

## CYCLE-PANCYCLISM IN BIPARTITE TOURNAMENTS II

HORTENSIA GALEANA-SÁNCHEZ

*Instituto de Matemáticas, UNAM*  
*Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México*  
*Ciudad Universitaria*  
*04510, México, D.F. MÉXICO*  
**e-mail:** hgaleana@matem.unam.mx

### Abstract

Let  $T$  be a hamiltonian bipartite tournament with  $n$  vertices,  $\gamma$  a hamiltonian directed cycle of  $T$ , and  $k$  an even number. In this paper the following question is studied: What is the maximum intersection with  $\gamma$  of a directed cycle of length  $k$  contained in  $T[V(\gamma)]$ ? It is proved that for an even  $k$  in the range  $\frac{n+6}{2} \leq k \leq n-2$ , there exists a directed cycle  $\mathcal{C}_{h(k)}$  of length  $h(k)$ ,  $h(k) \in \{k, k-2\}$  with  $|A(\mathcal{C}_{h(k)}) \cap A(\gamma)| \geq h(k) - 4$  and the result is best possible. In a previous paper a similar result for  $4 \leq k \leq \frac{n+4}{2}$  was proved.

**Keywords:** bipartite tournament, pancyclism.

**2000 Mathematic Subject Classification:** 05C20.

## 1. Introduction

The subject of pancyclism has been studied by several authors (e.g. [1, 2, 3, 5, 13, 15]). Three types of pancyclism have been considered. A digraph  $D$  is: *pancyclic* if it has directed cycles of all the possible lengths; *vertex-pancyclic* if given any vertex  $v$  there are directed cycles of every length containing  $v$ ; and *arc-pancyclic* if given any arc  $e$  there are directed cycles of every length containing  $e$ .

It is well known that a hamiltonian bipartite tournament is pancyclic, and vertex-pancyclic (with only very few exceptions) but not necessarily

arc-pancyclic (see for example [3, 12, 14]). The concept of cycle-pancyclicity studies the following question: Given a directed cycle  $\gamma$  of a digraph  $D$ , find the maximum number of arcs which a directed cycle of length  $k$ , (if such a directed cycle exists) contained in  $D[V(\gamma)]$  (the subdigraph of  $D$  induced by  $V(\gamma)$ ) has in common with  $\gamma$ . Cycle-pancyclicity in tournaments has been studied in [6, 7, 8, 9]. In a previous paper [10] it was attempted to study cycle-pancyclicity in bipartite tournaments; in fact it was proved that for an even  $k$ ,  $4 \leq k \leq \frac{n+4}{2}$  there exists a directed cycle  $\mathcal{C}_{h(k)}$  of length  $h(k)$ ,  $h(k) \in \{k, k-2\}$  with  $|A(\mathcal{C}_{h(k)}) \cap A(\gamma)| \geq h(k) - 3$  and the result is best possible. In this paper, the study of cycle-pancyclicity in bipartite tournaments is completed. To study this question it is sufficient to consider a hamiltonian bipartite tournament where  $\gamma$  is a hamiltonian directed cycle (because we are looking for directed cycles of length  $k$  contained in  $D[V(\gamma)]$  whose arcs intersect the arcs of  $\gamma$  the most possible). We will assume (without saying it explicitly in Lemmas, Theorems or Corollaries) that we are working in a hamiltonian bipartite tournament with a vertex set  $V = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$  and arc set  $A$ . Also we assume without loss of generality that  $\gamma = (0, 1, \dots, n-1, 0)$  is a hamiltonian directed cycle of  $T$ ;  $k$  will be an even number;  $\mathcal{C}_{h(k)}$  will denote a directed cycle of length  $h(k)$  with  $h(k) \in \{k, k-2\}$  and  $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{C}_{h(k)}) = |A(\mathcal{C}_{h(k)}) \cap A(\gamma)|$ . This paper is the second part of the study on the existence of a directed cycle  $\mathcal{C}_{h(k)}$  where  $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{C}_{h(k)})$  is the maximum. For general concepts we refer the reader to [4].

## 2. Preliminaries

A *chord* of a cycle  $C$  is an arc not in  $C$  with both terminal vertices in  $C$ . The *length* of a chord  $g = (u, v)$  of  $C$ , denoted  $\ell(g)$ , is equal to the length of  $\langle u, C, v \rangle$  where  $\langle u, C, v \rangle$  denotes the  $uv$ -directed path contained in  $C$ . We say that  $g$  is a *c-chord* if  $\ell(g) = c$  and  $g = (u, v)$  is a *-c-chord* if  $\ell\langle v, C, u \rangle = c$ . Observe that if  $g$  is a  $c$ -chord then it is also a  $-(n-c)$ -chord. All the chords considered in this paper are chords of  $\gamma$ , also observe that since  $T$  is bipartite all the chords of  $\gamma$  have odd lengths. We will denote by  $\mathcal{C}_k$  a directed cycle of length  $k$ . In what follows all notation is taken modulo  $n$ . In what follows we assume  $k \geq 10$  (In [10] it was proved that for  $k = 4, 6, 8$  there exists a directed cycle  $\mathcal{C}_{h(k)}$  with  $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{C}_{h(k)}) \geq h(k) - 3$ ).

**Observation 2.1.** *If  $n = 2k - 6$ , then there exists a directed cycle  $\mathcal{C}_{k-2}$  with  $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{C}_{k-2}) = k - 3$ .*

**Proof.** Consider the arc between 0 and  $k-3$ ; when  $(0, k-3) \in A$  we have  $\mathcal{C}_{k-2} = (0, k-3) \cup \langle k-3, \gamma, 0 \rangle$  a directed cycle with  $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{C}_{k-2}) = k-3$ ; when  $(k-3, 0) \in A$  we obtain  $\mathcal{C}_{k-2} = \langle 0, \gamma, k-3 \rangle \cup (k-3, 0)$  a directed cycle with  $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{C}_{k-2}) = k-3$ . ■

In view of Observation 2.1 we will assume in what follows that  $k+2 \leq n \leq 2k-8$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *At least one of the following properties holds:*

- (i) *There exists a directed cycle  $\mathcal{C}_{h(k)}$  with  $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{C}_{h(k)}) \geq h(k) - 1$  ( $h(k) \in \{k, k-2\}$ ).*
- (ii) *All the following arcs are in  $A$ : (a) Every  $(k-1)$ -chord; (b) Every  $(k-3)$ -chord.*

**Proof.** Suppose that (i) is not true. (a) If  $(k-1, 0)$  is a  $-(k-1)$ -chord, then  $\mathcal{C}_k = \langle 0, \gamma, k-1 \rangle \cup (k-1, 0)$  is a directed cycle with  $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{C}_k) = k-1$ . (b) If  $(k-3, 0)$  is a  $-(k-3)$ -chord, then  $\mathcal{C}_{k-2} = \langle 0, \gamma, k-3 \rangle \cup (k-3, 0)$  is a directed cycle with  $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{C}_{k-2}) = k-3$ . ■

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $P = (x, x+1, \dots, \ell)$ ,  $x, \ell$  even,  $\ell \geq x+4$ , be a directed path contained in  $\gamma$ , let  $z$  be odd,  $x \in V - V(P)$ , and  $\{(x, z), (x+2, z), (z, \ell), (z, \ell-2), \dots, (z, \ell-(a-1))\} \subseteq A$  with  $a$  odd,  $1 \leq a \leq \ell-x-3$ . Then there exists an index  $i$ ,  $x+2 \leq i \leq \ell-(a+1)$ , such that  $\{(i, z), (z, i+a+1)\} \subseteq A$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $i \in V(P)$  be the maximum vertex in  $P$  such that  $(i, z) \in A$  clearly  $x+2 \leq i \leq \ell-(a+1)$  and  $\{(i, z), (z, i+a+1)\} \subseteq A$ . ■

**Lemma 2.4.** *If all the  $(k-3), (k-1), \dots, p$ -chords,  $p$  is odd,  $k-1 \leq p < n-3$  are in  $T$ , then at least one of the two following properties holds.*

- (i) *There exists a directed cycle  $\mathcal{C}_k$  with  $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{C}_k) \geq k-3$ .*
- (ii) *Every  $(p+2)$ -chord is in  $T$ .*

**Proof.** We show that if (ii) is false, then (i) holds. Let  $(s_1, s_2)$  be a  $-(p+2)$ -chord and let  $z$  be odd in  $\langle s_1, \gamma, s_2 \rangle - \{s_1, s_2\}$ . Assume w.l.o.g. that  $s_2 = 0$ . Let  $x = z + n - p \pmod{n}$ . Observe that

$$(1) \quad \{(x, z), (x+2, z), \dots, (x+p-(k-3), z)\} \subseteq A.$$

since these are the  $p, p-2, \dots, (k-3)$ -chords of  $\gamma$  ending in  $z$ . Similarly

$$(2) \quad \{(z, z+p), (z, z+p-2), \dots, (z, z+k-3)\} \subseteq A.$$

Observe that the start points of the arcs in set (1) are consecutive in-neighbors of  $z$  in  $\gamma$  and less than the endpoints of the arcs in set (2), which are consecutive out-neighbors of  $z$  in  $\gamma$ . This is because the largest start point of an arc in (1) is  $z+n-(k-3)$  and the last endpoint of an arc in (2) is  $z+(k-3)$  and  $z+(k-3) > z+n-(k-3)$  (as  $n \leq 2k-8$ ).

Now, consider the directed path  $\langle x, \gamma, z+p \rangle$ . Since  $x = z+n-p \pmod{n}$  and  $2p > n$  it is obvious that  $z \notin V(\langle x, \gamma, z+p \rangle)$ . Note that the cardinality of (1) is at least 2 and the cardinality of (2) is  $\frac{p-k+5}{2}$ . Thus letting  $a = p-k+4$  and  $\ell = z+p$  it follows from Lemma 2.3 that there exists  $j$ ,  $x \leq j < z+(k-3)$  such that  $\{(j, z), (z, j+a+1)\} \subseteq A$ . And then  $C = (s_1, s_2) \cup \langle s_2, \gamma, j \rangle \cup (j, z, j+a+1) \cup \langle j+a+1, \gamma, s_1 \rangle$  is a directed cycle. In order to see that  $\ell(C) = k$  note that  $\ell\langle s_1, \gamma, s_2 \rangle = n-(p+2)$ , and thus  $\ell\langle s_2, \gamma, s_1 \rangle = p+2$ . Clearly,  $\ell\langle j, \gamma, j+a+1 \rangle = a+1$ , therefore  $\ell(C) = p+2-(a+1)+3 = k$  and  $\mathcal{I}(C) = k-3$ . ■

It follows directly from Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4 the following

**Theorem 2.5.** *At least one of the following conditions holds.*

- (i) *There exists a directed cycle  $\mathcal{C}_{h(k)}$  with  $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{C}_{h(k)}) \geq h(k)-3$ .*
- (ii) *For each  $p$  odd,  $k-3 \leq p \leq n-3$ , every  $p$ -chord of  $\gamma$  is in  $T$ .*

### 3. The Main Result

In this section we prove the following

**Theorem 3.1.** *For every  $k$  such that  $\frac{n+6}{2} \leq k \leq n-2$ , there exists a directed cycle  $\mathcal{C}_{h(k)}$  with  $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{C}_{h(k)}) \geq h(k)-4$ .*

**Proof.** In view of Observation 2.1 we will assume  $k \geq \frac{n+8}{2}$ . It follows from Theorem 2.5 that we can assume that for each odd  $p$ ,  $k-3 \leq p \leq n-3$ , every  $p$ -chord is in  $T$ ; i.e., for each odd  $q$   $3 \leq q \leq n-(k-3)$ , every  $(-q)$ -chord is in  $T$ . This assumption will be maintained in the whole proof. Let  $s$  be

the minimum integer such that  $\gamma$  has an  $s$ -chord. Note that  $s \geq n - k + 5$  ( $s$  is odd), and thus  $-s \leq k - 5$ . This is because for each odd  $p$ ,  $k - 3 \leq p \leq n - 3$ , every  $p$ -chord is in  $T$ , and therefore for each  $3 \leq n - p \leq n - k + 3$ , every  $(n - p)$ -chord is not in  $T$ . Let  $g = (u, v)$  be an  $s$ -chord of  $\gamma$ .

Denote by  $w$  the last vertex of  $\langle v + 1, \gamma, u - 1 \rangle$  such that there exists an arc  $(w, z)$  with  $z \in \langle u + 1, \gamma, v - 1 \rangle$ . Notice that  $\ell\langle u, \gamma, v \rangle \geq 7$  (because  $\ell\langle u, \gamma, v \rangle = s \geq n - k + 5$  and  $n \geq k + 2$ ), and thus  $\langle u + 1, \gamma, v - 1 \rangle$  has at least one vertex. Also, the vertex  $w$  is well defined because  $(v + 2, v - 1)$  is a  $(-3)$ -chord and hence it is in  $A$ . Hence for every vertex  $x \in \langle w + 1, \gamma, u - 1 \rangle$ , every  $(x', x)$  arc with  $x' \in \langle u + 1, \gamma, v - 1 \rangle$  and  $x \not\equiv x' \pmod{2}$ , is in  $A$ ; by Definition of  $w$ . Also for any  $x, x'$  such that:  $x \not\equiv x' \pmod{2}$ ,  $2 \leq \ell\langle x, \gamma, x' \rangle < s$ , and  $\{x, x'\} \subseteq V(\langle u, \gamma, v - 1 \rangle)$  the arc  $(x', x)$  is in  $A$  because of the definition of  $s$ . Therefore we have the following Claims:

**Claim 1.** (a) For every  $z_1 \in \langle z, \gamma, v - 1 \rangle$  and  $u_1 \in \langle u, \gamma, z - 1 \rangle$  such that  $u_1 \not\equiv z_1 \pmod{2}$  and  $\ell\langle u_1, \gamma, z_1 \rangle \geq 2$ , it holds  $(z_1, u_1) \in A$ .

(b) For every  $u_2 \in \langle u + 1, \gamma, v - 1 \rangle$  and  $w_1 \in \langle w + 1, \gamma, u \rangle$  such that  $w_1 \not\equiv u_2 \pmod{2}$  and  $\ell\langle w_1, \gamma, u_2 \rangle \geq 2$ , it holds  $(u_2, w_1) \in A$ .

As a direct consequence we have the following Claim.

**Claim 2.** (a) If  $z_1 \in \langle z, \gamma, v - 1 \rangle$  and  $w_1 \in \langle w + 1, \gamma, u \rangle$  such that  $z_1 \not\equiv w_1 \pmod{2}$  and  $\ell\langle w_1, \gamma, z_1 \rangle \geq 2$ , then

$$\mathcal{C}^1 = (z_1, w_1) \cup \langle w_1, \gamma, u \rangle \cup (u, v) \cup \langle v, \gamma, w \rangle \cup (w, z) \cup \langle z, \gamma, z_1 \rangle$$

is a directed cycle of  $T$  of length  $m$  with  $J(\mathcal{C}^1) = m - 3$ .

(b) If  $z_1 \in \langle z, \gamma, v - 1 \rangle$ ,  $w_1 \in \langle w + 1, \gamma, u \rangle$ ,  $u_1 \in \langle u + 1, \gamma, z - 1 \rangle$  and  $u_2 \in \langle u_1, \gamma, z - 1 \rangle$  such that:  $u_1 \not\equiv z_1 \pmod{2}$ ;  $\ell\langle u_1, \gamma, z_1 \rangle \geq 2$ ,  $w_1 \not\equiv u_2 \pmod{2}$  and  $\ell\langle w_1, \gamma, u_2 \rangle \geq 2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}^2 = & (z_1, u_1) \cup \langle u_1, \gamma, u_2 \rangle \cup (u_2, w_1) \cup \langle w_1, \gamma, u \rangle \\ & \cup (u, v) \cup \langle v, \gamma, w \rangle \cup (w, z) \cup \langle z, \gamma, z_1 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

is a directed cycle of length  $q$  with  $J(\mathcal{C}^2) = q - 4$ .

Observe that  $\mathcal{C}^2$  is a directed cycle because  $\langle u + 1, \gamma, v - 1 \rangle$  is non-empty, and because  $z \neq u_2$ ,  $u \neq u_1$ ,  $w \neq w_1$  and  $v \neq z_1$ . A similar observation holds for  $\mathcal{C}^1$ .

We proceed to prove the existence of a directed cycle of length  $k$  intersecting  $\gamma$  in at least  $k - 4$  arcs. We split the problem into several cases according to the position of  $z$  in  $\langle u + 1, \gamma, v - 1 \rangle$  and according to  $\ell\langle u + 1, \gamma, v - 1 \rangle$ . We are able to use constructions equal or similar to  $\mathcal{C}^1$  or  $\mathcal{C}^2$ . Consider the path  $\alpha = (u, v) \cup \langle v, \gamma, w \rangle \cup (w, z)$ , and let  $r = k - \ell(\alpha)$ . We now extend  $\alpha$  to a directed cycle  $\mathcal{C}_{h(k)}$  with  $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{C}_{h(k)}) \geq h(k) - 4$ . Observe that since  $\ell\langle v, \gamma, u \rangle = n - s \leq k - 5$  (because  $s \geq n - k + 5$ ) it follows that  $\ell\langle v, \gamma, u - 1 \rangle \leq k - 6$  and  $\ell(\alpha) \leq k - 4$ ; hence  $r \geq 4$ .

*Case 1.*  $\ell\langle w, \gamma, u \rangle - 1 + \ell\langle z, \gamma, v \rangle - 1 \geq r - 1 > 0$ .

Let  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  be such that  $r_1 + r_2 = r - 1$ ,  $0 \leq r_1 \leq \ell\langle w, \gamma, u \rangle - 1$ ,  $0 \leq r_2 \leq \ell\langle z, \gamma, v \rangle - 1$ ,  $w_1 = u - r_1$  and  $z_1 = z + r_2$ .

The proof that  $(z_1, w_1) \in A$  is as follows:

First, we prove that  $\ell\langle w_1, \gamma, z_1 \rangle \geq 2$ . We have that  $\ell\langle w_1, \gamma, z_1 \rangle = z + r_2 - (u - r_1) = z - u + r - 1$ , since  $r_1 + r_2 = r - 1$ ; the definition of  $z$  implies  $z - u \geq 1$ , and therefore  $z - u + r - 1 \geq r \geq 4$ .

Now we prove that  $z_1 \not\equiv w_1 \pmod{2}$  by considering two possible cases: When  $\ell(\alpha)$  is odd we have:  $r$  is odd (because  $r = k - \ell(\alpha)$ , and  $k$  even),  $r - 1$  is even,  $r_1 = r_2 \pmod{2}$  (because  $r_1 + r_2 = r - 1$ ), and consequently  $r_2 = -r_1 \pmod{2}$  (Notice  $r_1 \equiv -r_1 \pmod{2}$ ); moreover,  $\ell\langle v, \gamma, w \rangle$  is odd which implies  $\ell\langle z, \gamma, v \rangle$  is even (Notice that since  $(w, z)$  is a chord we have  $\ell\langle w, \gamma, z \rangle$  is odd and  $\ell\langle z, \gamma, w \rangle$  is odd and therefore  $\ell\langle u, \gamma, z \rangle$  is odd (because  $\ell\langle u, \gamma, v \rangle$  is odd); so we have  $u \not\equiv z \pmod{2}$ , we conclude  $u - r_1 \not\equiv z + r_2 \pmod{2}$  (because  $u - r_1 \equiv z + r_2 \pmod{2}$  implies  $u \equiv z \pmod{2}$  as  $r_2 \equiv -r_1 \pmod{2}$ ). When  $\ell(\alpha)$  is even we have:  $r$  is even,  $r - 1$  is odd,  $r_1 \not\equiv r_2 \pmod{2}$ ,  $r_2 \not\equiv -r_1 \pmod{2}$ ; moreover,  $\ell\langle v, \gamma, w \rangle$  is even,  $\ell\langle z, \gamma, v \rangle$  is odd,  $\ell\langle u, \gamma, z \rangle$  is even; so we have  $u \equiv z \pmod{2}$  and since  $r_2 \not\equiv -r_1$  we conclude  $u - r_1 \not\equiv z + r_2 \pmod{2}$ . So in any case we have  $u - r_1 \not\equiv z + r_2 \pmod{2}$ .

It follows from Claim 2 that  $\mathcal{C}^1$  is a directed cycle of length  $\ell(\alpha) + r_1 + r_2 + 1 = \ell(\alpha) + r = k$  with  $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{C}^1) = k - 3$ .

*Case 2.*  $\ell\langle w, \gamma, u \rangle - 1 + \ell\langle z, \gamma, v \rangle - 1 < r - 1$ .

Observe that  $\ell\langle w, \gamma, u \rangle - 1 + \ell\langle z, \gamma, v \rangle - 1 + \ell\langle u, \gamma, z \rangle - 1 = n - \ell(\alpha) - 1 > k - \ell(\alpha) = r$ . Thus  $\ell\langle u, \gamma, z \rangle - 1 \geq r - (\ell\langle w, \gamma, u \rangle - 1 + \ell\langle z, \gamma, v \rangle - 1) + 1$ .

From the hypothesis of Case 2,  $\ell\langle u, \gamma, z \rangle \geq 4$ .

Let  $r_3 = r - (\ell\langle w, \gamma, u \rangle - 1 + \ell\langle z, \gamma, v \rangle - 1) - 2$ . It follows from the hypothesis of Case 2 that  $0 \leq r_3 < \ell\langle u, \gamma, z \rangle - 1$ .

Denote:  $w_1 = w + 1$ ,  $u_1 = u + 1$ ,  $u_2 = u + r_3 + 1 = u_1 + r_3$  and  $z_1 = v - 1$ .

We have that  $\ell\langle u_1, \gamma, z_1 \rangle = v - 1 - u - 1 = v - u - 2 \geq 2$ . The last equality follows because  $\ell\langle u, \gamma, v \rangle = s \geq n - k + 5$  and  $k \leq n - 2$ , and hence  $\ell\langle u, \gamma, v \rangle \geq 7$ . So  $\ell\langle u_1, \gamma, z_1 \rangle \geq 2$  and the fact  $u_1 \not\equiv z_1 \pmod{2}$  (because  $(u, v) \in A$  implies  $u + 1 \not\equiv v - 1 \pmod{2}$  (as  $1 \equiv -1 \pmod{2}$ )).

Hence  $(z_1, u_1) \in A$ .

Now  $\ell\langle w_1, \gamma, u_2 \rangle = u + r_3 + 1 - w - 1 = u - w + r_3$ . Since by Definition  $u - w \geq 1$  and  $r_3 \geq 0$ ; it is sufficient to consider two possibilities:  $u_2 - w_1 \geq 2$  and  $u_2 - w_1 = 1$ .

*Case 2.a.*  $\ell\langle w_1, \gamma, u_2 \rangle \geq 2$ .

In this case we only need to prove that  $w_1 \not\equiv u_2 \pmod{2}$  in order to have  $(u_2, w_1) \in A$ . Since  $w \not\equiv z \pmod{2}$  (because  $(w, z) \in A$ ), and  $w_1 = w + 1$  it suffices to prove  $\ell\langle u_2, \gamma, z \rangle$  is odd. We proceed by contradiction; suppose  $\ell\langle u_2, \gamma, z \rangle$  is even and consider the two possible cases: When  $\ell(\alpha)$  is odd we have  $r$  is odd,  $r - 2$  is odd, and  $\ell\langle v, \gamma, w \rangle$  is odd. Moreover, since  $\ell\langle v, \gamma, w \rangle$  is odd we have  $\ell\langle z, \gamma, z_1 \rangle$  is odd (because  $z_1 = v - 1$  and  $\ell\langle z, \gamma, w \rangle$  is odd as  $(w, z) \in A$ ); now  $\ell\langle u_1, \gamma, u_2 \rangle$  is even (Notice  $\ell\langle u_1, \gamma, z_1 \rangle$  is odd because  $(z_1, u_1) \in A$ ) and  $\ell\langle w_1, \gamma, u \rangle$  is odd (Notice  $\ell\langle w, \gamma, z \rangle$  is odd and  $\ell\langle u_1, \gamma, z \rangle$  is even), so we obtain  $\ell\langle w_1, \gamma, u \rangle + \ell\langle u_1, \gamma, u_2 \rangle + \ell\langle z, \gamma, z_1 \rangle$  is even. Also we have  $\ell\langle w_1, \gamma, u \rangle + \ell\langle u_1, \gamma, u_2 \rangle + \ell\langle z, \gamma, z_1 \rangle = \ell\langle w, \gamma, u \rangle - 1 + r_3 + \ell\langle z, \gamma, v \rangle - 1 = r - 2$  is odd; a contradiction. The case when  $\ell(\alpha)$  is even is completely analogous (by interchanging even with odd).

We conclude  $(u_2, w_1) \in A$  and by Claim 2  $\mathcal{C}_q = \mathcal{C}^2$  is a directed cycle with  $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{C}^2) = q - 4$ . Furthermore,  $q = k$  since by construction  $\ell(\mathcal{C}^2) = \ell(\alpha) + r = k$ .

We now divide the remaining case of  $u_2 - w_1 = 1$  into two subcases. In both the following holds:  $u - w + r_3 = 1$  (because  $u_2 - w_1 = 1$ ),  $w = u - 1$ ,  $r_3 = 0$ ,  $u = w_1$  and  $u_2 = u_1 = u + 1$ . Also, by Definition of  $r_3$ ,  $r = \ell\langle w, \gamma, u \rangle + \ell\langle z, \gamma, v \rangle$ .

*Case 2.b.1.*  $u_2 - w_1 = 1$  and  $z \leq z_1 - 1$ .

Notice that  $\ell\langle u, \gamma, z_1 - 1 \rangle = \ell\langle u, \gamma, v \rangle - 2 \geq 3$ . (because  $s \geq 5$ ). Moreover, since  $u \not\equiv v \pmod{2}$  (as  $(u, v) \in A$ ) we have  $u \not\equiv z_1 - 1 = v - 2 \pmod{2}$ . Thus  $(z_1 - 1, u) \in A$ , and the fact  $z \leq z_1 - 1$  implies  $C_q = \alpha \cup \langle z, \gamma, z_1 - 1 \rangle \cup (z_1 - 1, u)$  is a directed cycle of length  $q$  with  $\mathcal{J}(C_q) = q - 3$ .

Now we prove  $q = k - 2$ ; observe that  $r = u - w + v - z$ , and since  $w = u - 1$  and  $v = z_1 + 1$  we obtain  $r = z_1 - z + 2$  and  $z_1 - 1 - z = r - 3$ . Thus  $\ell(C_q) = \ell(\alpha) + r - 3 + 1 = \ell(\alpha) + r - 2 = k - 2$ .

We conclude the proof of Theorem with the next subcase.

*Case 2.b.2.*  $u_2 - w_1 = 1$  and  $z = z_1 = v - 1$ .

From  $r_3 = 0$ ,  $w = u - 1$ ,  $z = v - 1$  and  $r = \ell\langle w, \gamma, u \rangle + \ell\langle z, \gamma, v \rangle$  it follows that  $r = 2$ , thus  $\ell(\alpha) = k - r = k - 2$ . Since  $\ell\langle v, \gamma, w \rangle = \ell(\alpha) - 2 = k - 4$  and  $w = u - 1$  we have  $\ell\langle v, \gamma, u \rangle = k - 3$  and hence  $\mathcal{C}_{k-2} = \langle v, \gamma, u \rangle \cup (u, v)$  is a directed cycle of length  $k - 2$  with  $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{C}_{k-2}) = k - 3$ . ■

## 4. Remarks

In this section it is proved that the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1 are tight, and the result is best possible.

**Definition 4.1** [10]. A digraph  $D$  with vertex set  $V$  is called cyclically  $p$ -partite complete ( $p \geq 3$ ) provided one can partition  $V = V_0 \cup V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_{p-1}$  so that  $(u, v)$  is an arc of  $D$  if and only if  $u \in V_i$ ,  $v \in V_{i+1}$  (notation modulo  $p$ ).

**Remark 4.2** [10]. The cyclically 4-partite complete digraph  $T_4$  is a bipartite tournament and clearly, every directed cycle of  $T_4$  has length  $\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . So for  $k = 4m + 2$ ,  $T_4$  has no directed cycles of length  $k$  and for  $k = 4m$ ,  $T_4$  has no directed cycles of length  $k - 2$ .

**Remark 4.3.** For  $n \geq 6$ ,  $k \geq 6$ , such that  $n \leq 2k - 8$ , there exists a bipartite hamiltonian tournament  $T_n$  with no directed cycles  $\mathcal{C}_{h(k)}$  with  $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{C}_{h(k)}) \geq h(k) - 3$ . (recall  $h(k) \in \{k, k - 2\}$ ).

**Proof.** Define  $T_n$  as follows:

$$A(T_n) = \{(i, i + 1) \mid i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}\} \\ \cup \left\{ (i, i + j) \mid j \in \left\{ \frac{n}{2} + 1, \frac{n}{2} + 3, \dots, n - 3 \right\} \right\}.$$

Notice that  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , otherwise the arc  $(i, i + j)$  is not defined.

Consider a directed cycle  $\mathcal{C}_{h(k)}$  of length  $h(k)$ ,  $h(k) \in \{k, k - 2\}$ . Observe that the definition of  $T_n$  and the fact  $n \leq 2k - 8$  imply  $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{C}_{h(k)}) < h(k) - 2$ . We prove that  $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{C}_{h(k)}) < h(k) - 3$  by showing that for any directed cycle  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{C}) = k - 3$ , it holds  $\ell(\mathcal{C}) \leq k - 4$ .

Let  $f_1 = (x_1, x_2)$ ,  $f_2 = (x_3, x_4)$ , and  $f_3 = (x_5, x_6)$  be the three arcs of  $\mathcal{C}$  not in  $\gamma$ . Hence without loss of generality

$$\mathcal{C} = (x_1, x_2) \cup \langle x_2, \gamma, x_3 \rangle \cup (x_3, x_4) \cup \langle x_4, \gamma, x_5 \rangle \cup (x_5, x_6) \cup \langle x_6, \gamma, x_1 \rangle.$$



By the definition of  $T_n$  it follows that  $\ell(f_i) \geq \frac{n}{2} + 1$ , for each  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(\mathcal{C}) &= \ell\langle x_2, \gamma, x_1 \rangle + \ell\langle x_6, \gamma, x_5 \rangle - \ell\langle x_3, \gamma, x_4 \rangle + 3 \\ &= n - \ell(f_1) + n - \ell(f_3) - \ell(f_2) + 3 \\ &\leq \frac{n}{2} - 1 + \frac{n}{2} - 1 - \frac{n}{2} - 1 + 3 \\ &= \frac{n}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\ell(\mathcal{C}) \leq k - 4$ , since  $n \leq 2k - 8$ . ■

### Acknowledgement

The author wishes to thank the anonymous referees for a through review and useful suggestions.

### References

- [1] B. Alspach, *Cycles of each length in regular tournaments*, Canadian Math. Bull. **10** (1967) 283–286.
- [2] L.W. Beineke, *A tour through tournaments or bipartite and ordinary tournaments: A comparative survey*. J. London Math. Soc. Lect. Notes Ser. **52** (1981) 41–55.
- [3] L.W. Beineke and V. Little, *Cycles in bipartite tournaments*, J. Combin. Theory (B) **32** (1982) 140–145.
- [4] C. Berge, *Graphs and hypergraphs* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1976).
- [5] J.C. Bermond and C. Thomassen, *Cycles in digraphs, A survey*, J. Graph Theory **5** (1981) 145–147.
- [6] H. Galeana-Sánchez and S. Rajsbaum, *Cycle-Pancyclism in Tournaments I*, Graphs and Combinatorics **11** (1995) 233–243.
- [7] H. Galeana-Sánchez and S. Rajsbaum, *Cycle-Pancyclism in Tournaments II*, Graphs and Combinatorics **12** (1996) 9–16.
- [8] H. Galeana-Sánchez and S. Rajsbaum, *Cycle-Pancyclism in Tournaments III*, Graphs and Combinatorics **13** (1997) 57–63.
- [9] H. Galeana-Sánchez and S. Rajsbaum, *A Conjecture on Cycle-Pancyclism in Tournaments*, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory **18** (1998) 243–251.

- [10] H. Galeana-Sanchez, *Cycle-Pancyclicity in Bipartite Tournaments I*, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory **24** (2004) 277–290.
- [11] G. Gutin, *Cycles and paths in semicomplete multipartite digraphs, theorems and algorithms: A survey*, J. Graph Theory **19** (1995) 481–505.
- [12] R. Häggkvist and Y. Manoussakis, *Cycles and paths in bipartite tournaments with spanning configurations*, Combinatorica **9** (1989) 33–38.
- [13] L. Volkmann, *Cycles in multipartite tournaments, results and problems*, Discrete Math. **245** (2002) 19–53.
- [14] C.Q. Zhang, *Vertex even-pancyclicity in bipartite tournaments*, J. Nanjing Univ. Math. Biquart **1** (1981) 85–88.
- [15] K.M. Zhang and Z.M. Song, *Cycles in digraphs, a survey*, J. Nanjing Univ., Nat. Sci. Ed. **27** (1991) 188–215.

Received 10 September 2003

Revised 30 April 2004