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#### Abstract

The growth function of a graph with respect to a vertex is near polynomial if there exists a polynomial bounding it above for infinitely many positive integers. In the paper vertex-symmetric undirected graphs and vertex-symmetric directed graphs with coinciding in- and out-degrees are described in the case their growth functions are near polynomial.
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1. Let $\Gamma$ be a directed graph with vertex set $V(\Gamma)$ and edge set $E(\Gamma) \subseteq$ $(V(\Gamma) \times V(\Gamma)) \backslash \operatorname{diag}(V(\Gamma) \times V(\Gamma))$ (in this paper we consider graphs without loops or multiple edges). For an edge ( $x, y$ ) of $\Gamma, x$ is the initial vertex and $y$ is the terminal vertex of $(x, y)$. For $x \in V(\Gamma)$, put $\Gamma^{0}(x)=\{x\}$ and, inductively,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Gamma^{n}(x)=\Gamma^{n-1}(x) \cup\left\{x^{\prime}:\left(x^{\prime \prime}, x^{\prime}\right) \in E(\Gamma) \text { for some } x^{\prime \prime} \in \Gamma^{n-1}(x)\right\}, \\
\Gamma^{-n}(x)=\Gamma^{-n+1}(x) \cup\left\{x^{\prime}:\left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime}\right) \in E(\Gamma) \text { for some } x^{\prime \prime} \in \Gamma^{-n+1}(x)\right\},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $n$ runs over the set of positive integers. The growth function of $\Gamma$ with respect to the vertex $x$ is defined by $R_{\Gamma, x}(\alpha)=\left|\Gamma^{[\alpha]}(x)\right|$ for any non-negative
real number $\alpha$ ( $[\alpha]$ is the integer satisfying $[\alpha] \leq \alpha<[\alpha]+1$ ). The graph $\Gamma$ has polynomial growth with respect to the vertex $x$ if there exist nonnegative integers $c$ and $d$ such that $R_{\Gamma, x}(n) \leq c \cdot n^{d}$ for all non-negative integers $n$. The graph $\Gamma$ has near polynomial growth with respect to the vertex $x$ if there exist non-negative integers $c$ and $d$ such that $R_{\Gamma, x}\left(n_{i}\right) \leq$ $c \cdot n_{i}^{d}$ for some sequence $n_{1}<n_{2}<\ldots$ of positive integers and any positive integer $i$.

For a directed graph $\Gamma$, denote by $\bar{\Gamma}$ the underlying undirected graph (i.e., the undirected graph with the vertex set $V(\bar{\Gamma})=V(\Gamma)$ and the edge set $E(\bar{\Gamma})=\left\{\left\{y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right\} \subseteq V(\Gamma):\left(y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right) \in E(\Gamma)\right.$ or $\left.\left.\left(y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \in E(\Gamma)\right\}\right)$.

For an undirected connected graph $\Delta$, a vertex $x$ of $\Delta$, and a nonnegative integer $n$, denote by $\Delta^{n}(x)$ the ball of radius $n$ with center $x$ (with respect to the natural metric on the vertex set). Recall, that the growth function of $\Delta$ with respect to the vertex $x$ is defined by $R_{\Delta, x}(\alpha)=\left|\Delta^{[\alpha]}(x)\right|$ for any non-negative real number $\alpha$. The graph $\Delta$ has polynomial growth if, for $x \in V(\Delta)$, there exist non-negative integers $c$ and $d$ such that $R_{\Delta, x}(n) \leq$ $c \cdot n^{d}$ for all non-negative integers $n$. The graph $\Delta$ has near polynomial growth if, for $x \in V(\Delta)$, there exist non-negative integers $c$ and $d$ such that $R_{\Delta, x}\left(n_{i}\right) \leq c \cdot n_{i}^{d}$ for some sequence $n_{1}<n_{2}<\ldots$ of positive integers and any positive integer $i$.

Undirected connected vertex-symmetric (i.e., admitting a vertex-transitive group of automorphisms) graphs with polynomial growth were described in [1]. It was shown, in particular, that for any such graph $\Delta$ there exists an imprimitivity system $\sigma$ of $\operatorname{Aut}(\Delta)$ on $V(\Delta)$ with finite blocks such that the group $\operatorname{Aut}(\Delta / \sigma)$ contains a finitely generated nilpotent subgroup of finite index. Note that for any undirected connected vertex-symmetric graph with polynomial growth $\Delta$ there exists a non-negative integer $d$, called the growth degree of $\Delta$, such that $1 / c \cdot n^{d} \leq R_{\Delta, x}(n) \leq c \cdot n^{d}$ for $x \in V(\Delta)$, some positive integer $c$ and all positive integers $n$ (see [1]). The proof in [1] depends on [2]. Using [3] instead of [2] in the arguments from [1] it is possible to get a description of undirected connected vertex-symmetric graphs with near polynomial growth, which implies that any such graph has polynomial growth, see Theorem 1 below.

Directed graphs with vertex-transitive groups of automorphisms and polynomial growth are interesting for the theory of dynamical systems, see, for example, [4]. These graphs describe dynamics of generic points of integrable multivalued mappings. In fact a starting point for my investigation of such graphs was a question asked of me by A.P. Veselov (after my talk in
the Moscow State University in the beginning of 90s) on their structure in the case of coinciding in-degree and out-degree (in the other case the structure can be deduced from [5], see the Proposition below). Shortly after that the question was answered in [6]. It was proved that for any such graph $\Gamma$ the underlying undirected graph $\bar{\Gamma}$ also has polynomial growth and is known by the above mentioned result from [1]. The proof was not published. In the present paper it is proved that the same conclusion holds under an $a$ priori weaker hypothesis that $\Gamma$ has near polynomial (instead of polynomial) growth, see Theorem 2 below. The complete determination of the structure of $\Gamma$ is equivalent to the determination of orbitals of vertex- transitive groups of automorphisms of the graph $\bar{\Gamma}$.
2. Theorem 1. Let $\Delta$ be an undirected connected vertex-symmetric graph with near polynomial growth. Then $\Delta$ has polynomial growth, and (see $[1$, Theorem 1]) there exists an imprimitivity system $\sigma$ of $\operatorname{Aut}(\Delta)$ on $V(\Delta)$ with finite blocks such that the group $\operatorname{Aut}(\Delta / \sigma)$ contains a finitely generated nilpotent subgroup of finite index and the stabilizer in $\operatorname{Aut}(\Delta / \sigma)$ of a vertex of the graph $\Delta / \sigma$ is finite.

Proof. Arguments from [3] for a locally finite Cayley graph of a group can be generalized to be applied to any connected vertex-symmetric locally finite graph $\Delta^{\prime}$ and to give an associated arcwise connected, locally connected homogeneous metric space $Y_{\Delta^{\prime}}$ on which the group $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)$ acts by isometries (see [7]). Moreover, in the case of near polynomial growth of $\Delta^{\prime}$ the arguments from [3, Section 6] can be easily generalized to prove that $Y_{\Delta^{\prime}}$ can be chosen locally compact and finite dimensional. Arguing as in [1, p. 414], we conclude (using the solution of Hilbert's fifth problem) that the group of isometries of $Y_{\Delta^{\prime}}$ is a Lie group with a finite number of connected components. For any element $g$ from the kernel of the action of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)$ on $Y_{\Delta^{\prime}}$ by isometries, there exists an increasing sequence $t_{1}<t_{2}<\ldots$ of positive integers such that for a fixed vertex $x$ of $\Delta^{\prime}$

$$
\max \left\{d_{\Delta^{\prime}}(y, g(y)) / t_{i}: y \in\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)^{t_{i}}(x)\right\} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } i \rightarrow \infty
$$

(where $d_{\Delta^{\prime}}(.,$.$) is the usual metric on V\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)$ ).
Now Theorem 1 can be proved by a rather direct generalization of arguments from [1], excluding arguments from $[1, \S 5]$ which should be replaced by the arguments given above.
3. The following terminology concerning a directed graph $\Gamma$ will be used.

A sequence $\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{s}\right)$ of vertices of $\Gamma$ is a path of $\Gamma$ if either $s=0$ or $s>0$ and, for each $0 \leq i<s,\left(x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right) \in E(\Gamma)$ or $\left(x_{i+1}, x_{i}\right) \in E(\Gamma)$. A path $\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{s}\right)$ of $\Gamma$ is a directed path if either $s=0$ or $s>0$ and, for each $0 \leq i<s,\left(x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right) \in E(\Gamma)$.

Let $X=\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{s}\right)$ be a path of $\Gamma$. Then $s$ is the length of $X$. Denote by $X^{-1}$ the path $\left(x_{s}, \ldots, x_{0}\right)$. For a path $Y=\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{t}\right)$ of $\Gamma$ with $x_{s}=y_{0}$, $X Y$ is the path $\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{s}=y_{0}, \ldots, y_{t}\right)$. Any path of $\Gamma$ can be written as $X_{1} Y_{1} \ldots X_{k} Y_{k}$ where $X_{1}, Y_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, X_{k}, Y_{k}^{-1}$ are directed paths of $\Gamma$.

For $G \leq \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$ and $x \in V(\Gamma)$, denote by $G_{x}$ the stabilizer of $x$ in $G$.
The graph $\Gamma$ is vertex-symmetric if $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$ is vertex-transitive.
Denote by T the directed graph such that, firstly, $\overline{\mathrm{T}}$ is the tree with one vertex $v$ of degree 2 and all other vertices of degree 3 , and, secondly, the out-degree of every vertex of T is equal to 2 . The graph $\Gamma$ is out-hyperbolic with respect to a vertex $x$ if there exist an injection $\varphi: V(\mathrm{~T}) \rightarrow V(\Gamma)$ with $\varphi(v)=x$ and a positive integer $t$ such that for any edge $\left(v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime}\right)$ of T there exists a directed path of $\Gamma$ from $\varphi\left(v^{\prime}\right)$ to $\varphi\left(v^{\prime \prime}\right)$ of length not greater than $t$. The graph $\Gamma$ is in-hyperbolic with respect to a vertex $x$ if the graph obtained from $\Gamma$ by the inversion of the direction is out-hyperbolic with respect to the vertex $x$. If the graph $\Gamma$ is out-hyperbolic with respect to a vertex $x$, then, obviously, $\Gamma$ is not a graph with near polynomial growth with respect to the vertex $x$.

At last, if the graph $\Gamma$ is vertex-symmetric and out-hyperbolic (inhyperbolic) with respect to some vertex, then it is out-hyperbolic (respectively, in-hyperbolic) with respect to any vertex and is called simply outhyperbolic (respectively, in-hyperbolic).

Proposition. Let $\Gamma$ be a directed graph for which the graph $\bar{\Gamma}$ is connected and locally finite, and $G$ be a vertex-transitive group of automorphisms of $\Gamma$. Then:
(1) If $\left|\left\{g(y): g \in G_{x}\right\}\right|>\left|\left\{g(x): g \in G_{y}\right\}\right|$ for some vertices $x, y$ of $\Gamma$ such that there exists a direct path of $\Gamma$ from $x$ to $y$ (from $y$ to $x$ ), then $\Gamma$ is out-hyperbolic (respectively, in-hyperbolic).
(2) If $\left|\Gamma^{n}(x)\right|>\left|\Gamma^{-n}(x)\right|$ for a vertex $x$ of $\Gamma$ and an integer $n$, then $\Gamma$ is outhyperbolic in the case $n>0$, and $\Gamma$ is in-hyperbolic in the case $n<0$.
(3) If $\Gamma$ is not out-hyperbolic and $\left|\Gamma^{n}(x)\right| \neq\left|\Gamma^{-n}(x)\right|$ for a vertex $x$ of $\Gamma$ and an integer $n$, then ( $\Gamma$ is in-hyperbolic by (2) and) $\left|\Gamma^{n^{\prime}}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|<\left|\Gamma^{-n^{\prime}}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|$ for any vertex $x^{\prime}$ of $\Gamma$ and any positive integer $n^{\prime}$.

Proof. A proof of (1) can be derived from the proof of Theorem 2 in [5]. To prove (2) and (3), we need some properties of paired orbits. Recall that for any vertex $x$ of $\Gamma$ and any $G_{x}$-orbit $X$ on $V(\Gamma)$ the paired $G_{x}$-orbit on $V(\Gamma)$, denoted by $X^{*}$, is defined by $X^{*}=\{y: g(y)=x$ and $g(x) \in$ $X$ for some $g \in G\}$. The map $X \mapsto X^{*}$ is a bijection on the set of $G_{x^{-}}$-orbits on $V(\Gamma)$, and $\left(X^{*}\right)^{*}=X$ for any $G_{x}$-orbit. For an integer $n$, if $X$ is a $G_{x}$-orbit on $\Gamma^{n}(x)$ (note that the set $\Gamma^{n}(x)$ is $G_{x}$-invariant), then, obviously, $X^{*}$ is contained in $\Gamma^{-n}(x)$. Now 1) can be reformulated in the following way. If $x$ is a vertex of $\Gamma, n$ is a positive integer, and $X$ is a $G_{x}$-orbit on $\Gamma^{n}(x)$ such that $|X|>\left|X^{*}\right|$ (such that $|X|<\left|X^{*}\right|$ ), then $\Gamma$ is out-hyperbolic (respectively, in-hyperbolic). Finally, suppose that there exists a $G_{x}$-orbit on $V(\Gamma)$ such that $|X| \neq\left|X^{*}\right|$. Then, by $[5$, Theorem 1], the closure $\bar{G}$ of $G$ in the group $A u t(\Gamma)$ equipped with the natural compact-open topology is not unimodular. Since, by the connectedness of $\bar{\Gamma}$, the group $\bar{G}$ is generated by the compact subgroup $\bar{G}_{x}$ and all elements of $\bar{G}$ mapping the vertex $x$ to vertices from $\bar{\Gamma}^{1}(x)$, it follows that the value of the modular function of $\bar{G}$ on some element of $\bar{G}$ mapping the vertex $x$ to some vertex $y \in \bar{\Gamma}^{1}(x)$ differs from 1. Since $G_{x}$-orbits and $\bar{G}_{x}$-orbits on $V(\Gamma)$ coinside, we get $|Y| \neq\left|Y^{*}\right|$ where $Y$ is the $G_{x}$-orbit containing $y$.

Now to prove (2) note that $\left|\Gamma^{n}(x)\right|>\left|\Gamma^{-n}(x)\right|$ for a vertex $x$ of $\Gamma$ and
 follows by the above.

Turning to (3), note that, since $\Gamma$ is not out-hyperbolic, the above implies $|X| \leq\left|X^{*}\right|$ for any $G_{x}$-orbit $X$ on $\Gamma^{n^{\prime}}(x)$ where $n^{\prime}$ is an arbitrary positive integer. Now $\left|\Gamma^{n}(x)\right| \neq\left|\Gamma^{-n}(x)\right|$ implies that $|X|<\left|X^{*}\right|$ for some
 for some $G_{x}$-orbit $Y$ on $\Gamma^{1}(x)$. Thus $\left|\Gamma^{n^{\prime}}(x)\right|<\left|\Gamma^{-n^{\prime}}(x)\right|$ for any positive integer $n^{\prime}$, and (3) follows by vertex-transitivity of $G$.
4. Theorem 2. Let $\Gamma$ be a directed vertex-symmetric graph with near polynomial growth. Suppose the graph $\bar{\Gamma}$ is connected, and $\left|\Gamma^{1}(x)\right|=\left|\Gamma^{-1}(x)\right|$ for $x \in V(\Gamma)$. Then the graph $\bar{\Gamma}$ has polynomial growth, and (see Theorem 1) there exists an imprimitivity system $\tau$ of $A u t(\Gamma)$ on $V(\Gamma)$ with finite blocks such that the group $A u t(\Gamma / \tau)$ contains a finitely generated nilpotent subgroup of finite index and the stabilizer in $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma / \tau)$ of a vertex of the graph $\Gamma / \tau$ is finite.

Proof. Since the graph $\Gamma$ is vertex-symmetric, the growth function $R_{\Gamma, x}$ is independent of $x \in V(\Gamma)$. We denote it by $R$. By hypothesis and Proposi-
tion, there exist positive integers $c$ and $d$ such that

$$
R\left(n_{i}\right)=\left|\Gamma^{n_{i}}(x)\right|=\left|\Gamma^{-n_{i}}(x)\right| \leq c \cdot n_{i}^{d}
$$

for some sequence $n_{1}<n_{2}<\ldots$ of positive integers and any positive integer $i$. By Theorem 1, to prove Theorem 2 it is sufficient to show that there exist positive integers $c^{\prime}$ and $d^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\left|\bar{\Gamma}^{n_{i}^{\prime}}(x)\right| \leq c^{\prime} \cdot n_{i}^{\prime d^{\prime}}
$$

for some sequence $n_{1}^{\prime}<n_{2}^{\prime}<\ldots$ of positive integers and any positive integer $i$.

Following arguments are very close to ones from [8] (where, however, they are formulated in other terms).

Fix a real number $\lambda$ and a positive integer $a$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1<\lambda<\min \left\{2^{1 / d}, 3 / 2\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \geq\left(\log _{\lambda} 2+2+4 \log _{\lambda} 1 /(\lambda-1)\right)\left(\log _{\lambda} 2-d\right)^{-1} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(note that $a>1$ ), and put

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=a \cdot d+\log _{\lambda} 2+1+4 \log _{\lambda} 1 /(\lambda-1) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality we will suppose that

$$
n_{1} \geq 2^{a}
$$

For each positive integer $j$, put

$$
\begin{gathered}
m_{j}=n_{j}^{1 / a} \geq 2 \\
E_{j}=\left\{i \in\left\{1,2, \ldots,\left[b \cdot \log _{2} m_{j}\right]\right\}: R\left(\lambda^{i+1}\right)>2 R\left(\lambda^{i}\right)\right\} \\
F_{j}=\left\{\left[\log _{\lambda}\left(m_{j}\right)\right],\left[\log _{\lambda}\left(m_{j}\right)\right]+1, \ldots,\left[b \cdot \log _{2} m_{j}\right]\right\} \backslash E_{j}
\end{gathered}
$$

Then

$$
R\left(\lambda^{\left[b \cdot \log _{2} m_{j}\right]+1}\right) \geq 2^{\left|E_{j}\right|}
$$

and, by $(1)-(3)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{\left[b \cdot \log _{2} m_{j}\right]+1} \leq n_{j}=m_{j}^{a} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
c \cdot m_{j}^{a \cdot d} \geq 2^{\left|E_{j}\right|}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|F_{j}\right| \geq\left[b \cdot \log _{2} m_{j}\right]-\left[\log _{\lambda} m_{j}\right]-\left|E_{j}\right| \\
& \geq\left[b \cdot \log _{2} m_{j}\right]-\left[\log _{\lambda} m_{j}\right]-\log _{2} c-a \cdot d \cdot \log _{2} m_{j} \\
& \geq \log _{2} m_{j}\left(b-\log _{\lambda} 2-a \cdot d-\left(\log _{2} c+1\right)\left(\log _{2} m_{j}\right)^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By (3), this implies that, for all sufficiently large $j$, say for all $j \geq j^{\prime}$,

$$
\left|F_{j}\right|>4 \log _{\lambda} 1 /(\lambda-1) \log _{2} m_{j} .
$$

Thus, for each $j \geq j^{\prime}$ and for $q_{j}=\left[\log _{2} m_{j}\right]+1$, there exists a subset $K_{j}=\left\{k(j, 1), \ldots, k\left(j, 2 q_{j}\right)\right\}$ of $F_{j}$ such that

$$
k(j, 1)>\log _{\lambda} m_{j}+\log _{\lambda} 1 /(\lambda-1)
$$

and

$$
k(j, r+1)-k(j, r)>\log _{\lambda} 1 /(\lambda-1)
$$

for all $1 \leq r<2 q_{j}$. Put, in addition,

$$
k(j, 0)=\log _{\lambda} m_{j}
$$

for each $j \geq j^{\prime}$.
We show that, for any $j \geq j^{\prime}$ and $0 \leq r \leq 2 q_{j}-2$, if $X_{1} Y_{1} X_{2} Y_{2}$ is a path of $\Gamma$ such that $X_{1}, Y_{1}^{-1}, X_{2}, Y_{2}^{-1}$ are directed paths of $\Gamma$ of length not greater than $\lambda^{k(j, r)}$, then there exists a path $X Y$ of $\Gamma$ with the same initial and terminal vertices as $X_{1} Y_{1} X_{2} Y_{2}$ and such that $X, Y^{-1}$ are directed paths of $\Gamma$ of length not greater than $\lambda^{k(j, r+2)}$. Let $x^{\prime}, y, x^{\prime \prime}$ be the terminal vertices of $X_{1}, Y_{1}, X_{2}$, respectively. If

$$
\Gamma^{\left[\lambda^{k(j, r+1)}\right]}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \cap \Gamma^{\left[\lambda^{k(j, r+1)}\right]}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=\emptyset
$$

then

$$
\Gamma^{\left[\lambda^{k(j, r+1)}\right]}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \cup \Gamma^{\left[\lambda^{k(j, r+1)}\right]}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) \subseteq \Gamma^{\left[\lambda^{k(j, r+1)}+\lambda^{k(j, r)}\right]}(y)
$$

implies

$$
R\left(\lambda^{k(j, r+1)+1}\right) / R\left(\lambda^{k(j, r+1)}\right)>R\left(\lambda^{k(j, r+1)}+\lambda^{k(j, r)}\right) / R\left(\lambda^{k(j, r+1)}\right) \geq 2,
$$

a contradiction. Thus there exist a path $Z_{1} Z_{2}$ of $\Gamma$ such that $Z_{1}$ is a directed path of $\Gamma$ with the initial vertex $x^{\prime}$ and of the length not greater than $\lambda^{k(j, r+1)}$, and $Z_{2}^{-1}$ is a directed path of $\Gamma$ with the initial vertex $x^{\prime \prime}$ and of the length not greater than $\lambda^{k(j, r+1)}$. Put $X=X_{1} Z_{1}, Y=Z_{2} Y_{2}$. Then the path $X Y$ of $\Gamma$ has the same initial and terminal vertices as the path $X_{1} Y_{1} X_{2} Y_{2}$, and $X, Y^{-1}$ are directed paths of $\Gamma$ of length not greater than

$$
\lambda^{k(j, r+1)}+\lambda^{k(j, r)}<\lambda^{k(j, r+1)+1}<\lambda^{k(j, r+2)} .
$$

Thus $X Y$ is the required path.
As a consequence we have that, for any $j \geq j^{\prime}$ and $0 \leq r \leq 2 q_{j}-2$, if $X_{1} Y_{1} \ldots X_{2 k} Y_{2 k}$ is a path of $\Gamma$ such that $X_{1}, Y_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, X_{2 k}, Y_{2 k}^{-1}$ are directed paths of length not greater than $\lambda^{k(j, r)}$, then there exists a path $X_{1}^{\prime} Y_{1}^{\prime} \ldots X_{k}^{\prime} Y_{k}^{\prime}$ of $\Gamma$ with the same initial and terminal vertices as $X_{1} Y_{1} \ldots X_{2 k} Y_{2 k}$ and such that $X_{1}^{\prime}, Y_{1}^{\prime-1}, \ldots, X_{k}^{\prime}, Y_{k}^{\prime-1}$ are directed paths of $\Gamma$ of length not greater than $\lambda^{k(j, r+2)}$.

Thus, for any $j \geq j^{\prime}$, if $X_{1} Y_{1} \ldots X_{s} Y_{s}$, where $s=2^{q_{j}}$, is a path of $\Gamma$ such that $X_{1}, Y_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, X_{s}, Y_{s}^{-1}$ are directed paths of length not greater than $\lambda^{k(j, 0)}=m_{j}$, then there exists a path $X^{\prime} Y^{\prime}$ of $\Gamma$ with the same initial and terminal vertices as $X_{1} Y_{1} \ldots X_{s} Y_{s}$ and such that $X_{1}^{\prime}, Y_{1}^{\prime-1}$ are directed paths of $\Gamma$ of length not greater than $\lambda^{k\left(j, 2 q_{j}\right)}<n_{j}$ (see (4)). Since any path of $\Gamma$ of length not greater than $m_{j}=\lambda^{k(j, 0)}$ can be written as $X_{1} Y_{1} \ldots X_{s} Y_{s}$, where $s=2^{q_{j}}>m_{j}$ and $X_{1}, Y_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, X_{s}, Y_{s}^{-1}$ are directed paths of length not greater than $\lambda^{k(j, 0)}=m_{j}$, it follows

$$
\bar{\Gamma}^{m_{j}}(x) \subseteq \cup_{y \in \Gamma^{n_{j}}(x)} \Gamma^{-n_{j}}(y)
$$

for all $j \geq j^{\prime}$. Thus

$$
\left|\bar{\Gamma}^{m_{j}}(x)\right| \leq R\left(n_{j}\right)^{2} \leq c^{2} \cdot n_{j}^{2 d}=c^{2} \cdot m_{j}^{2 d \cdot a}
$$

for all $j \geq j^{\prime}$. As it was noted in the beginning of the proof, the theorem follows.

Remark 1. Let $\Gamma$ be a directed vertex-symmetric graph with near polynomial growth, which is not in-hyperbolic. Then $\left|\Gamma^{1}(x)\right|=\left|\Gamma^{-1}(x)\right|$ for $x \in V(\Gamma)$ by the Proposition. Thus Theorem 2 can be applied to components of $\Gamma$ (which are isomorphic).

Remark 2. It can be deduced from Theorem 2 (modifying, for example, arguments from [8]) that if $d$ is the growth degree of the graph $\bar{\Gamma}$ from

Theorem 2, then there exists a positive integer $c_{1}$ such that $1 / c_{1} \cdot n^{d} \leq$ $\left|\Gamma^{n}(x)\right|=\left|\Gamma^{-n}(x)\right| \leq c_{1} \cdot n^{d}$ for all positive integers $n$.

Remark 3. In [8], it was proved that a finitely generated semigroup with cancellations has polynomial growth if and only if its group of all left quotients (exists and) contains a nilpotent subgroup of finite index. A modification of arguments from [8] (compare the proof of Theorem 2 above) and using [3] instead of [2] implies that here "polynomial growth" can be replaced by "near polynomial growth". (In notation from [8], $S$ has near polynomial growth if there exist positive integers $c$ and $d$ such that $\gamma\left(n_{i}\right) \leq c \cdot n_{i}^{d}$ for some sequence $n_{1}<n_{2}<\ldots$ of positive integers and any positive integer $i$.)

Remark 4. Obviously, Theorem 1 follows from Theorem 2 (applied to the directed graph $\vec{\Delta}$ with the vertex set $V(\vec{\Delta})=V(\Delta)$ and the edge set $\left.E(\vec{\Delta})=\left\{\left(y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right):\left\{y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right\} \in E(\Delta)\right\}\right)$. But Theorem 1 was used in the proof of Theorem 2.
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