Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory 27 (2007) 39–44

A NOTE ON UNIQUELY H-COLOURABLE GRAPHS

ANTHONY BONATO

Department of Mathematics Wilfrid Laurier University Waterloo, ON, Canada, N2L 3C5

e-mail: abonato@rogers.com

Abstract

For a graph H, we compare two notions of uniquely H-colourable graphs, where one is defined via automorphisms, the second by vertex partitions. We prove that the two notions of uniquely H-colourable are not identical for all H, and we give a condition for when they are identical. The condition is related to the first homomorphism theorem from algebra.

Keywords: graph homomorphisms, core graphs, uniquely *H*-colourable. 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C15, 05C75.

1. Introduction

A homomorphism from G to H is an edge-preserving vertex-mapping. If there is a homomorphism from G to H, then we say that G is H-colourable. For background and notation on graph homomorphisms, the reader is directed to [6]. Uniquely H-colourable graphs, where H is a fixed finite graph, have been studied by many authors; see, for example, [1, 2, 4, 9, 10]. The usual definition of uniquely H-colourable uses automorphisms of H, and as such, makes no explicit mention of vertex partitions. To be more explicit, recall that a graph H is a core if every endomorphism of H is an automorphism. For a core H, G is uniquely H-colourable if G is H-colourable, every

The author acknowledges the support of an NSERC Discovery grant.

homomorphism from G to H is surjective, and for all homomorphisms f, h from G to H, there is an automorphism g of H so that f = gh. We denote the class of uniquely H-colourable graphs by $C_u(H)$.

Given a homomorphism f from G to H, define $\ker(f) = \{(x,y) \in V(G) \times V(G) : f(x) = f(y)\}$. Then $\ker(f)$ is an equivalence relation whose equivalence classes, called *colour blocks*, are independent sets partitioning V(G). A graph G is *weakly uniquely* H-colourable if the last condition in the definition of uniquely H-colourable is replaced by: for all homomorphisms f, h from G to H, $\ker(f) = \ker(h)$. The class of weakly uniquely H-colourable graphs is written $C_{wu}(H)$. It is straightforward to see that $C_u(H) \subseteq C_{wu}(H)$. The classical notion of uniquely n-colourable graph introduced in [6] corresponds to weakly uniquely K_n -colourable graphs. Further, $C_u(K_n) = C_{wu}(K_n)$.

However, perhaps surprisingly, there are cores H such that $C_u(H) \subseteq C_{wu}(H)$. We demonstrate that there are infinitely many graphs H with this property; see Theorem 2. If $C_u(H) = C_{wu}(H)$, then we say that the core H is good; otherwise, we say that H is bad. Our goal in this short note is to present a condition for a core to be good that applies to a large number of cases; see Theorem 3 (2). We give an equivalent algebraic formulation of this condition in Theorem 3 (1). For related work on H-colourings and properties of cores, the reader is directed to [7, 8].

2. Good, Great, and Bad Cores

All graphs we consider are finite, undirected, and simple. Define Hom(G, H) be the set of homomorphisms from G into H. The monoid Hom(H, H) of endomorphisms of H under composition is denoted End(H). We write Aut(H) for the group of automorphisms of H under composition. If X is a set, then we write Sym(X) for the set of bijections from X to itself.

Before we can give examples of bad cores, we need the following straightforward lemma.

Lemma 1. Let H be a core graph. Then $G \in C_{wu}(H)$ if and only if G is H-colourable, every element of Hom(G, H) is surjective, and for all $f, h \in Hom(G, H)$, there is a $g \in Sym(V(H))$ so that f = gh.

Proof. Assume |V(H)| = n, for some $n \ge 1$. For the forward direction, fix $f, h \in Hom(G, H)$. Label the colour blocks of ker(f) as B_1, \ldots, B_n . For each

 $1 \leq i \leq n$, choose $b_i \in B_i$. Define $g: V(H) \to V(H)$ by $g(h(b_i)) = f(b_i)$. Then g is well-defined as $\ker(f) = \ker(h)$ and as h is surjective. As f is surjective, it follows that g is surjective, and hence, $g \in Sym(V(H))$. As f = gh, the forward direction follows.

For the converse, let $f, h \in Hom(G, H)$. By hypothesis, there is a $g \in Sym(V(H))$ so that f = gh. Then for $x, y \in V(G)$, f(x) = f(y) if and only if $g^{-1}f(x) = g^{-1}f(y)$. But the latter is equivalent to h(x) = h(y). Hence, $(x, y) \in \ker(f)$ if and only if $(x, y) \in \ker(h)$, so $\ker(f) = \ker(h)$.

For an integer $n \geq 2$, let $G = K_{2n-2}$ with 1,2 fixed distinct vertices of G. Define a graph H_n as follows. Let G_1 and G_2 be two disjoint copies of G, so that the vertices of G_2 are $\{x' : x \in V(G)\}$. The vertices of H_n are the vertices of G_1 and G_2 , along with three new vertices a, b, and c. The edges of H_n include the edges of G_1 and G_2 ; the vertex a is joined to every vertex in $V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$ and no other vertex; the vertex b is joined to vertices in $\{c\} \cup V(G_1)$ and no other vertex; the vertex c is joined to vertices in $\{b\} \cup V(H_2)$ and no other vertex; the only edge "between" $V(G_1)$ and $V(G_2)$ is 12'. See Figure 1 for H_2 .

If x is a vertex of G, then G - x is the graph that results when x is deleted. A nontrivial graph is *critical* if $\chi(G - x) < \chi(G)$ for all $x \in V(G)$.

Theorem 2. Each graph H_n is a bad core.

Proof. The graph $H = H_n$ is critical with $\chi(H) = 2n$. Hence, H is a core. Define J by deleting the edge 12', so that 1 and 2 have the same neighbors in J. Then J is critical with $\chi(J) = 2n$.

We next show that $J \in C_{wu}(H) \setminus C_u(H)$, which will witness that H is bad. To see this, note first that $J \in C_{wu}(H)$: clearly J is H-colourable; since J and H are critical with chromatic number 2n, every $f \in Hom(J, H)$ is surjective; and as |V(J)| = |V(H)|, $\ker(f)$ has only singleton colour blocks, so any two elements of Hom(J, H) have the same kernel. Let $f = id_J \in$ Hom(J, H) and define h so that it interchanges 1 and 2 and fixes all other vertices. Then $h \in Hom(J, H)$ as 1 and 2 have the same neighbors in J. If there is a $g \in Aut(H)$ so that f = gh, then g interchanges 1 and 2 leaving all other vertices of H fixed. But 1 and 2 have different neighbours in H, so that $g \notin End(H)$, which is a contradiction.

By Theorem 2, there are infinitely many bad cores. By a direct check, each core of order at most 6 is good. Hence, the minimum order of a bad core is 7, with an explicit example given in Figure 1.



Figure 1. A bad core of minimum order.

Let G and H be graphs and let $f \in Hom(G, H)$ be surjective. The quotient graph $G/\ker(f)$ has vertices the colour blocks of $\ker(f)$, and two colour blocks B and C are joined if and only if there is some vertex in B joined to some vertex in C. Note that the colour blocks are just the preimages under f of vertices of H. The natural map $\eta_f : V(G/\ker(f)) \to V(H)$ defined by $\eta_f(f^{-1}(x)) = x$ is a well-defined homomorphism. Observe that if $G \in C_{wu}(H)$, then η_f is a bijection.

The next definition is inspired by the homomorphism theorems that hold in varieties of algebraic systems. Let H be a core graph. The class $C_{wu}(H)$ satisfies the first homomorphism theorem if for all $G \in C_{wu}(H)$ and all f: Hom(G, H), the homomorphism $\eta_f: V(G/\ker(f)) \to V(H)$ is an isomorphism (that is, f is a complete homomorphism). By preceding remarks we need only show that η_f is an embedding (an injective homomorphism which preserves non-edges). The classes $C_{wu}(H)$ satisfying the first homomorphism theorem can be characterized by an intrinsic condition of H. If eis an edge of H, then H - e is the graph formed by deleting e. We say that a graph H is great if for all $e \in E(H)$, there is some $f \in Hom(H - e, H)$ so that f is not surjective. For example, each clique and cycle is great. We now state and prove our main result.

Theorem 3. Let H be a core graph.

- (1) The class $C_{wu}(H)$ satisfies the first homomorphism theorem if and only if H is great.
- (2) If H is great, then H is good.

Proof. For the forward direction of item (1), to obtain a contradiction we assume that for all $e \in E(H)$, every $f \in Hom(H - e, H)$ is surjective, and therefore, a bijection. Fix $f, h \in Hom(H - e, H)$. Hence, ker(f) and ker(h)

have all singleton blocks. In particular,

(1.1)
$$(H-e) / \ker(f) \cong H - e$$

The mapping $g: V(H) \to V(H)$ defined by g(f(x)) = h(x) is well-defined and bijective as $\ker(f) = \ker(h)$ and f is surjective. Hence, gf = h for some $g \in Sym(V(H))$. As f and h were arbitrary, $H - e \in C_{wu}(H)$ by Lemma 1. Since $C_{wu}(H)$ satisfies the first homomorphism theorem, η_f : $V((H - e) / \ker(f)) \to V(H)$ is an isomorphism, so that $H - e \cong H$ by (), which is a contradiction.

For the reverse direction of (1), fix H a great core. Fix $G \in C_{wu}(H)$ and $h \in Hom(G, H)$. If the natural map $\eta_h : V(G/\ker(h)) \to V(H)$ is not an isomorphism, then it is not an embedding. Hence, there are $x, y \in V(H)$ such that $xy \in E(H)$ but the colour blocks $h^{-1}(x)$ and $h^{-1}(y)$ are not joined in $G/\ker(h)$. Let $e = xy \in E(H)$. Note that $h : V(G) \to V(H - e)$ is a homomorphism. As H is great, there is some $f \in Hom(H - e, H)$ that is not surjective. But then $fh : V(G) \to V(H)$ is a homomorphism that is not surjective, which contradicts that $G \in C_{wu}(H)$.

For item (2), as H is great, $C_{wu}(H)$ satisfies the first homomorphism theorem by item (1). Fix $G \in C_{wu}(H)$ and fix $f, h \in Hom(G, H)$. Then by Lemma 1 there is a $g \in Sym(V(H))$ so that f = gh. We show that $g \in Aut(H)$. As H is a core, it is enough to show that $g \in End(H)$. To see this, fix $xy \in E(H)$. By hypothesis, $h^{-1}(x)h^{-1}(y) \in E(G/ker(h))$, so that there is some $a \in h^{-1}(x)$ and $b \in h^{-1}(y)$ with $ab \in E(G)$. Now f(a) =g(h(a)) = g(x); similarly, f(b) = g(y). As f is a homomorphism, we have that $f(a)f(b) \in E(H)$, and hence, $g(x)g(y) \in E(H)$.

Cliques and odd cycles are great cores, and hence, are good by Theorem 3 (2). If G and H are graphs, recall that their *join*, written G + H, is the graph formed by adding edges between each vertex of G and H. If G is a great core, then an analysis of cases demonstrates that $G + K_n$ is a great core for each $n \ge 1$. In particular, the odd wheels W_{2n+1} for $n \ge 2$ are great cores. For a large class of great cores, we consider a recent construction of [3]. If G is a graph, then define C(G) to be G with edge replaced by a path with 3 edges. As proven in [3], if G is connected with at least three vertices, then G is a core if and only if C(G) is. It is not hard to see that for all graphs G, C(G) is great.

We note that not all good cores are great. Direct checking (which is tedious, and so omitted) demonstrates that the Petersen graph is a good but not great core. Hence, the converse of Theorem 3 (2) is false.

References

- A. Bonato, A family of universal pseudo-homogeneous G-colourable graphs, Discrete Math. 247 (2002) 13–23.
- [2] A. Bonato, Homomorphisms and amalgamation, Discrete Math. 270 (2003) 32-41.
- [3] K. Collins and B. Shemmer, Constructions of 3-colorable cores, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 16 (2002) 74–80.
- [4] D. Duffus, B. Sands and R.E. Woodrow, On the chromatic number of the product of graphs, J. Graph Theory 9 (1985) 487–495.
- [5] F. Harary, S.T. Hedetniemi and R.W. Robinson, Uniquely colorable graphs, J. Combin. Theory 6 (1969) 264–270.
- [6] P. Hell and J. Nešetřil, Graphs and Homomorphisms (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2004).
- [7] J. Kratochvíl and P. Mihók, Hom-properties are uniquely factorizable into irreducible factors, Discrete Math. 213 (2000) 189–194.
- [8] J. Kratochvíl, P. Mihók and G. Semanišin, Graphs maximal with respect to hom-properties, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory 17 (1997) 77–88.
- [9] X. Zhu, Uniquely H-colorable graphs with large girth, J. Graph Theory 23 (1996) 33–41.
- [10] X. Zhu, Construction of uniquely H-colorable graphs, J. Graph Theory 30 (1999) 1–6.

Received 20 June 2005 Revised 22 May 2006