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#### Abstract

A graph $G$ is said to be $H$-saturated if $G$ is $H$-free i.e., ( $G$ has no subgraph isomorphic to $H$ ) and adding any new edge to $G$ creates a copy of $H$ in $G$. In 1986 L . Kászonyi and Zs. Tuza considered the following problem: for given $m$ and $n$ find the minimum size $\operatorname{sat}\left(n ; P_{m}\right)$ of $P_{m}$-saturated graph of order $n$. They gave the number $\operatorname{sat}\left(n ; P_{m}\right)$ for $n$ big enough. We deal with similar problem for bipartite graphs.
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## 1. Preliminaries

We deal with simple graphs without loops and multiple edges. As usual $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ denote the vertex set and the edge set, respectively, $|G|, e(G)$ the order and the size of $G$, and $d_{G}(v)$ the degree of $v \in V(G)$. By $P_{m}$ we denote the path of order $m$, and by $K_{m}$ the complete graph on $m$ vertices. We define $G_{a, b}$ to be a bipartite graph where $a, b$ are the numbers of vertices in bipartition sets. Let us consider two graphs $G$ and $H$. We say that $G$ is $H$-free if it contains no copy of $H$, that is, no subgraph of $G$ is isomorphic to $H$. A graph $G$ is $H$-saturated if $G$ is $H$-free and adding any new edge $e$ to $G$ creates a copy of $H$. In particular complete $H$-free graphs trivially satisfy this condition and therefore are $H$-saturated. We define also:
*This work was carried out while the second author was visiting University of Orleans.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{ex}(n ; F)=\max \{e(G):|G|=n, G \text { is } F \text {-saturated }\}, \\
& E x(n ; F)=\{G:|G|=n, e(G)=e x(n ; F), G \text { is } F \text {-saturated }\}, \\
& \operatorname{sat}(n ; F)=\min \{e(G):|G|=n, G \text { is } F \text {-saturated }\}, \\
& \operatorname{Sat}(n ; F)=\{G:|G|=n, e(G)=\operatorname{sat}(n ; F), G \text { is } F \text {-saturated }\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that in the definitions of $E x(n ; F)$ and $e x(n ; F)$ the word saturated may be replaced with free. The first results concerning saturated graphs were given by Turán [6] in 1941 who asked for $e x\left(n ; K_{p}\right)$ and $E x\left(n ; K_{p}\right)$. Later results were given by P. Erdös, A. Hajnal and J.W. Moon [3] (see also [2]) in 1964 who proved

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{sat}\left(n ; K_{p}\right)= & \binom{p-2}{2}+(p-2)(n-p+2), \quad(n \geq p \geq 2) \\
& \operatorname{Sat}\left(n ; K_{p}\right)=\left\{K_{p-2} * \bar{K}_{n-p+2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

A corresponding theorem for bipartite graphs was given by N. Alon in 1983 (see [1]). The extremal problem for $P_{m}$-saturated bipartite graphs was solved by A. Gyárfás, C.C. Rousseau and R.H. Schelp [4]. We are interested in finding $P_{m}$-saturated bipartite graphs with minimum size. In Section 2 we present some results concerning $P_{m}$-saturated bipartite graphs. The proofs are given in Section 3.

In [5] L. Kászonyi and Zs. Tuza, gave the following results on $\operatorname{Sat}\left(n ; P_{m}\right)$ and $\operatorname{sat}\left(n ; P_{m}\right)$.

Theorem 1 ([5]).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{sat}\left(n ; P_{3}\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor, \\
& \operatorname{Sat}\left(n ; P_{3}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
k K_{2} & \text { if } & n=2 k \\
k K_{2} \cup K_{1} & \text { if } & n=2 k+1,
\end{array}\right. \\
& \operatorname{sat}\left(n ; P_{4}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
k & \text { if } n=2 k, \\
k+2 & \text { if } & n=2 k+1,
\end{array}\right. \\
& \operatorname{Sat}\left(n ; P_{4}\right)= \begin{cases}k K_{2} & \text { if } n=2 k \\
(k-1) K_{2} \cup K_{3} & \text { if } n=2 k+1,\end{cases} \\
& \operatorname{sat}\left(n ; P_{5}\right)=n-\left\lfloor\frac{n-2}{6}\right\rfloor-1 \quad \text { for } n \geq 6
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
a_{m}= \begin{cases}3 \cdot 2^{k-1}-2 & \text { if } m=2 k, k>2 \\ 2^{k+1}-2 & \text { if } m=2 k+1, k \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

Then $\operatorname{sat}\left(n ; P_{m}\right)=n-\left\lfloor\frac{n}{a_{m}}\right\rfloor$ for $n \geq a_{m}$.


$$
\operatorname{Sat}\left(n ; P_{5}\right) \text { for } n \geq 6
$$

Figure 1

## 2. $\quad P_{m}$-Saturated Bipartite Graphs with Minimum Size

Let $G=(B, W ; E)$ be a bipartite graph with vertex set $V=B \cup W$, $B \cap W=\emptyset$. For convenience of the reader we call the set $B$ the set of black vertices and the set $W$ the set of white vertices. For bipartite graphs $G=(B, W ; E)$ and $F=\left(B^{\prime}, W^{\prime} ; E^{\prime}\right)$ such that the sets $B, W, B^{\prime}$ and $W^{\prime}$ are mutually disjoint we define: $G \cup F=\left(B \cup B^{\prime}, W \cup W^{\prime} ; E \cup E^{\prime}\right)$.

Definition 1. Let $G=(B, W ; E)$ be a bipartite graph. Then $G$ is called $F$-saturated if

1. $G$ is $F$-free,
2. $(x \in B, y \in W, x y \notin E) \Rightarrow G \cup x y \supseteq F$.

We denote also

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{sat}_{\text {bip }}(p, q ; F)=\min \{e(G):|B|=p,|W|=q, G \text { is } F \text {-saturated }\}, \\
\operatorname{Sat}_{b i p}(p, q ; F)=\left\{G=(B, W ; E):|B|=p,|W|=q, e(G)=\operatorname{sat}_{\text {bip }}(p, q ; F),\right. \\
G \text { is } F \text {-saturated }\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proposition 2. $\operatorname{sat}_{b i p}\left(p, q ; P_{3}\right)=p, p \leq q$.


$S_{a t}{ }_{b i p}\left(p, q ; P_{3}\right)$.
Figure 2

Proposition 3. $\operatorname{sat}_{b i p}\left(p, q ; P_{4}\right)=p, \quad 2 \leq p \leq q$.


Figure 3
Proposition 4. Let $p \geq 2, q \geq 3, p \leq q$. Then

$$
\operatorname{sat}_{\text {bip }}\left(p, q ; P_{5}\right)= \begin{cases}2 p & \text { if } 2 p \leq q, p \text { is even or } q=2 p-2, \\ q & \text { if } 2 p \leq q, p \text { is odd, } \\ p+\left\lceil\frac{q}{2}\right\rceil & \text { if } 3<q<2 p, q \neq 2 p-2, \\ 5 & \text { if } p=q=3, \\ 4 & \text { if } p=2 .\end{cases}
$$

Proposition 5. Let $3 \leq p \leq q$. Then


Definition 2. Let us suppose that $m \geq 7$ is an integer. Then $A_{m}$ is the following tree. All penultimate vertices of $A_{m}$ have degree two and all vertices of $A_{m}$ which are neither penultimate nor pendant have their degree equal to three. If $m=2 k, k \geq 4$ then $A_{m}$ has two centers $u \in B$ and $w \in W$ and each component of $G-u w$ has $k-1$ levels (see Figure 4). If $m=2 k+1, k \geq 3$ then $A_{m}$ has one center and $k$ levels. The center is black when $k$ is even (see Figure 5).


Figure 4
When $m=2 k, k \geq 4$ we observe that $|B|=|W|=3 \cdot 2^{k-3}-1$.


Figure 5
If $m=2 k+1, k \geq 3$ and the center is white then in $A_{m}$ we have $|B|=$ $4 \cdot 2^{k-3}-1$ and $|W|=5 \cdot 2^{k-3}-1$ when $k$ is odd or $|W|=4 \cdot 2^{k-3}-1$ and $|B|=5 \cdot 2^{k-3}-1$ when $k$ is even. Denote by $v$ the center of $A_{2 k+1}, k \geq 3$.

Observe that if $|B| \leq|W|$ then for $m=2 k+1, k \geq 3$ we obtain $|B|=$ $4 \cdot 2^{k-3}-1,|W|=5 \cdot 2^{k-3}-1$ and $v \in B$ if $k$ is even or $v \in W$ if $k$ is odd.

Remark 1. Observe that $A_{m}$ is $P_{m}$-saturated and $P_{m-1}$-saturated for every $m \geq 7$.

Remark 2. $l A_{2 k}$ is $P_{2 k}$-saturated for $k \geq 4, l=1,2, \ldots, n$.
Remark 3. The union of two copies of $A_{2 k+1}$ is $P_{2 k+1}$-saturated, $k \geq 3$, if and only if their centers have the same colour (see Figure 6).

Theorem 6. Let $k \geq 4$ and let $G=(B, W ; E)$ be a $P_{2 k}$-saturated bipartite graph without isolated vertices and with the minimum size, $|B|=p,|W|=$ $q, 3 \cdot 2^{k-3}-1 \leq p \leq q$. Then

$$
e(G)=p+q-\left\lfloor\frac{p}{3 \cdot 2^{k-3}-1}\right\rfloor .
$$

Theorem 7. Let $k \geq 3$ and let $G=(B, W ; E)$ be a $P_{2 k+1}$-saturated bipartite graph without isolated vertices and with the minimum size, $|B|=p \leq|W|=$ $q, 4 \cdot 2^{k-3}-1 \leq p, 5 \cdot 2^{k-3} \leq q$. Then
$e(G)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}p+q-\frac{q}{5 \cdot 2^{k-3}-1}+1 \quad \text { if } \quad \frac{q}{5 \cdot 2^{k-3}-1}=\left\lfloor\frac{q}{5 \cdot 2^{k-3}-1}\right\rfloor<\frac{p}{4 \cdot 2^{k-3}-1}, \\ p+q-\min \left\{\left\lfloor\frac{p}{4 \cdot 2^{k-3}-1}\right\rfloor,\left\lfloor\frac{q}{5 \cdot 2^{k-3}-1}\right\rfloor\right\} \quad \text { otherwise. }\end{array}\right.$


Figure 6

Theorem 6 and 7 imply the following corollary.
Corollary 8. If $|B|=p,|W|=q, 3 \cdot 2^{k-3}-1 \leq p \leq q, k \geq 4$ then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\qquad \operatorname{sat}_{b i p}\left(p, q ; P_{2 k}\right) \leq p+q-\left\lfloor\frac{p}{3 \cdot 2^{k-3}-1}\right\rfloor . \\
\text { If }|B|=p,|W|=q, p \leq q, 4 \cdot 2^{k-3}-1 \leq p, 5 \cdot 2^{k-3} \leq q, \text { then } \\
\operatorname{sat}_{b i p}\left(p, q ; P_{2 k+1}\right) \\
=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
p+q-\frac{q}{5 \cdot 2^{k-3}-1}+1 \quad \text { if } \quad \frac{q}{5 \cdot 2^{k-3}-1}=\left\lfloor\frac{q}{5 \cdot 2^{k-3}-1}\right\rfloor<\frac{p}{4 \cdot 2^{k-3}-1}, \\
p+q-\min \left\{\left\lfloor\frac{p}{4 \cdot 2^{k-3}-1}\right\rfloor,\left\lfloor\frac{q}{5 \cdot 2^{k-3}-1}\right\rfloor\right\} \quad \text { otherwise. }
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

## 3. Proofs

We first give some definitions. The graphs $K_{1, n}$ and $K_{n, 1}$ are called stars when $n \geq 1$ and non-trivial stars if $n \geq 2$. Let $K_{1, b}$ and $K_{a, 1}$ be two vertex disjoint stars. Then the tree obtained by join of their centers is called double star $S_{a, b}^{2}$ (see Figure 7). A double star $S_{a, b}^{2}$ is said to be non-trivial if $a>0, b>0$ and $a+b \geq 3$. Propositions 2 and 3 are evident. To prove Proposition 4 we give Lemmas 9-13 and Proposition 14 below.

Lemma 9. Let $G=(B, W ; E)$ be a connected bipartite $P_{5}$-saturated graph $|B|=p,|W|=q$. Then either

1. $G$ is a star or
2. $G$ is non-trivial double star $S_{a, b}^{2}$ or else
3. $G=K_{2,2}$.

Lemma 10. Let $G=(B, W ; E)$ be a bipartite $P_{5}$-saturated graph, $|B|=$ $p,|W|=q, p \leq q, p \geq 2, q \geq 3$, such that there is at least one isolated vertex in $W$. Then $p=2 k, k \geq 1$ and $G=k K_{2,2} \cup K_{0, q-p}$. In particular we have

1. $p<q$ and
2. $e(G)=2 p$.

Lemma 9 is evidently true. Lemma 10 follows from Lemma 9 easily.

Lemma 11. Let $G=(B, W ; E)$ be a bipartite $P_{5}$-saturated graph without isolated vertices $|B|=p,|W|=q, p \leq q, p \geq 2, q \geq 3$. Then $G$ is vertex disjoint union of

1. complete graphs $K_{2,2}$,
2. non-trivial double stars,
3. non-trivial stars,
4. at most one trivial star $K_{1,1}$.

If $K_{1,1}$ is a component of $G$ then no other star is a component of $G$.

Lemma 11 follows from Lemma 9.
If $G=(B, W ; E)$ is a bipartite $P_{5}$-saturated graph with $|B|=p,|W|=q$ then we have either

$$
\begin{align*}
& G=n K_{2,2} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} S_{a_{i}, b_{i}}^{2} \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{l} K_{1, c_{j}} \cup \delta K_{1,1}  \tag{1}\\
& G=n K_{2,2} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} S_{a_{i}, b_{i}}^{2} \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{l} K_{d_{j}, 1} \cup \delta K_{1,1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $S_{a_{i}, b_{i}}^{2}$ are non-trivial double stars, $K_{1, c_{j}}$ and $K_{d_{j}, 1}$ are non-trivial stars, and $\delta \in\{0,1\}$. We have $p=2 n+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}+k\right)+l+\delta$, $q=2 n+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{i}+k\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{l} c_{j}+\delta$ if $G$ is given by $(1)$, and
$p=2 n+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}+k\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{l} d_{j}+\delta, q=2 n+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{i}+k\right)+l+\delta$ if $G$ is given by (2), and $\delta=0$ if $l>0$.

Lemma 12. Let $G=(B, W ; E)$ be a union of non-trivial double stars, such that $|B|=p,|W|=q, p \leq q, p \geq 2, q \geq 3$. Then $G$ has the minimum size if

$$
e(G)= \begin{cases}p+q-\left\lfloor\frac{p}{2}\right\rfloor & \text { if } 3 p \leq 2 q \\ p+q-\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2}\left(p-\left\lceil\frac{3 p-2 q}{5}\right\rceil\right)\right\rfloor & \text { if } 2 q \leq 3 p\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Let $G$ be a union of non-trivial double stars, $G=\bigcup_{i=1}^{l} S_{a_{i}, b_{i}}^{2}$ where

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{a_{i}, b_{i}}^{2}=\left(B_{i}, W_{i} ; E_{i}\right),\left|B_{i}\right|=a_{i}+1,\left|W_{i}\right|=b_{i}+1, i=1, \ldots, l \\
\bigcup_{i=1}^{l} B_{i}=B, \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} W_{i}=W
\end{gathered}
$$

such that for fixed $p$ and $q, G$ has the minimum size $e(G)$. We observe that $e(G)=p+q-c$ where $c=c(G)$ is the number of components of $G$. So, $e(G)$ is the minimum whenever $c(G)$ is the maximum. Since every component of $G$ has at least two vertices in $B$ then $c(G) \leq\left\lfloor\frac{p}{2}\right\rfloor$. If $3 p \leq 2 q$, then $c=\left\lfloor\frac{p}{2}\right\rfloor$ and $c$ components of $G$ are $S_{1, b_{i}}^{2}$ stars with $b_{i} \geq 2, i=1,2, \ldots, c-1$ and $a_{c}=1, b_{c} \geq 2$ if $p$ is even, and $a_{c}=2, b_{c} \geq 1$ when $p$ is odd.

Therefore $e(G)=p+q-\left\lfloor\frac{p}{2}\right\rfloor$ when $3 p \leq 2 q$. So we may assume from now that $3 p>2 q$. Since the lemma is easy to verify for $p \leq 4$ we shall assume $p \geq 5$. Observe that there are two different components $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ of $G$ such that $C_{1}=S_{a_{1}, b_{1}}^{2}, C_{2}=S_{a_{2}, b_{2}}^{2}, b_{1} \geq 2$ and $a_{2} \geq 2$.

If $p \leq 6$ or $q \leq 7$ then $c(G)=2$ and the proof is finished. So we suppose $p \geq 7$ and $q \geq 8$. Then there is at least one component $C, C \neq C_{1}, C \neq C_{2}$. Let $x, y$ be the centers of $C, x_{1}, y_{1}$ be the centers of $C_{1}, x_{2}, y_{2}$ be the centers of $C_{2}$, such that $x, x_{1}, x_{2} \in B, y, y_{1}, y_{2} \in W$. It is clear that the number of components of $G$ will not change if we proceed the following operation:

- delete from $C_{1}$ all but one black pendant vertices and all but two white pendant vertices (we denote then by $C_{1}^{\prime}$ the obtained component),
- delete from $C_{2}$ all but two black pendant vertices and all but one white pendant vertices (we denote then by $C_{2}^{\prime}$ the obtained component),
- join $x$ with all white vertices deleted from $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ and join $y$ with all black vertices deleted from $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ (we denote then by $C^{\prime}$ the obtained component).
The new graph $G^{\prime}$ has exactly the same number of components as $G$ and all the components of $G^{\prime}$ are non-trivial double stars. The number of components of $G$ is equal to $c=2 t+\left\lfloor\left(\frac{p-5 t}{2}\right)\right\rfloor=\left\lfloor\left(\frac{p-t}{2}\right)\right\rfloor$ where $t$ is the minimum integer verifying $3(p-5 t) \leq 2(q-5 t), 3 p-2 q \leq 5 t$ and by consequence $t=\left\lceil\left(\frac{3 p-2 q}{5}\right)\right\rceil$ and Lemma 12 is proved.

Lemma 13. Let $p \geq 4$ and let $G=(B, W ; E)$ be a bipartite $P_{5}$-saturated graph such that $|B|=p \leq q=|W|, K_{1,1}$ is a component of $G$ and $G$ has the
minimum size. Then

$$
e(G)= \begin{cases}p+q-\left\lfloor\frac{p-1}{2}\right\rfloor-2 & \text { if } 3 p-1 \leq 2 q \\ p+q-\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2}\left(p-1-\left\lceil\frac{3 p-2 q-1}{5}\right\rceil\right)\right\rfloor-2 & \text { if } 2 q \leq 3 p-1\end{cases}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 11 each component of $G$ is either complete graph $K_{2,2}$ or non-trivial double star $S_{a, b}^{2}$ and exactly one component is isomorphic to $K_{1,1}$. The size of $G$ is equal to $e(G)=p+q-c-1$ where $c$ is the number of double stars. We have $e\left(2 K_{2,2}\right)=8>e\left(S_{3,3}^{2}\right)=7$ and $e\left(K_{2,2} \cup S_{a, b}^{2}\right)=e\left(S_{a+2, b+2}^{2}\right)$. So we may suppose that $G$ has no components isomorphic to $K_{2,2}$. The lemma follows from Lemma 12.

Proposition 14. Let $G=(B, W ; E)$ be a bipartite $P_{5}$-saturated graph such that $|B|=p \leq q=|W|, 3 \leq p \leq q$ without isolated vertices and with the minimum size. Then

$$
e(G)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
q & \text { if } 2 p \leq q \\
p+\left\lceil\frac{q}{2}\right\rceil & \text { if } \quad q<2 p, 2 p-q \neq 2 \\
p+\left\lceil\frac{q}{2}\right\rceil+1 & \text { if } & 2 p-q=2
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. The proof starts with the observation that by Lemma $11 G$ is a union of $n K_{2,2}$ and $S_{a_{i}, b_{i}}^{2}, i=1, \ldots, k$ and some stars such that there is at most one $K_{1,1}$ and the remaining stars have their centers in exactly one set of bipartition $B$ or $W$. Now observe that if $n \geq 2$ then $S_{2 n-1,2 n-1}^{2}$ is non-trivial double star which has less edges than $n K_{2,2}$ and the same number of vertices. Thus there is at most one $K_{2,2}$. But then there is at least one component $C$ which is a star, or non-trivial double star. Then $K_{2,2} \cup C$ may be replaced with a double star $S_{a, b}^{2}$ with the same vertex set and with the size $e\left(S_{a, b}^{2}\right)=e\left(K_{2,2} \cup C\right)$. So we may suppose that no component of $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{2,2}$. So $G$ is a union of stars and double stars. We may check easily that if $G$ has more then one double star then it is always possible to find a union of non-trivial stars and at most one double non-trivial star with the same size. Moreover all the stars may have their centers in a given set of bipartition. Hence we may suppose that either $G=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} K_{1, q_{i}} \cup S_{a, b}^{2}, k+a+1=p, \sum_{i=1}^{k} q_{i}+b+1=q$ or $G=$ $\bigcup_{i=1}^{l} K_{p_{i}, 1} \cup S_{a, b}^{2}, l+b+1=q, \sum_{i=1}^{l} p_{i}+a+1=p$. Similarly we may suppose that all non-trivial stars are isomorphic to $K_{1,2}$ or $K_{2,1}$ and we
have $2 k+b+1=q, k+a+1=p$ and $2 l+a+1=p, l+b+1=q$. Now the proof follows easily.

Clearly, Lemma 10 and Proposition 14 imply Proposition 4.
Proposition 5 follows from Lemma 18 and Corollary 17 given below. Let $T_{i}, i \in\{1,2\}$ be the tree defined in Figure 7 .

Lemma 15. Let $G=(B, W ; E)$ be a connected bipartite $P_{6}$-saturated graph. Then either $G$ contains one of graphs $S_{2,2}^{2}, T_{i}, i \in\{1,2\}$ or $G=K_{r, s}$ with $\min \{r, s\} \leq 2$.

Proof. Let us denote $|B|=p,|W|=q$ and let $p \leq q$. For $\min \{p, q\} \leq 2$ the lemma is evident. So let us suppose that $p, q \geq 3$. It is easily seen that there exists at least one vertex $x \in V(G)$ such that $d_{G}(x) \geq 3$. Let us suppose that $x \in B$. Denote by $y_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n$ the neighbours of $x$. If there is a neighbour $y_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n$ such that $d_{G}\left(y_{i}\right) \geq 3$ then $G$ contains $S_{2,2}^{2}$. So we may suppose that $d_{G}\left(y_{i}\right) \leq 2, i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Since $p \geq 3$ at least two of $y_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n$ have their degrees equal to 2 and therefore $G$ contains $T_{1}$.

$S_{a, b}^{2}$

$T_{1}$

$T_{2}$

Figure 7

Lemma 16. Let $G=(B, W ; E)$ be a bipartite $P_{6}$-saturated graph such that $3 \leq|B|=p \leq q=|W|, p \geq 3$ and there is a vertex $w \in W$ which is isolated in $G$. Then all the isolated vertices of $G$ are in $W$ and $G=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} K_{a_{i}, 2} \cup$ $\bigcup_{j=1}^{l} K_{0,1}^{j}$ where $a_{i} \geq 3, i=1,2, \ldots, k, \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}=p$ and $q=2 k+l$.

Proof. The fact that all isolated vertices are in $W$ is evident. Let $B=$ $\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{p}\right\}, W=\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{q}\right\}$. Denote by $N_{G}(x)$ the set of the neighbours of the vertex $x \in V(G)$. It is clear that for every $b \in B$ there is a path $P_{5}$ starting from $b$. It is easy to check that every $w \in N_{G}(b)$ belongs
to any path $P_{5}$ starting from $b$. Thus $d_{G}(b) \leq 2$. Denote by $b_{1} w_{1} b_{2} w_{2} b_{3}$ a path starting from $b_{1}$. It follows easily that for every $x \in B$ such that $w_{i} \in N_{G}(x), i \in\{1,2\}$ we have $N_{G}(x) \subseteq\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}\right\}$. Therefore the component of $G$ containing $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ is isomorphic to $K_{a, 2}, a \geq 3$.
Corollary 17 follows immediately from Lemma 16.
Corollary 17. Let $G=(B, W ; E)$ be a bipartite $P_{6}$-saturated graph such that $|B|=p$ and there is an isolated vertex in $W$. Then $e(G)=2 p$.

Lemma 18. If $G=(B, W ; E)$ is a bipartite $P_{6}$-saturated graph without isolated vertices and with the minimum size and $3 \leq|B|=p \leq q=|W|$, then

$$
e(G)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
p+q-\left\lfloor\frac{p}{3}\right\rfloor & \text { if } \quad p \equiv 0(\bmod 3) \text { or } & p=q \equiv 1(\bmod 3) \\
p+q-\left\lfloor\frac{p}{3}\right\rfloor-1 & \text { if } \quad p \equiv 1(\bmod 3) \text { and } \quad p<q \text { or } \\
& p \equiv 2(\bmod 3)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. For every graph $G$ we have $e(G) \geq|V(G)|-c$ where $c$ is a number of components of $G$ and equality holds if and only if $G$ is a forest. The proof follows by Lemma 15 .

Now, we turn to the case of $m \geq 7$.
Lemma 19. Let $T=(B, W ; E)$ be a $P_{m}$-saturated tree, $m \geq 7, x \in B \cup W$, with $d_{T}(x)>1$ and let $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}$ be the neighbors of $x$. For $i=1,2, \ldots, k$ denote by $l_{i}$ the maximum number of vertices in a path starting from $x$ and containing $x_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, k, l_{1} \geq l_{2} \geq \ldots \geq l_{k}$. The following holds:
(i) $m-1 \leq l_{1}+l_{i} \leq m, i=2,3$,
(ii) if $d_{T}(v)=2$ then $v$ is the neighbour of a pendant vertex ( $v$ is penultimate).
$\operatorname{Proof}$. The inequality $l_{1}+l_{i} \leq m$ for $i>1$ is evident. Let $x_{1}^{i}, x_{2}^{i}, \ldots, x_{l_{i}}^{i}$ be a path of order $l_{i}$ starting from $x=x_{1}^{i}$ and containing $x_{i}=x_{2}^{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, k$ (see Figure 8).

Suppose first that $k \geq 3$ and $x$ is not a penultimate. Then adding to $T$ the edge $x_{2}^{1} x_{3}^{2}$ we create a path with $m$ vertices. Thus $l_{1}-1+2+l_{3} \geq m$ and therefore $l_{1}+l_{3} \geq m-1$. So $l_{1}+l_{2} \geq m-1$ and (i) is proved.


Figure 8
Suppose that $v \in B \cup W$ and $d_{T}(v)=2$ and $v$ is not penultimate vertex. Denote by $u_{1}, v_{1}$ the neighbours of $v, P=v, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{s}$ and $P^{\prime}=v, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r}$ the longest paths starting from $v$ and passing by $u_{1}, v_{1}$, respectively. Then $r, s \geq 2$. The edge $u_{2} v_{1}$ create a $P_{m}$ contradicting the maximality of $P=$ $v, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{s}$.


The $P_{7}$-saturated bipartite graphs with $p=q=5$.
Figure 9
The next lemma follows from Lemma 19.

Lemma 20. Let a tree $T=(B, W ; E)$ be a $P_{m}$-saturated bipartite graph $m \geq 7$. Then $T$ contains $A_{m}$.

Proofs of Theorem 6 and 7. Like in the proof of Lemma 18 we use the fact that for every graph $G$ we have $e(G) \geq|V(G)|-c$ and equality holds if and only if $G$ is a forest with exacly $c$ components. Hence for given $p, q$ and $m$, if there is a $P_{m}$-saturated forest $F=(B, W ; E)$ with $|B|=p,|W|=q$ and the maximum number of components then $F$ is a $P_{m}$-saturated bipartite graph with the minimum size. On the other hand it is clear that if the assumptions of Theorem 6 or 7 are verified then there exists such a forest $F$ that each component of $F$ contains $A_{m}, m \geq 7$ (see Figure 9 and Figure 10).


The $P_{7}$-saturated graphs with $p=3, q=6$.

Figure 10

Observe now that

- if $m=2 k, k \geq 4$ and $p=q=l\left(3 \cdot 2^{k-3}-1\right)$, or
- if $m=2 k+1, k \geq 3$ and $p=l\left(4 \cdot 2^{k-3}-1\right), q=l\left(5 \cdot 2^{k-3}-1\right)$,
then the $P_{m}$-saturated bipartite graph $F=(B, W ; E)$ without isolated vertices and with the minimum size and with $|W|=q,|B|=p$ is the forest containing $l$ trees $A_{m}$.
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