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#### Abstract

Let $\mathcal{F}^{n}$ be a given set of unlabeled simple graphs of order $n$. A maximal common subgraph of the graphs of the set $\mathcal{F}^{n}$ is a common subgraph $F$ of order $n$ of each member of $\mathcal{F}^{n}$, that is not properly contained in any larger common subgraph of each member of $\mathcal{F}^{n}$. By well-known Dirac's Theorem, the Dirac's family $\mathcal{D F}^{n}$ of the graphs of order $n$ and minimum degree $\delta \geq \frac{n}{2}$ has a maximal common subgraph


[^0]containing $C_{n}$. In this note we study the problem of determining all maximal common subgraphs of the Dirac's family $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{F}^{2 n}$ for $n \geq 2$.
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We follow the definitions and terminology of [1]. Let $\mathcal{F}^{n}$ be a given set of unlabeled simple graphs of order $n$. A maximal common subgraph of the graphs of the set $\mathcal{F}^{n}$ is a common subgraph $F$ of order $n$ of each member of $\mathcal{F}^{n}$, that is not properly contained in any larger common subgraph of each member of $\mathcal{F}^{n}$. By well-known Dirac's Theorem, the Dirac's family $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{F}^{n}$ of the graphs of order $n$ and minimum degree $\delta \geq \frac{n}{2}$ has a maximal common subgraph containing $C_{n}$ (see $[2,3,4]$ ). The cycles $C_{4}$ and $C_{6}$ are maximal common subgraphs of $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{F}^{4}$ and $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{F}^{6}$, respectively. While $C_{4}$ is the unique maximal common subgraph of $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{F}^{4}$, for $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{F}^{6}$ it is easy to check that there are exactly two maximal common subgraphs: $C_{6}$ and the graph $F_{6}$ (see Figure 1).

Figure 1. Maximal common subgraphs of $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{F}^{6}$.
In this note we study the problem of determining maximal common subgraphs of the Dirac's family $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{F}^{2 n}$ for $n \geq 2$. It is easy to see that to determine all maximal common subgraphs of the Dirac's family $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{F}^{n}$, it is enough to consider the maximal common subgraphs of the family of the minimal elements of the set $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{F}^{n}$ partially ordered by the relation $\subseteq$ - to be a subgraph. The minimal Dirac's graphs of order 8 are presented in Figure 2.

Because the complete bipartite graph $K_{4,4}$ is a member of the set of minimal elements of $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{F}^{8}$, each maximal common subgraph of the set $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{F}^{8}$ must be a bipartite graph with a balanced regular two-colouring (i.e., four vertices in each colour class). Using this fact we determined all maximal
common subgraphs of the set $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{F}^{8}$. They are presented in the Figure 3. Since they could also be found by a computer search, we omit a detailed proof here.
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Figure 2. Minimal Dirac's graphs of order 8.
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\begin{array}{ccc}
H_{1} & H_{2} & H_{3}
\end{array}
$$

Figure 3. The maximal common subgraphs of $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{F}^{8}$.
The problem of determining the maximal common subgraphs for the Dirac's family $\mathcal{D F}^{n}$ is much more complicated for odd $n$ and we can mention only that the wheel $W_{5}=K_{1}+C_{4}$ is the unique maximal common subgraph of $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{F}^{5}$, however for $\mathcal{D F}^{7}$ there are at least 5 different maximal common subgraphs.

As the main result of this note we will show that the Hamiltonian cycle $C_{n}$ is not a maximal common subgraph of the Dirac's family $\mathcal{D F}^{n}$ for $n \geq 7$. The proof is based on the following lemma.

Lemma 1. Let $G$ be a graph of order $n \geq 7$ satisfying Dirac's condition $\delta(G) \geq n / 2$. Let $H=$ abcd be a 4 -cycle in $G$ having a tail $T=\left[x_{0} \cdots x_{k}\right]$ of maximum length $k$. Then $k=n-4$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x_{k}=a$. Assume, to the contrary, that $V \backslash(H \cup T)$ is nonempty and let $y$ be a vertex in this set. We will produce a contradiction by finding in $G$ a 4 -cycle with a longer tail.

Denote by $x_{i_{1}}=x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i_{p}}$ the neighbours of $x_{0}$ belonging to $T$.
Case 1. If there is an $i \in\{1, \cdots, p\}$ such that $y x_{i-1} \in E$, then [y $x_{i-1} \cdots x_{0} x_{i} \cdots x_{k}$ ] is a tail of length $k+1$ for $H$. Assume henceforth the contrary. Let now $q$ be the number of neighbours of $x_{0}$ in the set $\{b, c, d\}$. We have by hypothesis $p+q \geq n / 2$. Let $q_{1}$ be the number of neighbours of $y$ in the set $\{a, b, c, d\}$. Note that $y$ has at most $k-p$ neighbours in the set $T \backslash\{a\}$ and at most $n-k-5$ neighbours outside the set $H \cup T$.

Case 2. If $b$ and $d$ are both neighbours of $y$, then $\left[x_{0} \cdots x_{k} b\right]$ is a tail of length $k+1$ for the $C_{4} y b c d$ of $G$. So we may assume that we have
$q_{1} \leq 3$. Now we obtain by hypothesis for the number of neighbours of $y$ : $n / 2 \leq \operatorname{deg}(y) \leq(k-p)+q_{1}+n-k-5=n+q_{1}-5-p \leq n / 2+q+q_{1}-5$, so $q+q_{1} \geq 5$ implying $q \geq 2$. So $x_{0}$ must have a neighbour in the set $\{b, d\}$. By symmetry, we may suppose $b x_{0} \in E$.

Case 3. If $a$ and $c$ are both neighbours of $y$, then $\left[x_{k-1} \cdots x_{0} b a\right]$ is a tail of length $k+1$ for the $C_{4}$ yadc of $G$. This being not the case, we have $q_{1} \leq 2$ therefore $q=3$ and $q_{1}=2$. Now the three vertices $b, c, d$ are neighbours of $x_{0}$, and by symmetry we may suppose $y b \in E$. There remains only two cases, according to whether $a$ or $c$ is the other neighbour of $y$ in $H$.

Case 4. If $a$ is neighbour of $y$, then $\left[x_{1} \cdots x_{k} y b\right]$ is a tail of length $k+1$ for the cycle $x_{0} b c d$.

Case 5. If $c$ is neighbour of $y$, then $\left[d a \cdots x_{0}\right]$ is a tail of length $k+1$ for the cycle $y b x_{0} c$.
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Figure 4. Case 1 in the proof of Lemma 1.
Theorem 2. Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph of order $|V|=n$, with $n \geq 7$. If $G$ satisfies the Dirac's condition $\delta(G) \geq n / 2$, then $G$ contains as a subgraph, a Hamiltonian cycle with a chord that skips two vertices on this cycle.

Proof. It is straightforward that $G$ contains a cycle $C_{4}$ as a subgraph. For a subgraph $H$ of $G$, a tail of $H$ is any path $\left[x_{0} \cdots x_{k}\right]$ in $G$ sharing with $H$ only the vertex $x_{k}$. We now complete the proof of the theorem, by examining a 4 -cycle $H=a b c d$ with a tail $T$ of length $k=n-4 \geq 3$. Such a cycle exists by the previous lemma. We assume, as before, that $x_{k}=a$ and keep the same notations as in the proof of the lemma. In the same way, we study and eliminate all possible cases.

Case 1. If $b$ or $d$, say $b$ by symmetry, is neighbour of $x_{i-1}$, with $i \in$ $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{p}\right\}$ then the Hamiltonian cycle $a d c b x_{i-1} \cdots x_{0} x_{i} \cdots x_{k}$ has the chord $a b$.

If this is not the case, then $q \leq 1$ and we must have for the neighbours of $b$ (or $d$ ) : $n / 2 \leq \operatorname{deg}(b) \leq n-1-p \leq n / 2+q-1$, so $q=1$ and we have $c x_{0} \in E, p=n / 2-1$ (hence $n$ is even and $n \geq 8$ in this case). Moreover, $x_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq k-1$ is neighbour of $b$ if and only if it is also neighbour of $d$ and $i+1$ is not in the set $\left\{i_{2}, \cdots, i_{p}\right\}$. Finally, we must have, for the above inequalities being equalities, $b d \in E$.

Case 2. If $b x_{k-1} \in E$, then the Hamiltonian cycle $c d a b x_{k-1} \cdots x_{0} c$ has the chord $c b$. Assuming the contrary we must have $a x_{0} \in E$, otherwise $b x_{k-1} \notin E$ and we must have $a x_{0} \in E$.

Case 3. If $b x_{k-2} \in E$, it is a chord of the Hamiltonian cycle $c d b a \cdots x_{0} c$. At last, we may assume $x_{0} x_{k-1} \in E$, otherwise $b x_{k-2} \notin E$. Since $n \geq 8$, $b$ (as well as $d$ ) must have a neighbour $x_{i}$ with $1 \leq i \leq k-3$, forming a 4 -cycle $b x_{i} d c$ with the tail $\left[x_{i+1} \cdots a x_{0} \cdots x_{i}\right]$ or the tail $\left[x_{i-1} \cdots x_{0} a \cdots x_{i}\right]$. In these configurations, $x_{i+1}$ or $x_{i-1}$ play the role of $x_{0}$, and $c$ keeps its own one. Therefore, after eliminating the first case, we obtain that both $x_{i-1} c$ and $x_{i+1} c$ are in $E$. Now the cycle $b c d a$ has the tail $x_{k-1} \cdots x_{0} c$, in which vertices $a$ and $c$ exchange their places. Therefore, it remains to consider only the case when both $a x_{i+1}$ and $a x_{i-1}$ are in $E$. In this case the Hamiltonian cycle $x_{i+1} \cdots x_{k-1} x_{0} \cdots x_{i} b a d c x_{i+1}$ has the chord $a x_{i+1}$.
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