Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory 22 (2002) 159–172

ON WELL-COVERED GRAPHS OF ODD GIRTH 7 OR GREATER

Bert Randerath

Institut für Informatik Universität zu Köln D-50969 Köln, Germany

e-mail: randerath@informatik.uni-koeln.de

AND

PREBEN DAHL VESTERGAARD

Mathematics Department Aalborg University DK-9220 Aalborg Ø, Denmark e-mail: pdv@math.auc.dk

Abstract

A maximum independent set of vertices in a graph is a set of pairwise nonadjacent vertices of largest cardinality α . Plummer [14] defined a graph to be *well-covered*, if every independent set is contained in a maximum independent set of G. One of the most challenging problems in this area, posed in the survey of Plummer [15], is to find a good characterization of well-covered graphs of girth 4. We examine several subclasses of well-covered graphs of girth ≥ 4 with respect to the *odd* girth of the graph. We prove that every isolate-vertex-free well-covered graph G containing neither C_3, C_5 nor C_7 as a subgraph is even very well-covered. Here, a isolate-vertex-free well-covered graph G is called very well-covered, if G satisfies $\alpha(G) = n/2$. A vertex set D of G is dominating if every vertex not in D is adjacent to some vertex in D. The domination number $\gamma(G)$ is the minimum order of a dominating set of G. Obviously, the inequality $\gamma(G) \leq \alpha(G)$ holds. The family $\mathcal{G}_{\gamma=\alpha}$ of graphs G with $\gamma(G) = \alpha(G)$ forms a subclass of well-covered graphs. We prove that every connected member G of $\mathcal{G}_{\gamma=\alpha}$ containing neither C_3 nor C_5 as a subgraph is a K_1, C_4, C_7 or a corona graph.

Keywords: well-covered, independence number, domination number, odd girth.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C70, 05C75.

1. Introduction and Notation

We consider finite, undirected, and simple graphs G with vertex set V(G)and edge set E(G). For $A \subseteq V(G)$ let G[A] be the subgraph induced by A. $N(x) = N_G(x)$ denotes the set of vertices adjacent to the vertex x and $N[x] = N_G[x] = N(x) \cup \{x\}$. More generally, we define $N(X) = N_G(X) =$ $\bigcup_{x \in X} N(x)$ and $N[X] = N_G[X] = N(X) \cup X$ for a subset X of V(G). The vertex v is called an end vertex if d(v,G) = 1, and an isolated vertex if d(v,G) = 0, where d(x) = d(x,G) = |N(x)| is the degree of $x \in V(G)$. Let $\Omega = \Omega(G)$ be the set of end vertices of G. An edge incident to an end vertex is called a pendant edge. We denote by n = n(G) = |V(G)| the order of G. We write C_n for a circuit of length n and K_n for the complete graph of order n. A subgraph \mathcal{F} of G with $V(\mathcal{F}) = V(G)$ is called a factor of G. Furthermore, a factor \mathcal{F} of G is a perfect [1, 2]-factor if every component of \mathcal{F} is either a circuit or a K_2 . The corona $G \circ K_1$ of a graph G is the graph obtained from G by adding a pendant edge to each vertex of G. The girth of a graph G, denoted q(G), is the length of a shortest circuit in G. The girth is ∞ if G has no circuit. The odd girth of a graph G is the length of a shortest odd circuit in G, it is ∞ if G is bipartite.

A maximum independent set of vertices in a graph is a set of pairwise nonadjacent vertices of largest cardinality. The cardinality $\alpha(G)$ of a maximum independent set in a graph G is called the independence number of G. Plummer [14] defined a graph to be *well-covered*, if every independent set is contained in a maximum independent set of G. These graphs are of interest because, whereas the problem of finding the independence number of a general graph is NP-complete, the maximum independent set can be found easily for well-covered graphs by using a simple greedy algorithm. Chvátal, Slater [4] and Sankaranarayana, Steward [17] independently showed that the property of being not well-covered is NP-complete. Hence, it is unlikely that there exists a good characterization of well-covered graphs.

The work on well-covered graphs appearing in literature (see [15]) has focused on certain subclasses of well-covered graphs. Finbow, Hartnell and Nowakowski [7], [8] characterized the well-covered graphs G of girth ≥ 5 , i.e., G contains neither C_3 nor C_4 as a subgraph, and also the well-covered graphs G containing neither C_4 nor C_5 as a subgraph. Both sets of forbidden subgraphs are subsets of the set $\{C_3, C_4, C_5, C_7\}$, which precisely are all wellcovered circuits. One of the most challenging problems in this area, posed in the excellent survey of Plummer [15], is to find a good characterization of well-covered graphs of girth ≥ 4 , (i.e., G contains no C_3 as a subgraph). We investigate several subclasses of well-covered graphs of girth ≥ 4 with respect to the odd girth of a graph. Our main interest are the well-covered graphs of odd girth ≥ 7 , (i.e., G contains neither C_3 nor C_5 as a subgraph). It is well known (e.g. see [3], [15]) that any well-covered graph with nvertices, none of which is isolated, has $\alpha(G) \leq n/2$. An isolate-vertex-free well-covered graph G of order n with $\alpha(G) = n/2$ is called very well-covered. Staples [18] and Favaron [5] independently characterized this subclass of well-covered graphs. We prove that every isolate-vertex-free well-covered graph G with odd girth ≥ 9 (i.e., G contains no well-covered odd circuit as a subgraph) is also very well-covered.

A vertex set D of G is dominating if every vertex not in D is adjacent to some vertex in D. The domination number $\gamma(G)$ is the minimum order of a dominating set of G. Obviously, the inequality $\gamma(G) \leq \alpha(G)$ holds. The family $\mathcal{G}_{\gamma=\alpha}$ of graphs G with $\gamma(G) = \alpha(G)$ form a subclass of the class of well-covered graphs. In 1970, Szamkołowicz [19] posed the problem of characterizing graphs G of $\mathcal{G}_{\gamma=\alpha}$. Very little is known about a characterization of such graphs. E.g. Topp and Volkmann [20] characterized all bipartite members of $\mathcal{G}_{\gamma=\alpha}$. We generalize their result by showing that every connected member G of $\mathcal{G}_{\gamma=\alpha}$ with odd girth ≥ 7 satisfies $\gamma(G) = n/2$ or is a 7-circuit or is a K_1 .

2. Preliminaries

The following two observations (e.g. see [15]) are very useful in the subsequent proofs.

Observation 1. Let I, J be two vertex sets in a graph G such that I is independent, |J| < |I| and $N_G[I] \subseteq N_G[J]$. Then G satisfies $\gamma(G) < \alpha(G)$. Moreover, if J is likewise independent, then G is not well-covered.

Observation 2. If G is a well-covered graph and I is an independent set of G, then $G' = G - N_G[I]$ is also well-covered and $\alpha(G') = \alpha(G) - |I|$.

It is easy to see that Observation 1 and 2 remain true if the property *well-covered* is replaced by having the property that $\gamma(G) = \alpha(G)$. The next result provides a more powerful tool, if we have additional information.

Lemma 3. Let G be a well-covered graph, I an independent set of vertices in G and $G' = G[N_G[I]]$. Then

- 1. if $\alpha(G') = |I|$, then G' is also well-covered;
- 2. if G has no isolated vertex and $|N_G(I)| \leq |I|$, then G' is very well-covered.

Proof. (1). Since $\alpha(G') = |I|$ we only have to show that there exists no maximal independent set J of G' with |J| < |I|. Otherwise, assume J is a maximal independent set of G' with |J| < |I|, then $N_G[I] \subseteq N_G[J]$ and by Observation 1 we obtain that G is not well-covered, a contradiction.

(2). Suppose G has no isolated vertex and we have $|N_G(I)| \leq |I|$. Berge [3] showed that for every independent set I of a well-covered graph G without isolated vertice, $|N_G(I)| \geq |I|$. Hence, we have $|N_G(I)| = |I|$. By Observation 1 we deduce that there exists no maximal independent set J of G' with |J| < |I|. Now assume there exists a maximal independent set J of G' with |J| > |I|. Note that $\emptyset \neq I \cap J \neq I$, $\emptyset \neq N_G(I) \cap J \neq N_G(I)$ and $|I - \{I \cap J\}| < |N_G(I) \cap J|$. With J independent we obtain $N_G(I \cap J) \cap \{N_G(I) \cap J\} = \emptyset$, i.e., $N_G(I \cap J) \subseteq N_G(I) - \{N_G(I) \cap J\}$. By rearranging

$$|I \cap J| = |I| - |I - \{I \cap J\}|$$

> $|N_G(I)| - |N_G(I) \cap J|$
 $\geq |N_G(I \cap J)|$

we get a contradiction to Berge's result. Thus every maximal independent set of G' has cardinality $\alpha(G') = |I| = n(G')/2$, i.e., G' is very well-covered.

Observation 4. Let G be a graph of odd girth at least 2l + 1 and x a vertex of G. Then all vertices having distance exactly i to x with $1 \le i < l$ form an independent set of G.

3. Well-Covered Graphs of odd Girth 7 or Greater

Staples [18] and Favaron [5] independently characterized the family of very well-covered graphs. Finbow and Hartnell [6] proved that a well-covered graph without isolated vertices and with girth at least 8 is very well-covered. In the next theorem we state that it is enough to demand odd girth ≥ 9 , i.e., C_4, C_6 are not forbidden.

Theorem 5. Let G be well-covered with no isolated vertex and odd girth ≥ 9 , then G is very well-covered.

Proof. Let G be a well-covered graph with no isolated vertex and odd girth > 9, such that G is not very well-covered and every isolate-vertex-free subgraph $G' = G - N_G[I]$, with I being an independent vertex set of G, is very well-covered. Now let $x \in V(G)$ and $J_i(x)$ denote the set of all vertices having distance exactly i from x. Because of Observation 4 each of the sets $J_1(x), J_2(x)$ and $J_3(x)$ are independent. The graph $G' = G - N_G[J_3(x)]$ is because of Observation 2 well-covered. Let $J'_2(x)$ be the subset of $J_2(x)$ containing all vertices of distance 2 from x, which are not adjacent to any vertex of $J_3(x)$. One (well-covered !) component of $G' = G - N_G[J_3(x)]$ is $G_x = G[N[x] \cup J'_2(x)]$. Note that the well-covered bipartite graph G_x is by the choice of G very well-covered, i.e., $\alpha(G_x) = n(G_x)/2 = |J_1(x)| = |\{x\} \cup$ $J'_2(x)$. (Since G is not very well-covered that implies $J_3(x) \neq \emptyset$.) Likewise $G'' = G - N_G[\{x\} \cup J'_2(x)]$ is well-covered, contains no isolated vertex, has odd girth ≥ 9 and satisfies because of G's choice $\alpha(G'') = n(G'')/2$. Observe that $n(G) = n(G'') + n(G_x)$ and $J_3(x)$ is contained in a maximum independent set A of G''. Moreover, the set $I = A \cup \{x\} \cup J'_2(x)$ is a maximum independent set of G with $|I| = n(G'')/2 + n(G_x)/2$, i.e., $\alpha(G) = n(G)/2$, a contradiction.

We now examine the members of the family of connected, well-covered graphs with odd girth at least 7, which are not very well-covered. In order to have a self-contained proof for Theorem 5 we required the special choice of G to deduce that the subgraph G_x is very well-covered. Alternatively, as G_x is both well-covered and bipartite, it is also very well-covered. From the proof of Theorem 5 we see that

successive removals of G_x for vertices x belonging to no C_7 produce decreasingly smaller graphs which are well-covered but not very well-covered. Hence, in this case it is possible to 'reduce' G. Moreover, if there exists an independent set I of G with $|N_G(I)| = |I|$, then we can also apply Lemma 3 in order to 'reduce' G.

We now examine the members of the family of connected, well-covered graphs with odd girth at least 7, which are not very well-covered. Finbow, Hartnell and Nowakowski [7] proved that a connected, well-covered graph with girth at least 6 is very well-covered or is one of C_7 , K_1 . Hence, if 4-circuits are not permitted there are only two exceptional graphs. But we will outline that allowing 4-circuits enlarges this class of exceptional graphs, i.e., the members of the family of connected, well-covered graphs with odd girth at least 7, which are not very well-covered. The following observation is an easy consequence of two results due to Berge [3] and Tutte [21]. Berge showed that for every independent set I of an isolate-vertex-free well-covered graph G we have $|N_G(I)| \ge |I|$, but then also the König-Hall condition — $|N_G(S)| \ge |S|$ for all subsets S of V(G) — holds. Finally it is due to Tutte that the König-Hall condition is equivalent to the existence of a perfect [1, 2]-factor \mathcal{F} .

Observation 6. If G is an isolate-vertex-free well-covered graph, then G contains a perfect [1, 2]-factor \mathcal{F} .

Note that then there exists also a perfect [1,2]-factor \mathcal{F} of G, such that \mathcal{F} only contains induced odd circuits and K_2 's. A canonical problem now is to examine the family of isolate-vertex-free well-covered graphs G, such that there exists a perfect [1,2]-factor \mathcal{F} of G with $\alpha(\mathcal{F}) = \alpha(G)$. The core of the following conjecture is that all isolate-vertex-free well-covered graphs of odd girth ≥ 7 are contained in this subclass of the well-covered graphs.

Conjecture 7. Let G be an isolate-vertex-free graph of odd girth at least 7. Then G is well-covered if and only if

- there exists a perfect [1,2]-factor *F* of *G*, such that *F* only contains (induced) 7-circuits and K₂'s. Furthermore, we have α(*F*) = α(*G*).
- if C_1 and C_2 are two vertex-disjoint 7-circuits of \mathcal{F} , then there are
 - 1. either $G[V(C_1) \cup V(C_2)] = C_1 \cup C_2$;
 - 2. or $G[V(C_1) \cup V(C_2)] = C_7[2K_1];$
 - 3. or there exist two vertices x_1, x_2 of distance 2 of C_1 and two vertices y_1, y_2 of distance 2 of C_2 , such that these vertices induce a 4-circuit and these are the only edges between the two circuits C_1 and C_2 .
- the set of vertices of the K₂-components induces a very well-covered graph.
- there exists a well-covered, isolate-vertex-free graph G^* of odd girth at least 7 and an independent vertex set I of G^* , such that we have $G = G^* N_{G^*}[I]$ and there exists a perfect [1,2]-factor \mathcal{F} of G^* only containing induced 7-circuits.

If Conjecture 7 is true, then every isolate-vertex-free well-covered graph G of odd girth ≥ 7 satisfies $\alpha(G) \geq \frac{3}{7}n(G)$. Note that this result also holds

for the related family of graphs G of odd girth ≥ 7 with $\delta(G) > n(G)/4$ as shown by Albertson, Chan and Haas [1].

4. On the Subclass $\mathcal{G}_{\gamma=\alpha}$

4..1 On members of $\mathcal{G}_{=}$ with odd girth ≥ 7

For the next theorem we need a characterization of isolate-vertex-free graphs G with $\gamma(G) = n(G)/2$, which is due to Payan and Xuong [11] and independently Fink, Jacobson, Kinch and Roberts [9]. As a considerable extension of this result Randerath and Volkmann [16] (see also [2] for a different proof) characterized all extremal graphs in the well-known inequality of Ore [10], i.e., they determined the connected graphs with $\gamma(G) = |n(G)/2|$.

Proposition 8 [11], [9]. Let G be a connected graph of order $n = n(G) \ge 2$. Then $\gamma(G) = n/2$ if and only if $G = C_4$ or $G = H \circ K_1$ for some arbitrary connected graph H.

In next theorem we study another subclass of the well-covered graphs of odd girth ≥ 7 .

Theorem 9. Let G be a connected graph of order $n = n(G) \ge 2$ and odd girth at least 7. Then $\gamma(G) = \alpha(G)$ if and only if $G = C_4, C_7$ or $G = H \circ K_1$ where H is a connected graph of odd girth at least 7.

Proof. By inspection we see that the graphs $C_4, C_7, H \circ K_1$ have $\gamma = \alpha$. Conversely, assume G is a graph of smallest order $n = n(G) \ge 2$ such that G is connected, has odd girth ≥ 7 , has $\gamma(G) = \alpha(G)$ and G is neither C_4, C_7 nor a corona graph, or equivalently $\gamma(G) = \alpha(G) < n(G)/2$ and $G \ne C_7$. We shall prove that no such G exists by deriving a contradiction. Thus, by the minimality of n, any graph G' with n(G') = n' vertices, $2 \le n' < n$, which is connected, has odd girth ≥ 7 and satisfies $\gamma(G') = \alpha(G')$ has this common value equal to n'/2 or is a C_7 . Recall, that Observation 2 also holds for the subclass of well covered graphs fulfilling $\gamma = \alpha$. If $\delta(G) = 1$, let $y \in V(G)$ have degree one neighbours $I = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k\}, k \ge 1$. By Observation 2, $G' = G - N_G[I]$ fulfills $\gamma(G') = \alpha(G')$, thus each component $G''_i, 1 \le i \le l$, of G' also has $\gamma(G''_i) = \alpha(G''_i)$. G is dominated by y together with a dominating set from each of the l components $G''_i, 1 \le i \le l$, so $\gamma(G) \le 1 + \sum_{i=1}^l \gamma(G''_i)$. From I together with l maximum independent sets of each G''_i we obtain that $k + \sum_{i=1}^{l} \alpha(G''_i) \leq \alpha(G)$; combining this with $\gamma(G''_i) = \alpha(G''_i)$, for $1 \leq i \leq l$ and $\gamma(G) = \alpha(G)$ we find that k = 1. Next we obtain a contradiction to $\gamma(G) = \alpha(G) < n/2$. If $\gamma(G') = \alpha(G') = \frac{n(G')}{2}$, then with n = n' + 2 we deduce $\gamma(G) = \alpha(G) = \frac{n(G)}{2}$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\gamma(G') = \alpha(G') < \frac{n(G')}{2}$ and by the minimality of n, G' has to contain a 7-circuit C as a component. Note that at least one vertex $z_1 \in V(C)$ is adjacent to y. Now it is easy to see that there exists an independent set I containing the endvertex x_1 and three pairwise nonadjacent vertices of the 7-circuit C and a vertex set J containing the vertex y and two nonadjacent vertices of the 7-circuit C satisfying the property $N_G[I] \subseteq N_G[J]$. With $\gamma(G) = \alpha(G)$ we get a contradiction by Observation 1.

Therefore, we have $\delta(G) \geq 2$. Consider a vertex x of minimum degree $\delta = \delta(G)$ and denote by I_x the union of x and the set of isolated vertices of $G - N_G[x]$. If $G' = G - N_G[I_x]$ is the empty graph, G is bipartite with vertex classes I_x and N(x). Since G is well covered we find that $|I_x| = |N(x)| = \delta$ and $G = K_{\delta,\delta}$. That implies $\gamma(G) = 2$, $\alpha(G) = \delta$, and hence $G = C_4$ against the hypothesis; consequently, G' is not the empty graph. Since G' contains no isolated vertex and for each $i, 1 \leq i \leq l$, the G'-component G''_i has odd girth ≥ 7 and $\gamma(G''_i) = \alpha(G''_i)$ we obtain that, by the minimality of n, G''_i is a C_4 , a C_7 or a corona graph. Now suppose G' contains a circuit-component, say G''_1 is a C_4 or a C_7 . Then again applying Observation 1 a simple case by case analysis of the corresponding graph G''' produces a contradiction. Thus, each component of G' is a corona graph $G'' = H'' \circ K_1$. Because G has odd girth at least 7 and $\delta \geq 2$ we can drop the case that G' contains K_2 as a component. Thus, we also deduce $n' \geq 4$. Let uv be an edge in H'' and let u' and v' be their respective neighbours in $\Omega(G'')$. Each of u'and v' has exactly one neighbour in H'' and hence at least $\delta - 1$ neighbours in N(x). If $\delta(G) \geq 3$, as $|N(x)| = \delta$, some vertex in N(x) is adjacent to both u' and v', that creates a C_5 against the assumption that G has odd girth at least 7. So $\delta(G) = 2$ and G contains a C_7 . We have $I_x = \{x\}$, otherwise we can deduce that $\gamma(G) < \alpha(G)$. In G the set $\{x\} \cup \Omega(G')$ is maximum independent with $\alpha(G) = \frac{n'}{2} + 1$ vertices. Let H' denote the union of all H''. Since H'' has no isolated vertex we have by a result of Ore that each $\gamma(H'') \leq \frac{1}{2}|V(H'')|$ and hence $\gamma(H') \leq \frac{1}{2}\frac{n'}{2}$. Let D' be a dominating set of H' with $|D'| \leq \frac{n'}{4}$. Each vertex in $\Omega(G')$ is joined to precisely one vertex in H' and to at least one vertex in N(x). Thus, the two vertices in N(x) together with D' dominate G and $\gamma(G) \leq 2 + \frac{n'}{4}$. From $\alpha(G) = \gamma(G)$ we obtain $\frac{n'}{2} + 1 \leq \frac{n'}{4} + 2$ and by rearranging $\frac{n'}{4} \leq 1$, implying n' = 4. Moreover, we have $G' = P_4$ and $G = C_7$, a contradiction to our hypothesis.

4..2 On triangle-free members of \mathcal{G} =

An interesting subproblem of Szamkołowicz's $\mathcal{G}_{\gamma=\alpha}$ -problem and Plummer's well-covered-girth-4-problem is to FIND A GOOD CHARACTERIZATION OF ALL GRAPHS OF $\mathcal{G}_{\gamma=\alpha}$ WITH GIRTH ≥ 4 , i.e., find a good characterization of all triangle-free graphs G satisfying $\gamma(G) = \alpha(G)$.

Description of a family of triangle free well covered graphs $(G_j)_{j \in N}$:

For $j \ge 1$ let G_j be the *j*-regular graph on 3j - 1 vertices described by

 $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2 \dots, v_{3j-1}\},\$

 $N(x_i) = \{v_{i+j}, v_{i+j+1}, \dots, v_{i+2j-1}\}, 1 \le i \le 3j - 1$, indices are added modulo 3j - 1, so that $v_{3j} = v_1, v_{3j+1} = v_2$ etc.

The first three graphs in this family are $G_1 = K_2, G_2 = C_5$ and $G_3 = ML_8$, the Möbius ladder on 8 vertices. Note that these graphs are circulants. We can easily establish that $\alpha(G_j) = j$ and that the maximal independent sets in G_j precisely are the 3j - 1 neighbourhood sets $N(x_i), 1 \leq i \leq 3j - 1$, each consisting of j vertices, so G_j is well covered. For j = 1, 2, 3 we see that $\gamma(G_j) = \alpha(G_j)$ but for $j \geq 4$ we have that $\{v_1, v_{j+1}, v_{2j+1}\}$ dominates G_j and hence that $3 = \gamma(G_j) < \alpha(G_j) = j$. We shall use $G_j, j = 1, 2, 3$, in the construction of \mathcal{H}^+ below.

Szamkołowicz asked for a characterization of graphs with $\gamma = \alpha$. In Theorem 9 we gave an answer for graphs with odd girth ≥ 7 .

In addition we shall now construct \mathcal{H}^+ , a family of graphs in $\mathcal{G}_{\gamma=\alpha}$:

Let *H* be a graph with vertex set $V(H) = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k, b_1, b'_1, b_2, b'_2, \ldots, b_\ell, b'_\ell\}$, $(k = 0 \text{ or } \ell = 0 \text{ may occur})$, and E(H) is any set of edges such that (1) $b_i b'_i \notin E(H), 1 \leq i \leq \ell$, and

- (1) $b_i b_i \notin E(\Pi), 1 \leq i \leq \ell, \ una$
- (2) $H \cup \{b_i b'_i | 1 \le i \le \ell\}$ is a connected graph.

Let H^+ be obtained from H by attaching to each $a_s, 1 \leq s \leq k$, either

- 1) a new vertex x_s and a new edge $a_s x_s$ or
- four new vertices x_s, y_s, z_s, w_s and five new edges such that a_sx_sy_sz_sw_s is a C₅, or
- 3) seven new vertices $x_s^1, x_s^2, \ldots, x_s^7$ and 12 new edges such that $a_s x_s^1, x_s^2, \ldots, x_s^7$ is an 8-circuit plus 4 edges joining diametrically opposite vertices, i.e., $a_s x_s^1, x_s^2, \ldots, x_s^7$ spans a $ML_8 = G_3$.

Further, to each pair of independent vertices $b_s, b'_s, 1 \le s \le \ell$ we attach 3 new vertices x_s, y_s, z_s and 5 new edges producing a 5-circuit $b_s x_s y_s b'_s z_s$.

Each graph H^+ from this family \mathcal{H}^+ just constructed satisfies $\gamma(H^+) = \alpha(H^+)$. We note that \mathcal{H}^+ includes the family PC of well covered graphs from [7]. Furthermore, the exceptional graphs K_1 , C_4 , C_7 , P_{10} , P_{13} , Q_{13} and P_{14} (see Figure 1) also determined in [7] are not only well covered, but they also satisfy $\gamma = \alpha$.

Figure 1

Observation 10. The exceptional graphs $K_1, C_4, C_7, P_{10}, P_{13}, Q_{13}, P_{14}$ and the graphs of \mathcal{H}^+ all belong to $\mathcal{G}_{\gamma=\alpha}$.

So far we obtained a large subclass of triangle-free members of $\mathcal{G}_{\gamma=\alpha}$. In the following we will enlarge this subclass.

Pinter constructed in [12, 13] families of W_2 -graphs of girth 4, where a graph G is a W_2 -graph, if G is well-covered and every vertex x of G is an extendable vertex, i.e., G - x remains well-covered. One major issue of the concept of extendable vertices ([7, 8]) is that for two well-covered graphs G_1 and G_2 each having an extendable vertex x_i with i = 1, 2 the graph G obtained by G_1 and G_2 and the additional edge x_1x_2 remains well-covered. Observe that it is not very difficult to show that for the class $\mathcal{G}_{\gamma=\alpha}$ the concept of extendable vertices is also valid. Note that every vertex of the corresponding graph H of a graph H^+ from our family \mathcal{H}^+ is an extendable vertex. Now we describe Pinters 'stable' operations used in [12, 13].

<u>Operation 1</u> [12]. Suppose G is a <u>W</u>₂-graph (of girth 4) with adjacent degree two vertices x and y which are not on a triangle. Let $N_G(x) = \{u, y\}$ and $N_G(y) = \{v, x\}$ and a, b and c be new vertices. Form a new graph H with $V(H) = V(G) \cup \{a, b, c\}$ and $E(H) = E(G) \cup \{xa, ab, bc, cy, cu\}$. Then H is also a <u>W</u>₂-graph (of girth 4) with $\alpha(G) = \alpha(H) + 1$.

<u>Operation 2</u> [13]. Suppose H is a $\underline{W_2}$ -graph of girth 4 and C is a 4-circuit in H such that $\alpha(H - C) = \alpha(H) - 1$ and H - C is in $\underline{W_2}$. Let C = abcdand let xy be a new line and $A = v_1v_2v_3v_4$ be a new 4-circuit. Form a new graph G with $V(G) = V(H) \cup V(A) \cup \{x, y\}$ and $E(G) = E(H) \cup E(A) \cup \{xy, v_1x, v_3y, v_2a, v_2b, v_4c, v_4d\}$. Then G is also a $\underline{W_2}$ -graph of girth 4 with $\alpha(G) = \alpha(H) + 2$.

<u>Operation 3</u> [13]. Suppose H is a <u>W</u>₂-graph of girth 4 with disjoint 4-circuits C_1 and C_2 such that (i) $\alpha(H - C_i) = \alpha(H) - 1$ for i = 1, 2 and (ii) $H - C_i$ is in <u>W</u>₂ for i = 1, 2. Also, H is connected or has exactly two components. In the disconnected case, each component contains exactly one of the 4-circuits C_i . Let $C_1 = u_1 y_1 v_1 x_1$ and $C_2 = u_2 y_2 v_2 x_2$ and let A = abcd be a new 4-circuit. Form a new graph G with $V(G) = V(H) \cup V(A)$ and $E(G) = E(H) \cup E(A) \cup \{au_1, av_1, cx_1, cy_1, bx_2, by_2, du_2, dv_2\}$. Then G is also a W_2 -graph of girth 4 with $\alpha(G) = \alpha(H) + 1$.

Operation 1 requires the property that if v is an endvertex, then also u is an endvertex, i.e., either the graph in consideration is a path with four vertices or $d(u), d(x), d(y), d(v) \ge 2$. Since a W_2 -graph G satisfies $\delta(G) \ge 2$, it is not necessary to require the extra condition. But if we want to replace W_2 by $\mathcal{G}_{\gamma=\alpha}$, we have to add the additional condition.

Two disjoint copies of the graph $H' \in \mathcal{G}_{\gamma=\alpha}$, obtained by a 4-circuit uxyv and one carried out Operation 1, fulfils the conditions of Operation 3, if we consider an arbitrary 4-circuit of H'. If Operation 3 is carried out the

resulting graph H^* satisfies $\gamma(H^*) = 6 < 7 = \alpha(H^*)$. Thus for the graph H in consideration we have to add the condition that there exists no minimum dominating D of H hitting for each of the two considered 4-circuits at least two adjacent vertices. In order to see that these conditions for the new Operation 3 can be satisfied by a graph of $\mathcal{G}_{\gamma=\alpha}$, we only have to consider two disjoint copies of the graph $H'' \in \mathcal{G}_{\gamma=\alpha}$, obtained by a 5-circuit uxyvz and one carried out Operation 1.

Lemma 11. The above operations are also valid for the class $\mathcal{G}_{\gamma=\alpha}$, i.e., we can replace \underline{W}_2 by $\underline{\mathcal{G}}_{\gamma=\alpha}$, we only have to add the before mentioned conditions.

The proof of this lemma is tedious and not very difficult and therefore we omit here the proof. Moreover, we can relax the conditions of the Operation 1:

if we consider a $\mathcal{G}_{\gamma=\alpha}$ -graph G (of girth 4) with three vertices u, x, y which induce a path P = uxy in G such that $\alpha(G - P) = \alpha(G) - 1$. Let a, b and c be new vertices. Form a new graph H with $V(H) = V(G) \cup \{a, b, c\}$ and $E(H) = E(G) \cup \{xa, ab, bc, cy, cu\}$. Then H is also a $\mathcal{G}_{\gamma=\alpha}$ -graph (of girth 4) with $\alpha(G) = \alpha(H) + 1$.

Operation 2 can also be relaxed:

Suppose H is a $\mathcal{G}_{\gamma=\alpha}$ -graph of girth 4 and M is a 4-circuit or a K_2 in H such that $\alpha(H - M) = \alpha(H) - 1$. If M = acbd let xy be a new line and $A = v_1v_2v_3v_4$ be a new 4-circuit. Form a new graph G with $V(G) = V(H) \cup V(A) \cup \{x, y\}$ and $E(G) = E(H) \cup E(A) \cup \{xy, v_1x, v_3y, v_2a, v_2b, v_4c, v_4d\}$. If M = ac let xy be a new line and $A = v_1v_2v_3v_4$ be a new 4-circuit. Form a new graph G with $V(G) = V(H) \cup V(A) \cup \{x, y\}$ and $E(G) = E(H) \cup E(A) \cup \{xy, v_1x, v_3y, v_2a, v_4c\}$. Moreover, in the latter case we can add the additional edges ax and cy. Note that then the eight vertices $a, c, x, y, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4$ induce the Moebius ladder ML8.

Recall that therefore we obtain one of our basic building blocks, the Moebius ladder ML8, in the construction of our class \mathcal{H}^+ by applying the modified operation 2 on a K_2 representing an endvertex and its unique neighbour.

4..3 Concluding Remark

The graph W obtained by identifying two paths with four vertices, where each path is contained in a 5-circuit, plays a central role in all of the above

mentioned operations and surely also in a characterization of all trianglefree graphs G satisfying $\gamma(G) = \alpha(G)$. In this last section we started with a class \mathcal{H}^+ constructed by basic building blocks. Then we briefly summarized Pinters 'stable' operations used for W_2 -graphs and adapted (modified) these operations for the class $\mathcal{G}_{\gamma=\alpha}$. A combination of \mathcal{H}^+ and the modified operations constructs a large class of graphs satisfying $\gamma = \alpha$, but we expect that there are further operations needed in order to characterize $\mathcal{G}_{\gamma=\alpha}$ $-\{K_1, C_4, C_7, P_{10}, P_{13}, Q_{13}, P_{14}\}.$

Acknowledgement

The authors thank Lutz Volkmann and Bert Hartnell for discussions on this topic and the referees for their helpful comments.

References

- M.O. Albertson, L. Chan and R. Haas, *Independence and graph homomorphisms*, J. Graph Theory **17** (1993) 581–588.
- [2] X. Baogen, E. Cockayne, T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi and Z. Shangchao, Extremal graphs for inequalities involving domination parameters, Discrete Math. 216 (2000) 1–10.
- [3] C. Berge, *Regularizable graphs*, Ann. Discrete Math. **3** (1978) 11–19.
- [4] V. Chvátal and P.J. Slater, A note on well-covered graphs, Ann. Discrete Math. 55 (1993) 179–182.
- [5] O. Favaron, Very well-covered graphs, Discrete Math. 42 (1982) 177–187.
- [6] A. Finbow and B. Hartnell, A game related to covering by stars, Ars Combin. 16 (A) (1983) 189–198.
- [7] A. Finbow, B. Hartnell and R.J. Nowakowski, A characterization of wellcovered graphs of girth 5 or greater, J. Combin. Theory (B) 57 (1993) 44–68.
- [8] A. Finbow, B. Hartnell and R.J. Nowakowski, A characterization of wellcovered graphs which contain neither 4- nor 5-cycles, J. Graph Theory 18 (1994) 713–721.
- [9] J.F. Fink, M.S. Jacobson, L.F. Kinch and J. Roberts, On graphs having domination number half their order, Period. Math. Hungar. 16 (1985) 287–293.
- [10] O. Ore, Theory of Graphs (Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. 38, 1962).

- [11] C. Payan and N.H. Xuong, Domination-balanced graphs. J. Graph Theory 6 (1982) 23–32.
- [12] M.R. Pinter, A class of planar well-covered graphs with girth four, J. Graph Theory 19 (1995) 69–81.
- [13] M.R. Pinter, A class of well-covered graphs with girth four, Ars Combin. 45 (1997) 241–255.
- [14] M.D. Plummer, Some covering concepts in graphs, J. Combin. Theory 8 (1970) 91–98.
- [15] M.D. Plummer, Well-covered graphs: a survey, Quaestiones Math. 16 (1993) 253–287.
- [16] B. Randerath and L. Volkmann, Characterization of graphs with equal domination and covering number, Discrete Math. 191 (1998) 159–169.
- [17] R.S. Sankaranarayanan and L.K. Stewart, Complexity results for well-covered graphs, Networks 22 (1992) 247–262.
- [18] J. Staples, Ph. D. dissertation (Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, 1975).
- [19] L. Szamkołowicz, Sur la classification des graphes en vue des propriétés de leurs noyaux, Prace Nauk. Inst. Mat. i Fiz. Teoret., Politechn. Wroclaw., Ser. Stud. Mater. 3 (1970) 15–21.
- [20] J. Topp and L. Volkmann, On domination and independence numbers of graphs, Resultate Math. 17 (1990) 333–341.
- [21] W.T. Tutte, The 1-factors of oriented graphs, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 4 (1953) 922–931.

Received 4 August 2000 Revised 23 December 2001