, $\delta=\rho$. It follows that $E(\rho) \subseteq E\left(V+Y_{1}\right)=$ $(E(U) \cup E(Y)) \backslash\{b\}$ for every $\rho \in X$. Then, it is clear that $X$ is a basis of $\Gamma(U+Y)$.

Let $x \in E(\rho) \backslash E(\sigma)$ for some $\rho \in X$. Since every edge in $E(\gamma) \backslash E(\sigma)$ is an edge of $\delta$, it follows that $E(\gamma) \cap E(\rho)=\emptyset$ because $\gamma, V, X_{1}$ and $Y_{1}$ satisfy $\left(\mathcal{P}_{3}\right)$. Thus, $x \notin E(\gamma)$. It follows that there exists a unique $\rho^{\prime} \in X_{1}$ such that $x \in E\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)$ because $V, X_{1}$ and $Y_{1}$ satisfy $\left(\mathcal{P}_{2}\right)$. If $\rho^{\prime}=\delta$, then $x \in E(\delta) \backslash(E(\sigma) \cup E(\gamma))$ which is impossible because $\sigma=\delta \Delta \gamma$. Therefore $\rho^{\prime} \in X$.

Let $x \in E(\sigma)$. If $x \in E(\sigma) \cap E(\gamma)$, there exists a unique $\rho \in X_{1}$ such that $x \in E(\rho)$ because $\gamma, V, X_{1}$ and $Y_{1}$ satisfy $\left(\mathcal{P}_{3}\right)$. Clearly $\rho \neq \delta$ because $E(\sigma) \cap E(\gamma) \cap E(\delta)=\emptyset$. If $x \in E(\sigma) \backslash E(\gamma)=E(\sigma) \cap E(\delta)$. Since $\delta \in X_{1}$ and $\gamma, V, X_{1}$ and $Y_{1}$ satisfy $\left(\mathcal{P}_{2}\right)$, there exists a unique $\rho^{\prime} \in X_{1}, \rho^{\prime} \neq \delta$ such that $x \in E\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)$. Thus, we have proved that there exists a unique $\rho \in X$ such that $x \in E(\rho)$.

Therefore $\sigma, U, X$ and $Y$ satisfy $\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}\right),\left(\mathcal{P}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{P}_{3}\right)$, which, in this case, implies that $(\sigma, U)$ has property $\Delta_{s-1}$.

Theorem 2. Let $C$ be a family of cycles of $G$ such that every edge of $G$ lies in at most two cycles of $C$. The graph $T(G, C)$ is connected if and only if every cycle $\sigma \notin C$ has property $\Delta^{+}$with respect to $C$.

Proof. If every cycle $\sigma \notin C$ has property $\Delta^{+}$with respect to $C$, then $C$ is $\Delta^{+}$-dense. By Corollary $1, T(G, C)$ is connected.

Conversely, let $\sigma$ be a cycle of $G$ not in $C$. By Lemma 2, if $T(G, C)$ is connected, then for each $\sigma$-unicycle of $G,(\sigma, U)$ has property $\Delta_{k}$ for some integer $k$. This implies that $\sigma$ has property $\Delta^{+}$with respect to $C$.
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