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#### Abstract

Let $G=(V(G), E(G))$ be a connected multigraph and let $h(G)$ be the minimum integer $k$ such that for every edge-colouring of $G$, using exactly $k$ colours, there is at least one edge-cut of $G$ all of whose edges receive different colours. In this note it is proved that if $G$ has at least 2 vertices and has no bridges, then $h(G)=|E(G)|-|V(G)|+2$.
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In this note we consider finite undirected graphs with multiple edges allowed. Let $G=(V(G), E(G))$ be a connected graph. Given $Z \subseteq E(G), G-Z$ denotes the graph obtained from $G$ by deleting the edges in $Z$. A set $Z \subseteq$ $E(G)$ will be called an edge-cut if $G-Z$ is a disconnected or a trivial graph, and an edge $e \in E(G)$ will be called a bridge if $\{e\}$ is an edge-cut. A subgraph $H$ of $G$ is said to be a cut-subgraph if $E(H)$ is an edge-cut of $G$.

By an edge-colouring of $G$ we will understand a function $c: E(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ where $\mathcal{C}$ is a set of "colours". If $|c[E(G)]|=k$, then $c$ will be called a $k$-edgecolouring of $G$. Given an edge-colouring of $G$, a subgraph $H$ of $G$ is said to be Totally Multicoloured (TMC) if no pair of edges of $H$ have the same colour. Problems concerning TMC subgraphs in edge-colourings of a host graph are called anti-Ramsey problems (see [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]). Typically, the host graph is a complete graph or some graph with a nice structure, and the property which defines the set of TMC subgraphs in consideration is that they are isomorphic to some graph $H$. When the host graph is a graph with no specific structure, the problem becomes rather intractable unless the
graph $H$ is very special (see [5]) or, as it happens in this note, the property which defines the set of TMC subgraphs involves strongly the structure of the host graph. Given a graph $G$, the problem of determining the minimum integer $h(G)$ such that every $h(G)$-edge-colouring of $G$ produces at least one TMC cut-subgraph of $G$, is presented in this note. Observe that if $G$ has only one vertex, there is no edge-cut in $G$, and in the case that $G$ has a bridge, $h(G)=1$. The remaining cases are considered in the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Let $G=(V(G), E(G))$ be a connected graph of order at least 2 which has no bridges. Then $h(G)=|E(G)|-|V(G)|+2$.

Before presenting the proof, let us introduce some definitions. A $k$-edgecolouring of $G$ which produces no TMC cut-subgraph will be called a good $k$-colouring of $G$. A vertex $x \in V(G)$ will be called a cut-vertex if the graph obtained from $G$ by deleting $x$ and all its incident edges is a disconnected graph. $G$ will be called a block if it is connected and has no cut-vertices. A set $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{r}$ of subgraphs of $G$ will be called a decomposition of $G$ if $E\left(P_{1}\right), \ldots, E\left(P_{r}\right)$ is a partition of $E(G)$, and will be called an ear-decomposition of $G$ if it is a decomposition of $G$ such that: $P_{1}$ is a cycle; for $2 \leq j \leq r, P_{j}$ is a non-trivial path; and for every $2 \leq j \leq r, V\left(P_{j}\right)$ intersects $\bigcup_{i=1}^{j-1} V\left(P_{i}\right)$ in exactly the endpoints of $P_{j}$. It is known (see [8]) that $G$ is a block different from $K_{2}$ if and only if $G$ has an ear-decomposition.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order at least 2 which has no bridges and let $k(G)=|E(G)|-|V(G)|+1$.

Given a $(k(G)+1)$-edge-colouring of $G$, let $H$ be a TMC subgraph of $G$ of size $k(G)+1$. Since the graph $G^{\prime}=G-E(H)$ has $\left|V\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|-2$ edges, it must be disconnected and thus $H$ is a TMC cut-subgraph of $G$. Therefore $h(G) \leq k(G)+1$.

To finish the proof we only need to show a good $k(G)$-colouring of $G$. First suppose that $G$ is a block (which is different from $K_{2}$ since $G$ has no bridges) and let $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{r}$ be an ear-decomposition of $G$. Observe that $|E(G)|=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left|E\left(P_{i}\right)\right|=\left|V\left(P_{1}\right)\right|+\sum_{i=2}^{r}\left(\left|V\left(P_{i}\right)\right|-1\right)=|V(G)|+(r-1)$ which implies that $r=k(G)$. Let $c$ be a $k(G)$-edge-colouring of $G$ defined as $c(e)=i$ if and only if $e \in E\left(P_{i}\right)$. It is not difficult to see that any edge-cut of $G$ uses at least a pair of edges of some $P_{i}$ and, therefore, $c$ is a good $k(G)$-colouring of $G$.

If $G$ has cut-vertices, then $G$ can be decomposed in $G_{0}, \ldots, G_{t}$ blocks, none of them isomorphic to $K_{2}$ since $G$ has no bridges. For each $j \leq t$, let $P_{1}^{j}, \ldots, P_{r_{j}}^{j}$ be an ear-decomposition of $G_{j}$. Let $c$ be an edge-colouring of $G$ defined as $c(e)=(j, i)$ if and only if $e \in E\left(P_{i}^{j}\right)$. As in the previous case, it can be seen that each block $G_{j}$ receives $k\left(G_{j}\right)$ colours and has no TMC cut-subgraphs. Therefore, the number of colours used by $c$ is $\sum_{j=0}^{t} k\left(G_{j}\right)=$ $\sum_{j=0}^{t}\left(\left|E\left(G_{j}\right)\right|-\left|V\left(G_{j}\right)\right|+1\right)=|E(G)|-(\mid V(G)+t)+(t+1)=k(G)$, and, since any edge-cut of $G$ contains an edge-cut of some $G_{j}, c$ is a good $k(G)$-colouring of $G$.
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