

A NEW UPPER BOUND FOR THE CHROMATIC NUMBER OF A GRAPH*

INGO SCHIERMEYER

Institut für Diskrete Mathematik und Algebra
Technische Universität Bergakademie Freiberg
09596 Freiberg, Germany

e-mail: schierme@tu-freiberg.de

Abstract

Let G be a graph of order n with clique number $\omega(G)$, chromatic number $\chi(G)$ and independence number $\alpha(G)$. We show that $\chi(G) \leq \frac{n+\omega+1-\alpha}{2}$. Moreover, $\chi(G) \leq \frac{n+\omega-\alpha}{2}$, if either $\omega + \alpha = n + 1$ and G is not a split graph or $\alpha + \omega = n - 1$ and G contains no induced $K_{\omega+3} - C_5$.

Keywords: Vertex colouring, chromatic number, upper bound.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C15, 05C69.

1. Introduction

We consider [10] for terminology and notation not defined here and consider finite, simple and undirected graphs only. A k -colouring of a graph G is an assignment of k different colours to the vertices of G such that adjacent vertices receive different colours. The minimum cardinality k for which G has a k -colouring is called the *chromatic number* of G and is denoted by $\chi(G)$ or briefly χ if no ambiguity can arise.

An obvious lower bound for χ is the size of a largest clique in a graph G . This number is called the *clique number* of G and denoted by $\omega(G)$ or briefly ω . Unfortunately, the computations of χ and ω are both NP-hard.

*Parts of this research were performed during the workshop HEREDITARNIA'05. Hospitality and financial support by UNISA at Pretoria and the University of Johannesburg are gratefully acknowledged.

By a classical result of Erdős [9] we know that the difference $\chi(G) - \omega(G)$ can be arbitrarily large. On the other hand the graphs, where χ attains the lower bound ω , form a graph class of great variety, even if we impose the equality on all induced subgraphs of a graph. A graph G is called *perfect* if the chromatic number $\chi(H)$ equals the clique number $\omega(H)$ for every induced subgraph H of G . More than four decades ago Berge [2] introduced the concept of perfect graphs.

Berge [3] conjectured that a graph G is perfect if and only if neither G nor its complement \bar{G} contains an induced odd cycle of order at least five. In honor of Berge the graphs defined by the righthand side of the conjecture are known as *Berge graphs*. This famous longstanding conjecture known as *Strong Perfect Graph Conjecture* has recently been solved by Chudnovsky, Robertson, Seymour and Thomas [7]. Polynomial time recognition algorithms for Berge graphs have recently been announced by Chudnovsky and Seymour and Cornuéjols, Liu and Vušković (see [8, ?, ?]).

Upper bounds for χ can be obtained by studying the degrees of the vertices of a graph G . In particular, we are interested in the *maximum degree* of G , which is denoted by $\Delta(G)$ or simply Δ . Obviously, the chromatic number of G is at most $\Delta + 1$. In fact, there is a simple recursive greedy algorithm for colouring G with at most $\Delta + 1$ colours. Having coloured $G - v$, we just colour the vertex v of G with one of the colours not appearing on any of the at most Δ neighbours of v .

Hence, for a given graph G , the clique number $\omega(G)$, the chromatic number $\chi(G)$ and the maximum degree $\Delta(G)$ satisfy

$$\omega(G) \leq \chi(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1.$$

In 1941 Brooks [5] determined for connected graphs G the families of graphs attaining the upper bound $\Delta(G) + 1$, namely complete graphs and odd cycles. This characterization leads to an improvement of the upper bound.

Theorem 1 [5]. *If a connected graph $G = (V, E)$ is neither complete nor an odd cycle, then G has a $\Delta(G)$ -colouring.*

Based on Lovász algorithmic proof [11] of Brooks Theorem it is possible to design a linear time algorithm (see for instance [1] for an implementation in time $O(|V| + |E|)$).

2. A New Upper Bound

Theorem 2. *Let G be a connected graph of order n with clique number $\omega(G)$, chromatic number $\chi(G)$ and independence number $\alpha(G)$. Then $\chi(G) \leq \frac{n+\omega+1-\alpha}{2}$. Moreover, $\chi(G) \leq \frac{n+\omega-\alpha}{2}$, if either $\omega + \alpha = n + 1$ and G is not a split graph or $\alpha + \omega = n - 1$ and G contains no induced $K_{\omega+3} - C_5$.*

Corollary 1 (Brigham and Dutton, 1985, [4]). *Let G be a connected graph of order n with clique number $\omega(G)$, chromatic number $\chi(G)$ and independence number $\alpha(G)$. Then $\chi(G) \leq \frac{n+\omega+1-\alpha}{2}$.*

Applying this upper bound both to G and its complement \overline{G} , we obtain the following result of Nordhaus and Gaddum [12].

Corollary 2 (Nordhaus and Gaddum, 1956, [12]). *Let G be a graph of order n with clique number $\omega(G)$, chromatic number $\chi(G)$ and independence number $\alpha(G)$. Then $\chi(G) + \chi(\overline{G}) \leq n + 1$.*

Combining Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 we obtain the following improved upper bound for the chromatic number of a graph.

Theorem 3. *Let G be a connected graph of order n with clique number $\omega(G)$, chromatic number $\chi(G)$, maximum degree $\Delta(G)$ and independence number $\alpha(G)$. Then $\chi(G) \leq \min\{\Delta(G) + 1, \frac{n+\omega+1-\alpha}{2}\}$. Moreover, if G contains no induced $(K_{\omega+3} - C_5)$ and is neither a split graph nor an odd cycle, then $\chi(G) \leq \min\{\Delta(G), \frac{n+\omega-\alpha}{2}\}$.*

For the proof of Theorem 2 we will make use of the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let G be a K_3 -free graph. Then $\chi(G) \leq \lfloor \frac{n+4}{3} \rfloor$*

Proof. We generate $t = \lceil \frac{n-(r(K_3, K_3)-1)}{3} \rceil = \lceil \frac{n-5}{3} \rceil$ independent sets I_1, I_2, \dots, I_t of order three using the Ramsey number $r(K_3, K_3) = 6$. Let $H = G - (\cup_{i=1}^t I_i)$. If $|V(H)| = 3$, then $\chi(H) \leq 2$ and thus $\chi(G) \leq \frac{n-3}{3} + 2 = \frac{n+3}{3}$. If $|V(H)| = 4$, then $\chi(H) \leq 2$ and thus $\chi(G) \leq \frac{n-4}{3} + 2 = \frac{n+2}{3}$. If $|V(H)| = 5$, then $\chi(H) \leq 3$ and thus $\chi(G) \leq \frac{n-5}{3} + 3 = \frac{n+4}{3}$. ■

Proof of Theorem 2. Let I be a maximum independent set and $F = G - I$. Compute a maximum matching with vertex set M in \overline{F} . Let $H = F - M$.

Then \overline{H} is independent and H is complete. Let $p = |V(H)|$. Then $\chi(G) \leq 1 + \frac{|M|}{2} + p = p + \frac{n-\alpha-p}{2} + 1 = \frac{n+p+2-\alpha}{2} \leq \frac{n+\omega+2-\alpha}{2}$.

If $\omega = p \geq 2$, then $d_H(v) \leq p - 1$ for all vertices $v \in I$. Hence each vertex of I can be coloured with a colour used for H . Hence $\chi(G) \leq \frac{n-\alpha-p}{2} + p = \frac{n+p-\alpha}{2} = \frac{n+\omega-\alpha}{2}$. If $\omega \geq p + 2$, then $\chi(G) \leq \frac{n+p+2-\alpha}{2} \leq \frac{n+\omega-\alpha}{2}$. Therefore, if $\omega \neq p + 1$, then $\chi(G) \leq \frac{n+\omega-\alpha}{2}$. So assume $\omega = p + 1$.

Case 1. $p = 1, \omega = 2$

Applying Lemma 1 to the graph $G - I$, we get $\chi(G) \leq 1 + \lfloor \frac{n-\alpha+4}{3} \rfloor \leq \frac{n-\alpha+7}{3} \leq \frac{n+2-\alpha}{2}$ for $\alpha \leq n - 8$. Hence we may assume $\alpha \geq n - 7$.

If $|M| = 6$, then $|V(F)| = 7$. If $\Delta(F) \geq 4$, then $\chi(F) \leq 3$. If $\Delta(F) = 3$, then $\chi(F) \leq 3$ by Brooks' Theorem (1). And if $\Delta(F) \leq 2$, then $\chi(F) \leq \Delta + 1 \leq 3$. Therefore $\chi(G) \leq 1 + 3 = 4 < \frac{n+2-\alpha}{2}$.

If $|M| = 4$, then $|V(F)| = 5$. If $\Delta(F) \geq 3$, then $\chi(F) \leq 2$. If $\Delta(F) = 2$, then $\chi(F) = 2$ or $H \cong C_5$. And if $\Delta(F) = 1$, then $\chi(F) \leq \Delta + 1 = 2$. Therefore $\chi(G) \leq 1 + 2 = 3 < \frac{n+2-\alpha}{2}$, if $F \not\cong C_5$. Suppose $F \cong C_5$. Since G is K_3 -free, we have $d_F(v) \leq 2$ for all vertices $v \in I$. Then any 3-colouring of the C_5 can be extended to a 3-colouring of G . Therefore $\chi(G) \leq 3 < \frac{n+2-\alpha}{2}$.

If $|M| = 2$, then $|V(F)| = 3$. If G is bipartite, then $\chi(G) \leq 2$. Else G contains a C_5 , since I is an independent set and $|V(F)| = 3$. We may assume that $V(F) = \{w_1, w_2, w_4\}$ and I contains two vertices w_3, w_5 such that $G[\{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4, w_5\}] \cong C_5$ with edges $w_i w_{i+1} \pmod{5}$. Since G is K_3 -free, we have $|N(v) \cap \{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4, w_5\}| \leq 2$ for all vertices $v \in I - \{w_3, w_5\}$. Then any 3-colouring of the C_5 can be extended to a 3-colouring of G . Hence $\chi(G) = 3 = \frac{n+3-\alpha}{2} = \frac{n+\omega+1-\alpha}{2}$. Note that $C_5 \cong K_{\omega+3} - C_5$ for $\omega = 2$.

If $|M| = 0$, then $|V(F)| = 1$. Thus G is a split graph. Since G is connected, $G \cong K_{1,n-1}$. Therefore, $\chi(G) = 2 = \frac{n+\omega+1-\alpha}{2}$.

Case 2. $p \geq 2$

Let $M = U \cup W = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_q\} \cup \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_q\}$ such that $u_i w_i \in E(\overline{G})$ for $1 \leq i \leq q$. If $u_i v, w_i v \notin E(G)$ for some i and a vertex $v \in V(H)$, then u_i, w_i can receive the same colour as v . Hence $\chi(G) \leq p + \frac{n-\alpha-p}{2} - 1 + 1 = \frac{n+p-\alpha}{2} < \frac{n+\omega-\alpha}{2}$.

If $u_i v_1, w_i v_2 \notin E(G)$ for four vertices $v_1, v_2 \in V(H)$ and $u_i, w_i \in M$, then M is not a maximum matching, since $u_i w_i \in E(\overline{G})$ could be replaced by $u_i v_1, w_i v_2 \in E(\overline{G})$, a contradiction. Hence we may assume that $d_H(u_i) = p$ for $1 \leq i \leq q$. Then $G[U]$ is independent, since $\omega = p + 1$. If $d_H(v) \leq p - 1$

for all vertices $v \in I$, then every vertex $v \in I$ can be coloured with a colour from H . Then $\chi(G) \leq \frac{n+p-\alpha}{2} < \frac{n+\omega-\alpha}{2}$.

So let $I_0 \subset I$ contain all vertices of I such that $d_H(v) = p$. Then $I_0 \cup U$ is independent, since $\omega = p + 1$. If $\chi(G[W]) \leq q - 1$, then $\chi(G) \leq \chi(G[V(H) \cup (I - I_0)]) + \chi(G[W]) + \chi(G[U \cup I_0]) \leq p + (q - 1) + 1 = \frac{n+p-\alpha}{2} < \frac{n+\omega-\alpha}{2}$ using one colour for all vertices of $I_0 \cup U$. If $\chi(G[W]) = q$, then $G[W] \cong K_q$. Let $d_H(w_1) \leq d_H(w_2) \leq \dots \leq d_H(w_q)$. If $v_1 w_i, v_2 w_j \notin E(G)$ for four vertices $v_1, v_2 \in V(H)$ and $w_i, w_j \in W$, then M is not a maximum matching, since $u_i w_i, u_j w_j \in E(\overline{G})$ could be replaced by $v_1 w_i, v_2 w_j, u_i u_j \in E(\overline{G})$, a contradiction. Therefore we may assume $N_H(w_1) \subset N_H(w_2) \subset \dots \subset N_H(w_q)$. This implies that either $d_H(w_i) = p$ for all $i \geq 2$ or $d_H(w_i) \geq p - 1$ for all $i \geq 1$. In both cases, one can deduce that $p + 1 \geq q + p - 1$, and therefore $q \leq 2$.

Subcase 2.1. $q = 2$

Suppose $w_1 v, w_2 v \in E(G)$ for a vertex $v \in I_0$. If $d_H(w_2) = p$, then $G[H \cup \{w_2, v\}]$ is complete. Hence $\omega(G) \geq p + 2$, a contradiction. If $d_H(w_2) = p - 1$, then $d_H(w_1) = p - 1$ and $N_H(w_1) = N_H(w_2)$. Then $\omega(G) \geq (p - 1) + 3 = p + 2$, a contradiction. Therefore $d_W(v) \leq 1$ for all vertices $v \in I_0$. Since $I_0 \cup U$ is independent we obtain $d(v) \leq p + 1$ for all vertices $v \in I_0$. Now $\chi(G - I_0) \leq \frac{n+p-\alpha}{2} = \frac{n+\omega-1-\alpha}{2} = p + 2$. Then any $(p + 2)$ -colouring of $G - I_0$ can be extended to a $(p + 2)$ -colouring of G and hence $\chi(G) \leq \frac{n+\omega-1-\alpha}{2}$.

Subcase 2.2. $q = 1$

We have $\alpha(G) + \omega(G) = n - 1$ and $\omega(G) = p + 1 \leq \chi(G) \leq p + 2$. We will now show that $\chi(G) = p + 1$, if G contains no $K_{p+4} - C_5$. Suppose that $\chi(G) = p + 1$. We may assume that the vertices of H receive colours $1, 2, \dots, p$ and that $c(v) = p + 1$ for all vertices $v \in I_0 \cup \{u_1\}$. If $d_H(w_1) = p$, then $I_0 \cup \{u_1, w_1\}$ is independent and we can choose $c(w_1) = p + 1$. Since $d_H(v) \leq p - 1$ for all vertices $v \in I - I_0$, we can choose $c(v) \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ for all vertices $v \in I - I_0$. Suppose now $d_H(w_1) \leq p - 1$. If $I_0 \cup \{u_1, w_1\}$ is independent, then the same colouring as above can be used. Hence assume that $w_1 x \in E(G)$ for a vertex $x \in I_0$. Choose $c(w_1) = i$ for a proper colour $i \in \{1, \dots, p\}$. Then we can find $c(v) \in \{1, \dots, p + 1\}$ for a vertex $v \in I - I_0$ unless $vu_1 \in E(G)$ and $N_H(w_1) = N_H(v)$ with $d_H(w_1) = d_H(v) = p - 1$. But then $G[H \cup \{u_1, w_1, x, v\}] \cong K_{p+4} - C_5$, a contradiction, since $\chi(K_{p+4} - C_5) = p + 2$.

Subcase 2.3. $q = 0$

Then G is a split graph with $\omega(G) + \alpha(G) = n + 1$ and $\chi(G) = \omega(G) = p + 1 = \frac{n + \omega + 1 - \alpha}{2}$.

Acknowledgement

We thank the referees for some valuable comments.

References

- [1] B. Baetz and D.R. Wood, *Brooks' Vertex Colouring Theorem in Linear Time*, TR CS-AAG-2001-05, Basser Dep. Comput. Sci., Univ. Sydney, (2001) 4 pages.
- [2] C. Berge, *Les problèmes de coloration en théorie des graphes*, Publ. Inst. Statist. Univ. Paris **9** (1960) 123–160.
- [3] C. Berge, *Perfect graphs*, in: Six papers on graph theory, Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta (1963), 1–21.
- [4] R.C. Brigham and R.D. Dutton, *A Compilation of Relations between Graph Invariants*, Networks **15** (1985) 73–107.
- [5] R.L. Brooks, *On colouring the nodes of a network*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **37** (1941) 194–197.
- [6] M. Chudnovsky, N. Robertson, P. Seymour and R. Thomas, *Progress on perfect graphs*, Math. Program. (B) **97** (2003) 405–422.
- [7] M. Chudnovsky, N. Robertson, P. Seymour and R. Thomas, *The strong perfect graph theorem*, Ann. Math. (2) **164** (2006) 51–229.
- [8] M. Chudnovsky, G. Cornuéjols, X. Liu, P. Seymour and K. Vušković, *Recognizing Berge Graphs*, Combinatorica **25** (2005) 143–186.
- [9] P. Erdős, *Graph theory and probability*, Canad. J. Math. **11** (1959) 34–38.
- [10] J.L. Gross and J. Yellen, *Handbook of Graph Theory* (CRC Press, 2004).
- [11] L. Lovász, *Three short proofs in graph theory*, J. Combin. Theory (B) **19** (1975) 269–271.
- [12] E.A. Nordhaus and J.W. Gaddum, *On complementary graphs*, Amer. Math. Monthly **63** (1956) 175–177.
- [13] B. Randerath and I. Schiermeyer, *Vertex colouring and forbidden subgraphs — a survey*, Graphs and Combinatorics **20** (2004) 1–40.

Received 20 January 2006
Revised 28 December 2006