A NOTE ON PACKING OF TWO COPIES OF A HYPERGRAPH

Monika Pilśniak

Faculty of Applied Mathematics

AGH University of Science and Technology

Mickiewicza 30, 30–059 Kraków, Poland

e-mail: pilsniak @ agh.edu.pl

AND

Mariusz Woźniak*

Institute of Mathematics
Polish Academy of Sciences
Św. Tomasza 30, Kraków, Poland

e-mail: mwozniak @ agh.edu.pl

Abstract

A 2-packing of a hypergraph \mathcal{H} is a permutation σ on $V(\mathcal{H})$ such that if an edge e belongs to $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H})$, then $\sigma(e)$ does not belong to $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H})$.

We prove that a hypergraph which does not contain neither empty edge \emptyset nor complete edge $V(\mathcal{H})$ and has at most $\frac{1}{2}n$ edges is 2-packable.

A 1-uniform hypergraph of order n with more than $\frac{1}{2}n$ edges shows that this result cannot be improved by increasing the size of \mathcal{H} .

Keywords: packing, hypergraphs.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C65, 05C70.

 $^{^{\}ast}\mathrm{On}$ leave from Faculty of Applied Mathematics, AGH University of Science and Technology.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, \mathcal{E})$ be a hypergraph where V is the *vertex set* and $\mathcal{E} \subset 2^V$ is the *edge set*. We shall assume that V and \mathcal{E} are non-empty but allow in general empty edges for technical reasons. So, a complete simple hypergraph of order n has 2^n edges. We consider only finite hypergraphs.

An edge $e \in \mathcal{E}$ is called a *singleton* if |e| = 1. A vertex is *isolated* if no edge contains it. The number d(v) of edges containing a vertex v is called the degree of $v \in V$. A hypergraph is t-uniform if |e| = t for all $e \in \mathcal{E}$.

Let \mathcal{H} be a hypergraph of order n. A packing of two copies of \mathcal{H} (2-packing of \mathcal{H}) is a permutation σ on $V(\mathcal{H})$ such that if an edge $e = \{x_1, \ldots, x_k\}$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H})$, then the edge $\sigma(e) = \{\sigma(x_1), \ldots, \sigma(x_k)\}$ does not belong to $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H})$. Such a permutation (a packing permutation) is called also an embedding of \mathcal{H} into its complement.

Let us consider a hypergraph \mathcal{H} and a permutation σ on V. We have $\sigma(V) = V$ and $\sigma(\emptyset) = \emptyset$. So, if $V \in \mathcal{E}$ or $\emptyset \in \mathcal{E}$ then \mathcal{H} cannot be packable. The hypergraph \mathcal{H} such that neither $\emptyset \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H})$ nor $V \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H})$ is called admissible. We consider only admissible hypergraphs.

Let \mathcal{H} be an admissible hypergraph. Let us consider a hypergraph $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = (V, \tilde{\mathcal{E}})$ with the same vertex set V and the edge set, $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ obtained from \mathcal{E} in the following way: if $e \in \mathcal{E}$ has at most $\frac{n}{2}$ vertices then e belongs to $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ and if e has more than $\frac{n}{2}$ vertices, then e is replaced by $V \setminus e$ with convention that each double edge eventually created in this way is replaced by a single one.

Remark. Let \mathcal{H} be an admissible hypergraph of order n and size at most $\frac{1}{2}n$. Let us observe that a hypergraph $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is 2-packable iff the hypergraph \mathcal{H} is 2-packable.

Therefore, we will consider 2-packing of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ in the proof of Theorem 2, although we shall write \mathcal{H} .

A 2-uniform hypergraph is called a graph. The packing problems for graphs have been studied for about thirty years (see for instance chapters in the books by B. Bollobás or H.P. Yap ([2, 7]) or survey papers by H.P. Yap or M. Woźniak ([8, 5, 6] and [4])). One of the first results in this area was the following theorem (see [3]).

Theorem 1. A graph G of order n and size at most n-2 is 2-packable.

2. Main Result

The aim of this note is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2. An admissible hypergraph of order n and size at most $\frac{1}{2}n$ is 2-packable.

First, let us observe that this bound is best possible. Namely, if \mathcal{H} is a hypergraph of order n and has more than $\frac{1}{2}n$ edges and each edge is a singleton, then evidently \mathcal{H} is not packable.

Proof of Theorem 2. It is easy to see that the theorem is true for n = 2 and n = 3. So, let $n \ge 4$.

By Remark in the previous section, we may consider only hypergraphs which have only edges of cardinality at most $\frac{n}{2}$. Let \mathcal{H} be an admissible hypergraph. Denote by m_k the number of edges of cardinality k and let m be the size of \mathcal{H} . Thus

$$\frac{n}{2} \ge m = m_1 + m_2 + \ldots + m_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}.$$

The proof will be divided into two parts.

Case 1.
$$m_1 = 0$$

First, by using a 'probabilistic' argument we shall show that the packing permutation exists if \mathcal{H} has no singleton.

Let e and f be two edges of \mathcal{H} of the same cardinality and let σ be a random permutation on V. We say that an edge e covers an edge f (with respect to σ), if $\sigma(e) = f$. We write: $(e \curvearrowright f)$.

Let e and f be two edges of cardinality k. The probability of the event A that e covers f (denoted by $A(e \curvearrowright f)$)) is equal to

$$Pr(A(e \curvearrowright f)) = \frac{k!(n-k)!}{n!} = \binom{n}{k}^{-1}.$$

Let us observe, that the number of events that an edge of cardinality k cover some edge in \mathcal{H} of cardinality k is equal to m_k^2 .

So, we have

$$Pr\left(\bigcup_{e,f\in\mathcal{H}}A(e\curvearrowright f)\right)\leq\sum_{e,f\in\mathcal{H}}Pr\left(A(e\curvearrowright f)\right)$$

$$=m_2^2\binom{n}{2}^{-1}+m_3^2\binom{n}{3}^{-1}+\ldots+m_{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor}^2\binom{n}{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor}^{-1}.$$

Since $k \leq \frac{n}{2}$, the sequence $\binom{n}{2}^{-1}, \binom{n}{3}^{-1}, \ldots$ is decreasing and we have

$$m_{2}^{2} \binom{n}{2}^{-1} + m_{3}^{2} \binom{n}{3}^{-1} + \dots + m_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}^{2} \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}^{-1}$$

$$\leq \binom{n}{2}^{-1} \left(m_{2}^{2} + m_{3}^{2} + \dots + m_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}^{2} \right)$$

$$\leq \binom{n}{2}^{-1} \left(\frac{n}{2} \right)^{2} = \frac{n}{2(n-1)}.$$

It is easy to see that $\frac{n}{2(n-1)} < 1$ for n > 2. In consequence, there exists a packing of an admissible hypergraph \mathcal{H} of order n and size at most $\frac{1}{2}n$ into its complement, if \mathcal{H} does not have any singletons.

Case 2.
$$m_1 \geq 1$$

In this case we use the induction with respect to n. Let \mathcal{H} be an admissible hypergraph of order n and suppose that the theorem holds for n' < n.

Let $\{x\}$ be a singleton and let y be a vertex of \mathcal{H} such that $\{y\} \notin \mathcal{E}$ and $\{x,y\} \notin \mathcal{E}$. Such a y exists. For, otherwise each vertex other than x would be either a singleton or the end of an edge joining it with x, and we would get a contradiction with the size of \mathcal{H} .

Now, we construct a hypergraph $\mathcal{H}' = (V', \mathcal{E}')$ such that $V' = V - \{x, y\}$ and the set of edges is obtained from \mathcal{E} as follows: we delete the edge $\{x\}$ and we replace all edges containing x or y (or x and y) by new edges without these vertices. So \mathcal{H}' has one edge and two vertices less than \mathcal{H} . If $m_1 \neq 0$ in \mathcal{H}' then a packing permutation σ' exists by the induction hypothesis. If $m_1 = 0$ in \mathcal{H}' then a packing permutation σ' exists by Case 1.

By the choice of x and y and the property of σ' , it is easy to see that the permutation $\sigma = \sigma' \circ (xy)$ where (xy) denotes a transposition, is a packing permutation of \mathcal{H}

3. Some Open Problems

One of the objectives of this paper is to draw attention of the reader to some open problems.

- I. It would be interesting to consider an analogous problem for uniform hypergraphs. For t=1 the above result is still best possible. For t=2 the answer is given by Theorem 1. In the case t=3 we suppose (together with E. Győri) that the *right* bound of the size of a packable hypergraph is $k=\frac{1}{6}(n-2)(n+3)$. It is easy to see that this bound cannot be improved.
- **II.** Does Theorem 2 remain true if instead of packing of two copies of the same hypergraph of order n we pack two distinct hypergraphs of order n, both of size at most $\frac{1}{2}n$?
- III. A. Benhocine and A.P. Wojda in [1] proved that a graph of order n and size at most n-1 is 2-packable if and only if G is embeddable into a self complementary graph of the same order (for $n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$). It would be interesting to get an analogous result for hypergraphs.

References

- [1] A. Benhocine and A.P. Wojda, On self-complementation, J. Graph Theory 8 (1985) 335–341.
- [2] B. Bollobás, Extremal Graph Theory (Academic Press, London, 1978).
- [3] D. Burns and S. Schuster, Every (n, n-2) graph is contained in its complement, J. Graph Theory 1 (1977) 277–279.
- [4] M. Woźniak, *Embedding graphs of small size*, Discrete Applied Math. **51** (1994) 233–241.
- [5] M. Woźniak, Packing of graphs, Dissertationes Math. 362 (1997) 1–78.
- [6] M. Woźniak, Packing of graphs and permutations a survey, Discrete Math. 276 (2004) 379–391.
- [7] H.P. Yap, Some Topics in Graph Theory, London Math. Society, Lecture Notes Series, Vol. 108 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986).
- [8] H.P. Yap, *Packing of graphs* a survey, Discrete Math. **72** (1988) 395–404.

Received 5 September 2005 Revised 12 January 2007