



13th WORKSHOP  
'3in1' GRAPHS 2004  
Krynica, November 11-13, 2004



## ON UNIQUELY PARTITIONABLE RELATIONAL STRUCTURES AND OBJECT SYSTEMS

JOZEF BUCKO\*

*Department of Applied Mathematics*  
*Faculty of Economics, Technical University*  
*B. Němcovej, 040 01 Košice, Slovak Republic*

**e-mail:** Jozef.Bucko@tuke.sk

AND

PETER MIHÓK\*

*Department of Applied Mathematics*  
*Faculty of Economics, Technical University*  
*B. Němcovej, 040 01 Košice, Slovak Republic*  
*and*  
*Mathematical Institute, Slovak Academy of Science*  
*Grešákova 6, 040 01 Košice, Slovak Republic*

**e-mail:** Peter.Mihok@tuke.sk

### Abstract

We introduce *object systems* as a common generalization of graphs, hypergraphs, digraphs and relational structures. Let  $\mathbf{C}$  be a concrete category, a *simple object system* over  $\mathbf{C}$  is an ordered pair  $S = (V, E)$ ,

---

\*Research supported in part by Slovak VEGA Grant 2/4134/24.

where  $E = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m\}$  is a finite set of the objects of  $\mathbf{C}$ , such that the ground-set  $V(A_i)$  of each object  $A_i \in E$  is a finite set with at least two elements and  $V \supseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m V(A_i)$ . To generalize the results on graph colourings to simple object systems we define, analogously as for graphs, that an additive induced-hereditary property of simple object systems over a category  $\mathbf{C}$  is any class of systems closed under isomorphism, induced-subsystems and disjoint union of systems, respectively. We present a survey of recent results and conditions for object systems to be uniquely partitionable into subsystems of given properties.

**Keywords:** graph, digraph, hypergraph, vertex colouring, uniquely partitionable system.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 05C15, 05C20, 05C65, 05C75.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A graph  $G$  is said to be uniquely  $n$ -colorable if any two proper  $n$ -colorings of  $G$  induce the same partition of the vertex set  $V(G)$ . The concept of uniquely  $n$ -colorable graphs was introduced in [12]. Different generalizations of this concept may be found e.g. in [1, 2, 5, 16, 20, 22]. Let us recall the basic notions which are needed to summarize the basic results. Let  $\mathcal{I}$  denotes the class of all simple graphs. A graph property  $\mathcal{P}$  is any nonempty proper isomorphism closed subclass of  $\mathcal{I}$ . A graph property is said to be induced-hereditary if it is closed under taking induced subgraphs and additive if it is closed under disjoint union of graphs (see [4]). Let  $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n$  be graph properties, a vertex  $(\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n)$ -partition of a graph  $G$  is a partition  $\{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n\}$  of  $V(G)$  such that each partition class  $V_i$  induces a subgraph  $G[V_i]$  of property  $\mathcal{P}_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . A graph  $G$  is said to be uniquely  $(\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n)$ -partitionable if  $G$  has exactly one (unordered) vertex  $(\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n)$ -partition. Let us denote by  $\mathcal{P}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}_2 \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{P}_n$  the set of all vertex  $(\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n)$ -partitionable graphs and by  $U(\mathcal{P}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}_2 \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{P}_n)$  the set of uniquely  $(\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n)$ -partitionable graphs. In the case  $\mathcal{P}_1 = \mathcal{P}_2 = \dots = \mathcal{P}_n = \mathcal{P}$ , we write  $\mathcal{P}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}_2 \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{P}_n = \mathcal{P}^n$ , we say that  $G \in \mathcal{P}^n$  is  $(\mathcal{P}, n)$ -partitionable and the graphs belonging to  $U(\mathcal{P}^n)$  are called (see e.g. [2, 16]) uniquely  $(\mathcal{P}, n)$ -partitionable. A property  $\mathcal{P}$  is said to be *reducible* if there exist properties  $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2$  such that  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}_2$ . Otherwise  $\mathcal{P}$  is called *irreducible*. For example, the property  $\mathcal{O}$  — "to be an edgeless graph" related to regular colouring is irreducible and the smallest additive induced-hereditary reducible property is the class  $\mathcal{O}^2$  of all bipartite graphs. The notion of reducible property have been introduced in [16], where

it was proved that for any reducible property  $\mathcal{P}$  there are no uniquely  $(\mathcal{P}, n)$ -partitionable graphs. D. Achlioptas, J.I. Brown, D.G. Corneil, M.S.O. Molloy in [1] proved the existence of uniquely  $(n, -G)$ -partitionable graphs for  $n \geq 2$ , where  $-G$  denotes the property "do not contain  $G$  as an induced subgraph". Using the results of [18], the problem of the existence of uniquely  $(\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n)$ -partitionable graphs for additive induced-hereditary graph properties was solved in [6] as follows:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be an additive induced-hereditary graph property. Then for  $n \geq 2$ ,  $U(\mathcal{P}^n) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $\mathcal{P}$  is irreducible.*

Let us recall, that the requirement for additivity is substantial. A counterexample for nonadditive induced-hereditary properties is described in [17, 19]. The proof of the Theorem 1 is based on the Unique Factorization Theorem for additive induced-hereditary graph property (see [7, 8, 9, 14, 18, 19]). Some results on graph properties can be generalized and proved analogously for digraphs, hypergraphs, posets and other structures (see e.g. [13]). The extension of the Unique Factorization Theorem and the existence of uniquely partitionable digraphs have been presented in [23].

The main aim of this paper is to introduce a general notion of *object systems* and to show, how the presented results on uniquely partitionable graphs can be generalized for object systems over a given concrete category. We present a survey of results on uniquely partitionable systems of objects and describe the main ideas, which help to prove such general statements. In the first paper on uniquely colorable graphs [12] it has been proved that every  $k$ -colorable graph is an induced subgraph of a uniquely  $k$ -colorable graph. For additive induced-hereditary graph properties the generalization of this fact was presented in [6]. We will present, that the same is true for object systems.

## 2. SYSTEMS OF OBJECTS OF A CONCRETE CATEGORY

We use the basic elementary notions of category theory (see [21]). A concrete category  $\mathbf{C}$  is a collection of *objects* and *arrows* called *morphisms*. An object in a concrete category  $\mathbf{C}$  is a *set with structure*. We will denote the *ground-set* of the object  $A$  by  $V(A)$ . The morphism between two objects is a *structure preserving mapping*. Obviously, the morphisms of  $\mathbf{C}$  have to satisfy the axioms of the category theory. The natural examples of concrete categories are: **Set** of sets, **FinSet** of finite sets, **Graph** of graphs,

**Poset** of partially ordered sets with structure preserving mappings, called homomorphisms of corresponding structures.

In our investigations we will consider concrete categories  $\mathbf{C}$  with *isomorphisms* i.e., structure preserving bijections between the ground-sets of objects only. Moreover, we will assume that by renaming (relabeling) the elements of the object  $A$ , we obtain an object  $A^*$  in  $\mathbf{C}$  isomorphic to  $A$  in each considered concrete category  $\mathbf{C}$ . To avoid formal difficulties, we will assume in what follows, that the elements  $V(A)$  of each objects  $A$  of  $\mathbf{C}$  are labelled by labels taken from a given infinite set  $\mathcal{V}$  of cardinality  $\alpha$ . Hence, any considered concrete category  $\mathbf{C}$  will be small and the objects of  $\mathbf{C}$  are "labelled" objects. We also assume, that for each object  $A$  of  $\mathbf{C}$ , after relabelling of the elements of  $V(A)$  by labels from a set  $V^* \subset \mathcal{V}$  the obtained "new" object  $A^*$  with  $V(A^*) = V^*$  belongs to  $\mathbf{C}$  too and it is always isomorphic to  $A$ . This requirements are natural, they are satisfied e.g. if the concrete category  $\mathbf{C}$  is any small full subcategory of the above mentioned categories **Set**, **FinSet**, **Graph**, **Poset**, etc. Let us call such categories *wide*.

For example, a simple finite graph is a finite system of two element sets, a simple finite hypergraph  $H = (V, E)$  can be considered as a system of its hyperedges  $E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$ , where edges are finite sets and the set of its vertices  $V(H)$  is a superset of the union of hyperedges, i.e.,  $V \supseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m e_i$ . The following definition gives a natural generalization of graphs and hypergraphs.

Let  $\mathbf{C}$  be a wide concrete category. A *simple system of objects* of  $\mathbf{C}$  is an ordered pair  $S = (V, E)$ , where  $E = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m\}$  is a finite set of the objects of  $\mathbf{C}$ , such that the ground-set  $V(A_i)$  of each object  $A_i \in E$  is a finite set with at least two elements (i.e., there are no loops) and  $V \supseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m V(A_i)$ . The class of all simple systems of objects of  $\mathbf{C}$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{C})$ . The symbols  $K_0$  and  $K_1$  denotes the null system  $K_0 = (\emptyset, \emptyset)$  and system consisting of one isolated element, respectively.

For example, graphs can be viewed as systems of objects of a concrete category of two-element sets with bijections as arrows, digraphs are systems of objects of the category of ordered pairs, hypergraphs are finite set systems, etc. Let us remark, that the relational  $L$ -structures generalizing graphs, digraphs and  $k$ -uniform hypergraphs introduced by Fraïssé in [10] see e.g. [3, 11], are systems of objects on category of relations given by the signature  $L$ .

To generalize the results on generalized colourings of graphs to arbitrary simple systems of objects we need to define *isomorphism of systems*, *disjoint*

*union of systems and induced-subsystems*, respectively. We can do this in a natural way.

Let  $S_1 = (V_1, E_1)$  and  $S_2 = (V_2, E_2)$  be two simple systems of objects of a given concrete category  $\mathbf{C}$ . The systems  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are said to be isomorphic if there is a pair of bijection:

$$\phi : V_1 \longleftrightarrow V_2, \quad \psi : E_1 \longleftrightarrow E_2,$$

such that if  $\psi(A_{1i}) = A_{2j}$  then  $\phi/V(A_{1i}) : V(A_{1i}) \longleftrightarrow V(A_{2j})$  is an isomorphism of the objects  $A_{1i} \in E_1$  and  $A_{2j} \in E_2$  in the category  $\mathbf{C}$ . The homomorphism of the systems can be defined in a similar way.

The disjoint union of the systems  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  is the system  $S_1 \cup S_2 = (V_1 \cup V_2, E_1 \cup E_2)$ , where we assume that  $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$ . A system is said to be connected if it cannot be expressed as a disjoint union of two systems.

The subsystem of  $S_1$  induced by the set  $U \subseteq V(S_1)$  is  $S_1[U]$ , with objects  $E(S_1[U]) := \{A_{1i} \in E(S_1) | V(A_{1i}) \subseteq U\}$ .  $S_2$  is an induced-subsystem of  $S_1$  if it is isomorphic to  $S_1[U]$  for some  $U \subseteq V(S_1)$ .

Using these definitions we can define, analogously as for graphs, that an additive induced-hereditary property of simple systems of objects over a category  $\mathbf{C}$  is any class of systems closed under isomorphism, induced-subsystems and disjoint union of systems. Let us denote by  $\mathbb{M}^a(\mathbf{C})$  the set of all additive induced-hereditary properties of simple systems of objects of a category  $\mathbf{C}$ . In the following we will call simple systems of objects of a category  $\mathbf{C}$  shortly *systems*.

In [15] it is proved, that the set  $\mathbb{M}^a(\mathbf{C})$  of all additive induced-hereditary properties of simple object-systems over  $\mathbf{C}$  partially ordered by set inclusion forms a complete and distributive lattice and the structure of the lattice  $\mathbb{M}^a(\mathbf{C})$  is investigated.

### 3. REDUCIBLE PROPERTIES AND UNIQUE FACTORIZATION THEOREM FOR SYSTEMS

Let  $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n$  be properties of systems, a vertex  $(\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n)$ -partition of a system  $S$  is a partition  $\{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n\}$  of  $V(S)$  such that each partition class  $V_i$  induces a subsystem  $S[V_i]$  of property  $\mathcal{P}_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . A system  $S$  is said to be uniquely  $(\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n)$ -partitionable if  $S$  has exactly one (unordered) vertex  $(\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n)$ -partition. Let us denote by  $\mathcal{P}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}_2 \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{P}_n$  the set of all vertex  $(\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n)$ -partitionable systems

and by  $U(\mathcal{P}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}_2 \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{P}_n)$  the set of uniquely  $(\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n)$ -partitionable systems. A property  $\mathcal{P}$  is said to be *reducible* in  $\mathbb{M}^a(\mathbf{C})$  if there exist properties  $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2$  in  $\mathbb{M}^a(\mathbf{C})$  such that  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}_2$ . Otherwise  $\mathcal{P}$  is called *irreducible*.

Following the same way as for graph properties the Unique Factorization Theorem for reducible properties of systems can be proved:

**Theorem 2.** *Any reducible additive induced-hereditary property of object systems is uniquely factorizable into irreducible factors (up to the order of factors).*

Let us recall the main steps of the proof, the details can be proved analogously as in [7, 8, 19, 23]. We follow the idea of the proof from [18].

We define the set  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{C})$  to be a *generating set* of  $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbb{M}^a(\mathbf{C})$  if  $S \in \mathcal{P}$  if and only if  $S$  is an induced subsystem of some system from  $\mathcal{S}$ . The fact that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a generating set of  $\mathcal{P}$  will be written as  $[\mathcal{S}] = \mathcal{P}$ . The members of  $\mathcal{S}$  are called *generators* of  $\mathcal{P}$ .

Let us define *the operation*  $*$ :

For given vertex disjoint systems  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , denote by

$$S_1 * S_2 * \dots * S_n = \{S \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{C}) : V(S) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n V(S_i) \text{ and } S[V(S_i)] = S_i\}.$$

The operation " $*$ " is motivated by the following observation. Let us suppose that  $S \in \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{Q}$  and let  $V(S) = (V_1, V_2)$  be a  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -partition of  $S$ . Then by additivity of  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  the class  $k.S[V_1] * k.S[V_2] \subseteq \mathcal{R}$  for every positive integer  $k$ . Therefore we define, for a given system  $S \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R})$ , the invariant  $dec_{\mathcal{R}}(S)$  as follows:

$dec_{\mathcal{R}}(S) = \max\{n : \text{there exist a partition } (V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n), V_i \neq \emptyset, \text{ such that for each } k \geq 1, k.S[V_1] * k.S[V_2] * \dots * k.S[V_n] \subseteq \mathcal{R}\}$ . If  $S \notin \mathcal{R}$  we set  $dec_{\mathcal{R}}(S)$  to be zero.

A system  $S \in \mathcal{P}$  is called  $\mathcal{P}$ -*strict* if  $S * K_1 \not\subseteq \mathcal{P}$ . A system  $S$  is said to be  $\mathcal{R}$ -*decomposable* if  $dec_{\mathcal{R}} \geq 2$  and  $\mathcal{R}$ -*indecomposable* otherwise. Thus if the property  $\mathcal{R}$  is reducible, every system  $S \in \mathcal{R}$  with at least two vertices is  $\mathcal{R}$ -decomposable. For any additive reducible induced-hereditary property the converse assertion is also valid.

An induced-hereditary additive property  $\mathcal{R}$  is reducible if and only if all the  $\mathcal{R}$ -strict systems with at least two vertices are  $\mathcal{R}$ -decomposable.

In proofs of this fact the number  $dec(\mathcal{R})$  by  $dec(\mathcal{R}) = \min\{dec_{\mathcal{R}}(S) : S \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R})\}$  is defined. The main part of the proof is to show that there

exists a generating set  $\mathcal{S}^* \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R})$  of  $\mathcal{R}$  which consists of systems with decomposability number equal to  $\text{dec}(\mathcal{R})$  and these generators are uniquely  $\mathcal{R}$ -decomposable. The final step of the proof of Theorem 2 presents the determination of the corresponding irreducible factors.

#### 4. UNIQUELY PARTITIONABLE SYSTEMS

Following the proof of Theorem 2 one can see that in fact any reducible additive induced-hereditary property of systems  $\mathcal{R}$  is generated by uniquely partitionable systems. More precisely:

Let  $\mathcal{S}^*$  be a generating set of a reducible property  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{P}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}_2 \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{P}_n$ ,  $n \geq 2$  consisting of  $\mathcal{R}$ -strict systems of decomposability number equal to  $\text{dec}(\mathcal{R}) = n$ . Since the generators of  $\mathcal{S}^*$  are uniquely  $\mathcal{R}$ -decomposable into  $n$  indecomposable systems, they have exactly one  $(\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n)$ -partition. This implies also that each object system  $S \in \mathcal{R}$  is an induced subsystem of a uniquely partitionable system.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{P}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}_2 \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{P}_n$ ,  $n \geq 2$  be a factorization of a reducible property  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathbb{M}^a(\mathbf{C})$  into irreducible factors. Then  $U(\mathcal{P}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}_2 \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{P}_n) \neq \emptyset$  and moreover  $[U(\mathcal{P}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}_2 \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{P}_n)] = \mathcal{R}$ .*

We can present the previous results in the form of criteria of irreducibility.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be an additive induced-hereditary property of systems. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

1. *the property  $\mathcal{P}$  is irreducible,*
2. *for every  $n \geq 2$  the property  $\mathcal{P}^n$  can be generated by a set of uniquely  $(\mathcal{P}, n)$ -partitionable systems,*
3. *there exist uniquely  $(\mathcal{P}, n)$ -partitionable systems for every  $n \geq 2$ ,*
4. *the property  $\mathcal{P}$  can be generated by a set of  $\mathcal{P}$ -indecomposable systems,*
5. *there exists a  $\mathcal{P}$ -indecomposable  $\mathcal{P}$ -strict system.*

## References

- [1] D. Achlioptas, J.I. Brown, D.G. Corneil and M.S.O. Molloy, *The existence of uniquely  $-G$  colourable graphs*, Discrete Math. **179** (1998) 1–11.

- [2] B. Bollobás and A.G. Thomason, *Uniquely partitionable graphs*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **16** (1977) 403–410.
- [3] A. Bonato, *Homomorphism and amalgamation*, Discrete Math. **270** (2003) 33–42.
- [4] M. Borowiecki, I. Broere, M. Frick, P. Mihók and G. Semanišin, *Survey of hereditary properties of graphs*, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory **17** (1997) 5–50.
- [5] J.I. Brown and D.G. Corneil, *On generalized graph colourings*, J. Graph Theory **11** (1987) 86–99.
- [6] I. Broere, J. Bucko and P. Mihók, *Criteria for the existence of uniquely partitionable graphs with respect to additive induced-hereditary properties*, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory **22** (2002) 31–37.
- [7] A. Farrugia, *Uniqueness and complexity in generalised colouring*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Waterloo, April 2003 (available at <http://etheses.uwaterloo.ca>).
- [8] A. Farrugia, P. Mihók, R.B. Richter and G. Semanišin, *Factorisations and characterisations of induced-hereditary and compositive properties*, J. Graph Theory **49** (2005) 11–27.
- [9] A. Farrugia and R.B. Richter, *Unique factorisation of additive induced-hereditary properties*, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory **24** (2004) 319–343.
- [10] R. Fraïssé, *Sur certains relations qui généralisent l'ordre des nombres rationnels*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **237** (1953) 540–542.
- [11] R. Fraïssé, *Theory of Relations* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1986).
- [12] F. Harary, S.T. Hedetniemi and R. W. Robinson, *Uniquely colourable graphs*, J. Combin. Theory **6** (1969) 264–270.
- [13] J. Jakubík, *On the lattice of additive hereditary properties of finite graphs*, Discuss. Math. General Algebra and Applications **22** (2002) 73–86.
- [14] J. Kratochvíl and P. Mihók, *Hom-properties are uniquely factorizable into irreducible factors*, Discrete Math. **213** (2000) 189–194.
- [15] P. Mihók, *On the lattice of additive hereditary properties of object-systems*, Tatra Mountains Math. Publ. **30** (2005) 155–161.
- [16] P. Mihók, *Additive hereditary properties and uniquely partitionable graphs*, in: M. Borowiecki and Z. Skupień, eds., *Graphs, hypergraphs and matroids* (Zielona Góra, 1985) 49–58.
- [17] P. Mihók, *Reducible properties and uniquely partitionable graphs*, DIMACS Series in Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science **49** (1999) 213–218.



- [18] P. Mihók, *Unique factorization theorems*, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory **20** (2000) 143–153.
- [19] P. Mihók, G. Semanišin and R. Vasky, *Additive and Hereditary Properties of Graphs are Uniquely Factorizable into Irreducible Factors*, J. Graph Theory **33** (2000) 44–53.
- [20] J. Mitchem, *Uniquely  $k$ -arborable graphs*, Israel J. Math. **10** (1971) 17–25.
- [21] B.C. Pierce, Basic Category Theory for Computer Scientists (Foundations of Computing Series, The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1991).
- [22] J.M.S. Simoes-Pereira, *On graphs uniquely partitionable into  $n$ -degenerate subgraphs*, in: Infinite and Finite Sets, Colloquia Math. Soc. J. Bolyai **10** (1975) 1351–1364.
- [23] R. Vasky, *Unique factorization theorem for additive induced-hereditary properties of digraphs* Studies of the University of Žilina, Mathematical Series **15** (2002) 83–96.

Received 31 January 2005

Revised 2 December 2005