Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory 22 (2002) 17–29

# WEAKLY *P*-SATURATED GRAPHS

MIECZYSŁAW BOROWIECKI

AND

Elżbieta Sidorowicz

Institute of Mathematics University of Zielona Góra 65-246 Zielona Góra, Podqórna 50, Poland

e-mail: M.Borowiecki@im.uz.zgora.pl e-mail: E.Sidorowicz@im.uz.zgora.pl

#### Abstract

For a hereditary property  $\mathcal{P}$  let  $k_{\mathcal{P}}(G)$  denote the number of forbidden subgraphs contained in G. A graph G is said to be *weakly*  $\mathcal{P}$ saturated, if G has the property  $\mathcal{P}$  and there is a sequence of edges of  $\overline{G}$ , say  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_l$ , such that the chain of graphs  $G = G_0 \subset G_0 + e_1 \subset$  $G_1 + e_2 \subset \ldots \subset G_{l-1} + e_l = G_l = K_n \ (G_{i+1} = G_i + e_{i+1})$  has the following property:  $k_{\mathcal{P}}(G_{i+1}) > k_{\mathcal{P}}(G_i), \ 0 \le i \le l-1$ .

In this paper we shall investigate some properties of weakly saturated graphs. We will find upper bound for the minimum number of edges of weakly  $\mathcal{D}_k$ -saturated graphs of order n. We shall determine the number weak $(n, \mathcal{P})$  for some hereditary properties.

**Keywords:** graph, extremal problems, hereditary property, weakly saturated graphs.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C35.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND NOTATION

We consider finite undirected graphs without loops or multiple edges. A graph G has a vertex set V(G) and an edge set E(G). Let v(G), e(G) denote the number of vertices and the number of edges of G, respectively. We say that G contains H whenever G contains a subgraph isomorphic to H.

The degree of  $v \in V(G)$  is denoted by  $d_G(v)$ . The number of edges of a path is called the *length* of the path.

Let  $\mathcal{I}$  denote the class of all graphs with isomorphic graphs being regarded as equal. If  $\mathcal{P}$  is a proper nonempty subclass of  $\mathcal{I}$ , then  $\mathcal{P}$  will also denote the property of being in  $\mathcal{P}$ . We shall use the terms *class of graphs* and *property of graphs* interchangeably.

A property  $\mathcal{P}$  is called *hereditary* if every subgraph of a graph G with property  $\mathcal{P}$  also has property  $\mathcal{P}$ .

We list some properties to introduce the necessary notation which will be used in the paper. Let k be a non-negative integer.

 $\mathcal{O} = \{ G \in \mathcal{I} : G \text{ is totally disconnected} \},\$ 

 $\mathcal{O}_k = \{ G \in \mathcal{I} : \text{ each component of } G \text{ has at most } k+1 \text{ vertices} \},$ 

 $\mathcal{I}_k = \{ G \in \mathcal{I} : G \text{ contains no subgraph isomorphic to } K_{k+2} \},\$ 

 $\mathcal{S}_k = \{ G \in \mathcal{I} : \Delta(G) \le k \},\$ 

 $\mathcal{D}_k = \{ G \in \mathcal{I} : G \text{ is } k \text{-degenerated, i.e., } \delta(H) \le k \text{ for any } H \le G \},$ 

 $\mathcal{W}_k = \{ G \in \mathcal{I} : \text{the length of the longest path in } G \text{ is at most } k \}.$ 

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a nontrivial hereditary property. Then there is a nonnegative integer  $c(\mathcal{P})$ , called the *completeness* of  $\mathcal{P}$ , such that  $K_{c(p)+1} \in \mathcal{P}$  but  $K_{c(p)+2} \notin \mathcal{P}$ . Obviously

$$c(\mathcal{O}_k) = c(\mathcal{I}_k) = c(\mathcal{S}_k) = c(\mathcal{D}_k) = c(\mathcal{W}_k) = k.$$

For a hereditary property  $\mathcal{P}$  the set of all *minimal forbidden subgraphs* of  $\mathcal{P}$  is defined by

 $F(\mathcal{P}) = \{ G \in I : G \notin \mathcal{P} \text{ but each proper subgraph } H \text{ of } G \text{ belongs to } \mathcal{P} \}.$ 

A graph is called  $\mathcal{P}$ -maximal if it does not contain any forbidden subgraph but it will contain a forbidden subgraph when any new edge is added to the graph. Let  $M(\mathcal{P})$  be the set of all  $\mathcal{P}$ -maximal graphs. The set of  $\mathcal{P}$ -maximal graphs of order n is denoted by  $M(n, \mathcal{P})$ .

Many problems of extremal graph theory can be formulated as follows: What is the maximum (minimum) number of edges in a  $\mathcal{P}$ -maximal graph of order n? For a given hereditary property  $\mathcal{P}$  we define those two numbers in the following manner:

$$ex(n, \mathcal{P}) = \max\{e(G) : G \in M(n, \mathcal{P})\},\$$
  
$$sat(n, \mathcal{P}) = \min\{e(G) : G \in M(n, \mathcal{P})\}.$$

The set of all  $\mathcal{P}$ -maximal graphs of order n with exactly  $ex(n, \mathcal{P})$  edges is denoted by  $Ex(n, \mathcal{P})$ . The members of  $Ex(n, \mathcal{P})$  are called  $\mathcal{P}$ -extremal graphs. By the symbol  $Sat(n, \mathcal{P})$  is denoted the set of all  $\mathcal{P}$ -maximal graphs of order n with  $sat(n, \mathcal{P})$  edges. These graphs are called  $\mathcal{P}$ -saturated.

The most famous Turán's Theorem [6] establishes the number of edges of  $\mathcal{I}_k$ -extremal graphs. On the other hand, Erdös, Hajnal and Moon [2] calculated the number sat $(n, \mathcal{I}_k)$ .

Bollobás [1] introduced the concept of a weakly k-saturated graph. Consider a graph of order n and add all those edges which are the only missing edge of complete graph of order k (i.e., we add the edge e if there are k such vertices of the graph, that the graph contains all the edges spanned by these k vertices, saving e). If by repeating this process a sufficient number of times the complete graph of order n is obtained, the original graph will be called *weakly k-saturated*.

Bollobás showed that if a graph G of order n is weakly k-saturated (for  $3 \le k \le 7$ ) with the minimum number of edges then  $e(G) = (k-2)n - \binom{k-1}{2}$ . In the general case (i.e., for  $k \ge 3$ ) the equality has been proved by Kalai [5].

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a hereditary property and let  $k_{\mathcal{P}}(G)$  denote the number of forbidden subgraphs contained in G. A graph G is said to be *weakly*  $\mathcal{P}$ *saturated*, if G has the property  $\mathcal{P}$  and there is a sequence of edges of  $\overline{G}$ , say  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_l$ , such that the chain of graphs  $G = G_0 \subset G_0 + e_1 \subset G_1 + e_2 \subset$  $\ldots \subset G_{l-1} + e_l = G_l = K_n \ (G_{i+1} = G_i + e_{i+1})$  has the following property:  $k_{\mathcal{P}}(G_{i+1}) > k_{\mathcal{P}}(G_i), \ 0 \leq i \leq l-1$ . This sequence of edges will be called the *complementary sequence of* G with respect to  $\mathcal{P}$  or briefly the *complementary sequence* if it does not lead us to misunderstanding.

According to our terminology a weakly k-saturated graph is called weakly  $\mathcal{I}_{k-2}$ -saturated.

Let us denote a set of all weakly  $\mathcal{P}$ -saturated graphs of order n by  $WSat(n, \mathcal{P})$ . Let the minimum and the maximum number of edges in a

graph of  $WSat(n, \mathcal{P})$  be denoted by

wsat
$$(n, \mathcal{P}) = \min\{e(G) : G \in WSat(n, \mathcal{P})\},\$$
  
wex $(n, \mathcal{P}) = \max\{e(G) : G \in WSat(n, \mathcal{P})\}.$ 

From Theorem of Kalai and Theorem of Erdös, Hajnal, Moon it follows that wsat $(n, \mathcal{I}_k) = \operatorname{sat}(n, \mathcal{I}_k)$ . In Section 2 we shall describe a hereditary property  $\mathcal{P}$  such that wsat $(n, \mathcal{P}) < \operatorname{sat}(n, \mathcal{P})$ . We will also investigate some properties of weakly saturated graphs. In Section 3 examples of weakly  $\mathcal{D}_k$ -saturated graphs and an upper bound for the number wsat $(n, \mathcal{D}_k)$  will be given. In Section 4 we shall determine the number wsat $(n, \mathcal{P})$  for some hereditary properties.

## 2. Some Properties of Weakly $\mathcal{P}$ -Saturated Graphs

From the definition of weakly  $\mathcal{P}$ -saturated graphs it follows that any  $\mathcal{P}$ -maximal graph is weakly  $\mathcal{P}$ -saturated. First we prove that the maximum number of edges of weakly  $\mathcal{P}$ -saturated graphs is equal to the maximum number of edges of  $\mathcal{P}$ -maximal graphs.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $n \ge 1$ . If  $\mathcal{P}$  is a hereditary property, then wex $(n, \mathcal{P}) = ex(n, \mathcal{P})$ .

**Proof.** Every  $\mathcal{P}$ -maximal graph is weakly  $\mathcal{P}$ -saturated. Thus wex $(n, \mathcal{P}) \geq \exp(n, \mathcal{P})$ . On the other hand, if a graph of order n has more than  $\exp(n, \mathcal{P})$  edges then it contains a forbidden subgraph. Hence wex $(n, \mathcal{P}) \leq \exp(n, \mathcal{P})$ .

Any non-negative integer valued function  $f : \mathcal{I} \to N$  is called the *graph invariant* (*invariant*, for short). For a hereditary property  $\mathcal{P}$  let us define the number

$$f(\mathcal{P}) = \min\{f(H) : H \in F(\mathcal{P})\}.$$

**Theorem 2.** Let f(G) be an invariant satisfying:

- (1)  $f(H) \leq f(G)$  for  $H \subseteq G$ ,
- (2)  $f(G+e) \le f(G) + 1$  for  $e \in E(\overline{G})$ .

Then for any graph  $G \in WSat(n, \mathcal{P})$  with  $n \geq c(\mathcal{P}) + 2$ , we have

$$f(G) \ge f(\mathcal{P}) - 1.$$

**Proof.** From the definition of weakly  $\mathcal{P}$ -saturated graphs, it follows that there is an edge  $e \in E(\overline{G})$  and a graph  $F \in F(\mathcal{P})$  such that  $F \subseteq G + e$ . Thus  $f(\mathcal{P}) \leq f(F) \leq f(G + e) \leq f(G) + 1$ .

The chromatic number and the clique number are examples of invariant satisfying assumptions of Theorem 2. The edge connectivity  $\lambda(G)$  does not satisfy the assumption (1) of Theorem 2, but we shall prove that for  $G \in WSat(n, \mathcal{P})$  the inequality  $\lambda(G) \geq \lambda(\mathcal{P}) - 1$  also holds.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\lambda(\mathcal{P}) = \lambda > 0$  and  $G \in WSat(n, \mathcal{P})$ . Then

$$\lambda(G) \ge \lambda - 1.$$

**Proof.** Let S be an edge cutset of G such that  $\lambda(G) = |S|$ . Let G', G" be two components of G - S. Since G is weakly  $\mathcal{P}$ -saturated, it follows that there is a complementary sequence  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_l$  of G. Let  $e_i$  be the first edge of the sequence  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_l$ , which joins a vertex of G' with a vertex of G". Let F denote a subgraph of  $G_{i-1} + e_i$ , which contains the edge  $e_i$ and is isomorphic with some graph of  $F(\mathcal{P})$ . Then the set  $S \cup \{e_i\}$  is an edge cutset of F. Thus  $\lambda \leq \lambda(F) \leq |S| + 1 = \lambda(G) + 1$ .

From the next theorem it follows that the behaviour of  $wsat(n, \mathcal{P})$  is not monotone in general.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the hereditary property such that  $F(\mathcal{P}) = \{2K_2\}$ . Then

wsat
$$(n, \mathcal{P}) = \begin{cases} 3, & \text{for } n = 4, \\ 1, & \text{for } n \ge 5. \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** It is easy to see that there is no weakly  $\mathcal{P}$ -saturated graph of order 4 with two edges. Since the graphs  $K_{1,3}$  and  $K_3 \cup K_1$  are weakly  $\mathcal{P}$ -saturated, we have weak $(4, \mathcal{P}) = 3$ .

If  $n \geq 5$  then  $K_2 \cup (n-2)K_1$  is a weakly  $\mathcal{P}$ -saturated graph. By adding (as long as possible) an edge joining two vertices of  $(n-2)K_1$  we obtain two independent edges, i.e.,  $2K_2$ , and results in  $K_{n-2}$ . Since  $n-2 \geq 3$ , it follows that every vertex of  $K_2$  (in the original graph), we can join with every vertex of just obtained  $K_{n-2}$ .

From Theorem of Kalai and Theorem of Erdös, Hajnal and Moon, it follows that wsat $(n, \mathcal{I}_k) = \operatorname{sat}(n, \mathcal{I}_k)$ . Such equality also holds for the property  $\mathcal{D}_1$ . **Theorem 5.** Let  $n \ge 1$ . Then

$$\operatorname{sat}(n, \mathcal{D}_1) = \operatorname{wsat}(n, \mathcal{D}_1) = n - 1.$$

**Proof.** Since  $F(\mathcal{D}_1) = \{C_p : p \geq 3\}$ ,  $\lambda(\mathcal{D}_1) = 2$  and every tree is weakly  $\mathcal{D}_1$ -saturated, it follows that  $wsat(n, \mathcal{D}_1) \leq n - 1$ . From Theorem 3 we have  $\lambda(G) \geq 1$  for  $G \in WSat(n, \mathcal{D}_1)$  then  $wsat(n, \mathcal{D}_1) \geq n - 1$ . Thus  $wsat(n, \mathcal{D}_1) = n - 1$ . Since the only  $\mathcal{D}_1$ -maximal graphs are trees, we have  $sat(n, \mathcal{D}_1) = n - 1$ .

The next theorem describes a hereditary property  $\mathcal{P}$  for which the minimum number of edges of weakly  $\mathcal{P}$ -saturated graphs of order n is less than the number of edges of  $\mathcal{P}$ -saturated graphs of order n.

**Theorem 6.** Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the hereditary property such that  $ex(n, \mathcal{P}) = sat(n, \mathcal{P}), \ \lambda(\mathcal{P}) = \lambda(H_0) = 1, \ H_0 \in F(\mathcal{P}) \ and \ every \ \mathcal{P}\text{-maximal graph}$  is connected. Then  $wsat(n, \mathcal{P}) < sat(n, \mathcal{P}), \ n \ge v(H_0).$ 

**Proof.** Let  $H_0 \in F(\mathcal{P})$  with  $\lambda(H_0) = 1$  and let e be a cutedge of  $H_0$ . Denote by  $H_1, H_2$  components of  $H_0 - e$ . Let  $v(H_1) = n_1, v(H_2) = n_2$ . We define the graph  $G = G_1 \cup G_2$  of order n assuming that  $v(G_1) = n_1, v(G_2) = n - n_1$  and for  $i = 1, 2, G_i$  is  $\mathcal{P}$ -maximal. Obviously  $n - n_1 \ge n_2$ . Since all forbidden subgraphs are connected it follows that the graph G has property  $\mathcal{P}$ . Defined graph G is not connected, then by the assumption of the theorem, G is not  $\mathcal{P}$ -maximal. Thus  $e(G) < ex(n, \mathcal{P}) = sat(n, \mathcal{P})$ .

On the other hand, we will show that the graph G is weakly  $\mathcal{P}$ - saturated. Since each component of G is a  $\mathcal{P}$ -maximal graph, it follows that if we add any edge of  $\overline{G}$  which joins two vertices of the same component we obtain a new forbidden subgraph containing the edge e. After adding all missing edges of each component we obtain the graph being a sum of complete graphs. Then each edge, which joins a vertex of the component of order  $n_1$  with a vertex of the component of order  $n - n_1$ , belongs to a subgraph isomorphic to  $H_0$ . Thus the graph G is weakly  $\mathcal{P}$ -saturated and  $e(G) \geq \operatorname{wsat}(n, \mathcal{P})$ .

In the next section we will show that the assumptions of Theorem 6 for the property  $\mathcal{D}_k$   $(k \ge 2)$  holds.

# 3. Weakly $\mathcal{D}_k$ -Saturated Graphs

The set of minimal forbidden subgraphs for property  $\mathcal{D}_k$  was characterized by Mihók [4]. To describe the set  $F(\mathcal{D}_k)$  we need some more notations. For a nonnegative integer k and a graph G, we denote the set of all vertices of G of degree k + 1 by M(G). If  $S \subseteq V(G)$  is a cutset of vertices of G and  $G_1, \ldots, G_s, s \geq 2$  are the components of G - S, then the graph  $G - V(G_i)$ is denoted by  $H_i, i = 1, \ldots, s$ .

**Theorem 7.** [4] A graph G belongs to  $F(\mathcal{D}_k)$  if and only if G is connected,  $\delta(G) \ge k + 1$ , V(G) - M(G) is an independent set of vertices of G and for each cutset  $S \subset V(G) - M(G)$  we have that  $\delta(H_i) \le k$  for each  $i = 1, \ldots, s$ .

Let us present some useful examples of  $F(\mathcal{D}_k)$ .

**Example 1.** Let  $H_k$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , be the graph such that  $V(H_k) = \{x_1, \ldots, x_k, y_1, \ldots, y_k, v_1, v_2, w_1, w_2\}$  with the following properties: vertices  $x_1, \ldots, x_k$  and  $y_1, \ldots, y_k$  induce two complete graphs and  $v_i w_i, v_i x_j, w_i y_j \in E(H_k)$  for  $i = 1, 2, j = 1, \ldots, k$ .



Figure 3.1. The graph  $H_k$  for k = 2

**Example 2.** Let  $H'_k$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , be the graph such that  $V(H'_k) = \{x_1, \ldots, x_k, y_1, \ldots, y_k, v_1, v_2, v_3, w_1, w_2, w_3\}$  with the following properties: verices  $x_1, \ldots, x_k$  and  $y_1, \ldots, y_k$  induce two graphs obtained from  $K_k$  by removing  $\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$  independent edges and  $v_i x_j$ ,  $w_i y_j \in E(H'_k)$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, j = 1, \ldots, k$ , and  $v_1 w_1$ ,  $v_2 v_3$ ,  $w_2 w_3 \in E(H'_k)$ .



Figure 3.2. The graph  $H'_k$  for k = 2

By Example 2 we have that  $\lambda(\mathcal{D}_k) = 1$  for  $k \geq 2$ . Since  $\mathcal{D}_k$ -maximal graphs are connected and sat $(n, \mathcal{D}_k) = \exp(n, \mathcal{D}_k)$  (see e.g. [3]), it follows that the assumptions of Theorem 6 holds. Then we immediately have

**Corollary 8.** wsat $(n, \mathcal{D}_k) < \operatorname{sat}(n, \mathcal{D}_k)$  for  $n \ge 2(k+3), k \ge 2$ .

To determine upper bound for the number  $wsat(n, \mathcal{D}_k)$  we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 9.** Let  $k \ge 2$ . Then the graph  $H_k - v_2w_2$  is weakly  $\mathcal{D}_k$ -saturated. **Proof.** Put  $G = H_k - v_2w_2$ . If the edge  $v_2w_2$  is added to G then  $G = H_k \in F(\mathcal{D}_k)$  is obtained. If we add  $v_1v_2$  or  $w_1w_2$  to  $H_k$  then we obtain the graph  $K_{k+2}$  which belongs to  $F(\mathcal{D}_k)$ . After adding the edge  $x_iy_j$ ,  $(1 \le i, j \le k)$ , edges  $(E(G) \cup \{v_1v_2, w_1w_2, x_iy_j\}) - \{v_1x_i, w_1y_j\}$  induce  $H_k$ . Now we can add the edge  $v_1y_j$ ,  $1 \le j \le k$  since edges  $(E(G) \cup \{v_2w_2, w_1w_2, v_1y_j\}) - \{w_2y_j, v_1w_1\}$  induce  $H_k$ . If we add the edge  $v_2w_j$   $(1 \le j \le k)$ , we obtain the graph  $H_k$  induced by  $(E(G) \cup \{w_1w_2, v_2y_j\}) - \{w_1y_j\}$ . In a similar manner we can show that if we add edges  $x_iw_1$  and  $x_iw_2$   $(1 \le i \le k)$ , a new forbidden subgraph appears. The last two edges  $v_1w_2, v_2w_1$  we can add because edges  $(E(G) \cup \{x_1y_1, v_1w_2, v_1v_2, w_1w_2\}) - \{x_1v_1, w_2y_1, v_1w_1\}$  and  $(E(G) \cup \{x_1y_1, v_2w_1, v_1v_2, w_1w_2\}) - \{x_1v_2, w_1y_1, v_1w_1\}$  induce  $H_k$ .

**Theorem 10.** Let  $k \ge 2$  and n = 2(k+2)q+r, where  $q \ge 1$ ,  $0 \le r \le 2k+3$ . Then

$$\operatorname{wsat}(n, \mathcal{D}_k) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{(k+2)(k+1)-1}{2(k+2)}n, & \text{for } r = 0, \\\\ \frac{(k+2)(k+1)-1}{2(k+2)}(n-r-(k+2)) + \\ (r+k+2)k - \binom{k+1}{2}, & \text{for } 0 < r < k+3, \\\\ \frac{(k+2)(k+1)-1}{2(k+2)}(n-r) + rk - \binom{k+1}{2}, & \text{for } r \ge k+3. \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** To prove the theorem it is enough to show that there is a weakly  $\mathcal{D}_k$ -saturated graph G of order n with such number of edges. Let  $k \geq 2$  and n = 2(k+2)q + r, where  $q \geq 1$ ,  $0 \leq r \leq 2k + 3$ . Put  $G' = H_k - v_2 w_2$ . If  $r \geq k + 3$ , then  $G = qG' \cup H$ , where  $H \in M(r, \mathcal{D}_k)$ . If  $0 \leq r < k + 3$ , then  $G = qG' \cup H$ , where  $H \in M(2(k+2) + r, \mathcal{D}_k)$ . If r = 0, then G = qG'. By Lemma 9 it follows that each component of G is a weakly  $\mathcal{D}_k$ -saturated graph. Then we can add edges in each component of G to obtain a complete graph. After having added those edges we can join any vertices of two different components.

# 4. The Number wsat $(n, \mathcal{P})$ for Some Hereditary Properties

In this section we will calculate the minimum number of edges of weakly saturated graphs for some hereditary properties.

**Theorem 11.** Let  $k \ge 1$  and  $n \ge k+2$ . Then  $WSat(n, \mathcal{O}_k) \supseteq \{T_r \cup T_s \cup tT_1 : r+s = k+2, r+s+t = n \text{ and } T_i$ is an abitrary tree of order  $i\}$ 

and

wsat
$$(n, \mathcal{O}_k) = k$$
.

**Proof.** First we prove that the graph  $G = T_r \cup T_s \cup tT_1$ , where r + s = k + 2, r + s + t = n is weakly  $\mathcal{O}_k$ -saturated. If we add an edge of  $\overline{G}$ , which joins a vertex of  $T_r$  and a vertex of  $T_s$  then we obtain a tree of order k + 2, i.e., we obtain a forbidden subgraph for property  $\mathcal{O}_k$ . If we join a vertex of the subgraph  $tT_1$  with a vertex of the obtained tree of order k + 2 we have a connected graph of order k + 3. Thus new edge belongs to a tree of order k + 2. Repeating this process we obtain a connected graph of order n in which each vertex of  $tT_1$  is adjacent with any vertex of the tree of order k + 2. Since for each edge of the complement of a connected graph there is a spanning tree which contains this edge, it follows that G is weakly  $\mathcal{O}_k$ -saturated. Hence weat $(n, \mathcal{O}_k) \leq e(G) = k$ .

On the other hand, let G be a graph such that  $G \in WSat(n, \mathcal{O}_k)$  and  $e(G) = wsat(n, \mathcal{O}_k)$ . Let  $e_1$  be the first edge such that  $G + e_1$  contains a forbidden subgraph, i.e., the graph  $G + e_1$  contains a tree of order k + 2. Thus  $wsat(n, \mathcal{O}_k) = e(G) \ge k$ .

The proof of the next theorem is very similar to the proof of Theorem 11, then it is omitted.

**Theorem 12.** Let  $k \ge 1$  and  $n \ge k+2$ . Then

$$WSat(n, \mathcal{W}_k) \supseteq \{P_r \cup P_s \cup tP_1 : r+s = k+2, r+s+t = n\}$$

and

$$wsat(n, \mathcal{W}_k) = k.$$

It is easy to see that the graphs  $K_{k+1} + tK_1$ , where k+1+t = n are weakly  $S_k$ -saturated. There are some other weakly  $S_k$ -saturated graphs of order n. For example the graph  $G_1$  (Figure 4.1) is weakly  $S_2$ -saturated and the graph  $G_2$  (Figure 4.1) is weakly  $S_3$ -saturated.



Figure 4.1. The graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ 

**Theorem 13.** Let  $n \ge k + 2 \ge 4$ . Then

wsat
$$(n, \mathcal{S}_k) = \binom{k+1}{2}.$$

**Proof.** Let G be a weakly  $S_k$ -saturated graph of order n with the minimum number of edges. Then there is a complementary sequence  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_l$  of G. Let  $e_1 = u_1v_1$  and  $d_G(u_1) = k$ . Let  $e_{f(1)}, \ldots, e_{f(t_1)}$  be the subsequence of  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_l$  such that every edge  $e_{f(i)}, (1 \le i \le t_1)$  is adjacent with the vertex  $u_1$ . If in the graph  $G' = ((G + e_{f(1)}) + e_{f(2)}) + \ldots + e_{f(t_1)}$  there is no vertex of degree less than k then let  $e_{f(1)}, e_{f(2)}, \ldots, e_{f(l)}$  be the new sequence of  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_l$  such that every edge  $e_{f(i)}, (1 \le i \le t_1)$  is adjacent with the vertex  $u_1$  and  $e_{f(t_1)+1}, \ldots, e_{f(l)}$  is the subsequence of  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_l$  such that every edge  $e_{f(i)}, (1 \le i \le t_1)$  is adjacent with the vertex  $u_1$  and  $e_{f(t_1)+1}, \ldots, e_{f(l)}$  is the subsequence of  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_l$  such that any edge  $e_{f(i)}, (t_1 \le i \le l)$  is not adjacent with the vertex  $u_1$ . If in the graph G' there is a vertex of degree less than k

26

then let  $e_{f(t_1+1)}$  be the first edge of  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_l$ , which is not adjacent with the vertex  $u_1$ . Let  $e_{f(t_1+1)} = u_2v_2$  and  $u_2$  be a vertex of G' such that  $d_{G'}(u_2) \geq k$  and  $u_1 \neq u_2$ . Let  $e_{f(t_1+1)}, \ldots, e_{f(t_2)}$  denote edges of  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_l\} - \{e_{f(1)}, \dots, e_{f(t_1)}, e_{f(t_1)+1}\}$  which are adjacent with the vertex  $u_2$ . If in the graph  $G'' = ((G' + e_{f(t_1)+1}) + e_{f(t_1)+2}) + \dots + e_{f(t_2)}$ there is no vertex of degree less than k we form a new sequence of edges of  $E(\overline{G})$ ,  $e_{f(1)}, e_{f(2)}, \ldots, e_{f(l)}$  with the following property:  $e_{f(1)}, \ldots, e_{f(t_1)}$ is a subsequence of  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_l$  such that every edge  $e_{f(i)}, (1 \leq i \leq t_1)$ is adjacent with the vertex  $u_1$  and  $e_{f(t_1)+1}, \ldots, e_{f(t_2)}$  is a subsequence of  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_l$  such that every edge  $e_{f(i)}, (t_1 < i \leq t_2)$  is adjacent with the vertex  $u_2$  and  $e_{f(t_2)+1}, \ldots, e_{f(l)}$  is the subsequence of  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_l$  such that any edge  $e_{f(i)}$ ,  $(t_2 < i \leq l)$  is not adjacent with the vertex  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ . If in the graph G'' there is a vertex of degree less than k, we will repeat this steps until we will obtain a new sequence  $e_{f(1)}, e_{f(2)}, \ldots, e_{f(l)}$  of edges of  $\overline{G}$ . With this sequence of edges  $e_{f(1)}, e_{f(2)}, \ldots, e_{f(l)}$  is related a sequence of vertices  $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_r$ . It is easy to see that  $r \leq k$ , because after k steps there is no vertex of degree less than k. Then for the vertex  $u_t \in \{u_1, \ldots, u_r\}$  we have

(1) 
$$d_G(u_t) + t - 1 - |N_G(u_t) \cap \{u_1, \dots, u_{t-1}\}| \ge k,$$

for the vertex  $x \in V(G) - \{u_1, \ldots, u_r\}$  we have

(2) 
$$d_G(x) + r - |N_G(x) \cap \{u_1, \dots, u_r\}| \ge k.$$

Thus

$$e(G) \ge \sum_{1 \le t \le r} (d_G(u_t) - |N_G(u_t) \cap \{u_1, \dots, u_{t-1}\}|) \\ + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in V(G) - \{u_1, \dots, u_r\}} (d_G(x) - |N_G(x) \cap \{u_1, \dots, u_r\}|) \\ \ge \sum_{1 \le t \le r} (k+1-t) + \frac{1}{2}(n-r)(k-r).$$

The right side of inequality achieves the minimum for r = k. Thus  $e(G) \ge \sum_{1 \le t \le r} (k+1-t) = \frac{1}{2}(k+1)k$ .

On the other hand, the graph  $K_{k+1} \cup (n-k-1)K_1$  is weakly  $\mathcal{S}_k$ -saturated. Thus wsat $(n, \mathcal{S}_k) \leq {\binom{k+1}{2}}$ .

In the next theorem we determine the number  $wsat(n, \mathcal{P})$  for a hereditary property with one forbidden subgraph which is a cycle of odd length.

**Theorem 14.** Let  $k \ge 1$  and  $n \ge 2k + 2$ . If  $\mathcal{P}$  is the hereditary property such that  $F(\mathcal{P}) = \{C_{2k+1}\}$ , then  $wsat(n, \mathcal{P}) = n - 1$ .

**Proof.** Since  $\lambda(\mathcal{P}) = 2$ , by Theorem 3 it follows that every weakly  $\mathcal{P}$ -saturated graph is connected. Then  $\operatorname{wsat}(n, \mathcal{P}) \ge n - 1$ . To prove that the inequality  $\operatorname{wsat}(n, \mathcal{P}) \le n - 1$  holds it is sufficient to show that there is a weakly  $\mathcal{P}$ -saturated graph of order n with n - 1 edges.

Let us show first that  $P_{2k+2}$  is a weakly  $\mathcal{P}$ -saturated graph. Let  $V(P_{2k+2}) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_{2k+2}\}$  and  $d(v_1) = d(v_{2k+2}) = 1$ . It is easy to see that if we add the edge  $v_1v_{2k+1}$  then we obtain a cycle of order 2k + 1. Similarly if we add the edge  $v_2v_{2k+2}$  a new cycle of order 2k + 1 appears. Now we can add the edge  $v_1v_4$ . The edge  $v_1v_4$  belongs to the cycle  $v_1, v_2, v_{2k+2}, v_{2k+1}, \ldots, v_4, v_1$ . To prove that if we add any edge  $v_1v_{2t}$  then a new cycles of order 2k + 1 appears we will use induction on t. This is true for t = 1, 2. When the edges  $v_1v_{2i}$  for i < t are added the vertices  $v_1, v_{2t-2}, v_{2t-3}, \ldots, v_2, v_{2k+2}, v_{2k+1}, \ldots, v_{2t}, v_1$  induce a cycle of order 2k + 1 which contains the edge  $v_1v_{2t}$ . In the same manner, after having added edges  $v_1v_{2i+1}$  for  $k \geq i > t$  we can add the edge  $v_1v_{2t+1}$ . A new cycle  $v_1, v_{2t+3}, \ldots, v_{2k+2}, v_2, \ldots, v_{2t+1}, v_1$  of order 2k + 1 appears. Finally the vertex  $v_1$  with all vertices of  $P_{2k+2}$  is joined. Similarly we can join each vertex  $v_t$  ( $2 \leq t \leq 2k + 2$ ) with all vertices of  $P_{2k+2}$ . Thus we obtain a graph  $K_{2k+2}$ . Hence  $P_{2k+2}$  is a weakly  $\mathcal{P}$ -saturated graph.

Let G be the graph of order  $n \ge 2k+2$  with the following properties: G contains an induced path of order 2k+2, the remaining vertices of G form an independent set and each vertex of this set is adjacent with exactly one vertex of the path. Since the path of order 2k+2 is weakly  $\mathcal{P}$ -saturated, it follows that the graph G is weakly  $\mathcal{P}$ -saturated. Hence weat $(n, \mathcal{P}) \le n-1$ .

In order to determine the number  $wsat(n, \mathcal{P})$  for hereditary property such that  $F(\mathcal{P}) = \{C_{2k}\}$  we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 15.** Let  $k \geq 2$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  be the hereditary property such that  $F(\mathcal{P}) = \{C_{2k}\}$ , and G be a bipartite graph of order  $n \geq 2k + 1$ . Then  $G \notin WSat(n, \mathcal{P})$ .

**Proof.** On the contrary, suppose that there is a weakly  $\mathcal{P}$ -saturated bipartite graph G of order n. Let  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_l$  be a complementary sequence of G. Let  $e_i = xy$  be the first edge of the sequence  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_l$  such that its ends x, y belong to the same colour class of G. (Notice, that the colour classes of G are uniquely determined because of connectivity of G.) Since the edge  $e_i$  belongs to an even cycle  $C_{2k}$  then there is an edge  $e_j, j < i$  of this cycle (and the sequence given above) with both ends in one colour class which is impossible.

**Theorem 16.** Let  $k \ge 2$  and  $n \ge 2k + 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the hereditary property such that  $F(\mathcal{P}) = \{C_{2k}\}$ . Then

$$wsat(n, \mathcal{P}) = n.$$

**Proof.** Let  $G \in WSat(n, \mathcal{P})$ . By Theorem 3 and Lemma 15 it follows that G is connected and contains an odd cycle. Thus  $wsat(n, \mathcal{P}) \ge n$ .

To prove that the inequality  $\operatorname{wsat}(n, \mathcal{P}) \leq n$  holds it is sufficient to show that there is a weakly  $\mathcal{P}$ -saturated graph of order n with n edges. First we prove that  $C_{2k+1}$  is a weakly  $\mathcal{P}$ -saturated graph. Let  $V(C_{2k+1}) =$  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{2k+1}\}$ . It is easy to see that if we add the edge  $v_1v_3$  or the edge  $v_2v_{2k+1}$ , a cycle (containing this edge) of order 2k appears. To prove that if we add any edge  $v_1v_t$  ( $3 \leq t \leq 2k$ ) then we obtain a new cycle of order 2k we use induction on t. This is true for t = 3. After adding edges  $v_1v_i$ for  $3 \leq i < t$  the vertices  $v_1, v_{t-2}, v_{t-3}, \ldots, v_2, v_{2k+1}, v_{2k}, \ldots, v_t, v_1$  induce a cycle of order 2k which contains the edge  $v_1v_t$ . Then the vertex  $v_1$  can be joined with all vertices of  $C_{2k+1}$ . In the similar manner we can show that we can join any vertex  $v_t \in V(C_{2k+1})$  with all vertices of  $C_{2k+1}$ . Hence  $C_{2k+1}$ is weakly  $\mathcal{P}$ -saturated.

Let G be the graph with the following properties: G contains an induced cycle of order 2k + 1, remaining vertices of G form an independent set and each vertex of this set is adjacent with exactly one vertex of the cycle. Since the cycle of order 2k + 1 is weakly  $\mathcal{P}$ - saturated (can be extended to  $K_{2k+1}$ ), it follows that the graph G also has this property, i.e., G is weakly  $\mathcal{P}$ -saturated. Hence wsat $(n, \mathcal{P}) \leq n$ .

### References

- B. Bollobás, Weakly k-saturated graphs, in: H. Sachs, H.-J. Voss and H. Walther, eds, Proc. Beiträge zur Graphentheorie, Manebach, 9–12 May, 1967 (Teubner Verlag, Leipzig, 1968) 25–31.
- [2] P. Erdös, A. Hajnal and J.W. Moon, A Problem in Graph Theory, Amer. Math. Monthly 71 (1964) 1107–1110.
- [3] R. Lick and A. T. White, *k*-degenerated graphs, Canadian J. Math. 22 (1970) 1082–1096.
- [4] P. Mihók, On graphs critical with respect to vertex partition numbers, Discrete Math. 37 (1981) 123–126.

- [5] G. Kalai, Weakly saturated graphs are rigid, Annals of Discrete Math. 20 (1984) 189–190.
- [6] P. Turán, On the Theory of Graphs, Colloq. Math. 3 (1954) 19–30.

Received 20 August 2000 Revised 3 December 2001