Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory 21 (2001) 179–185

VERTEX-DISJOINT STARS IN GRAPHS

Katsuhiro Ota

Department of Mathematics Keio University Yokohama, 223–8522 Japan e-mail: ohta@math.keio.ac.jp

Abstract

In this paper, we give a sufficient condition for a graph to contain vertex-disjoint stars of a given size. It is proved that if the minimum degree of the graph is at least k + t - 1 and the order is at least $(t+1)k + O(t^2)$, then the graph contains k vertex-disjoint copies of a star $K_{1,t}$. The condition on the minimum degree is sharp, and there is an example showing that the term $O(t^2)$ for the number of uncovered vertices is necessary in a sense.

Keywords: stars, vertex-disjoint copies, minimum degree.2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C35, 05C70.

1. Introduction

We consider only undirected graphs without loops or multiple edges. For a graph G, we denote by V(G), E(G) and $\delta(G)$ the vertex set, the edge set and the minimum degree of G, respectively.

For a graph F and a positive integer k, kF denotes the vertex-disjoint union of k copies of F. A spanning subgraph isomorphic to kF for some integer k is called an F-factor. There are several results concerning minimum degree conditions for a graph to have an F-factor for several specific graphs F. The result of Corrádi and Hajnal [3] implies that $\delta(G) \geq \frac{2}{3}|V(G)|$ suffices for the existence of a K_3 -factor. (When we consider an F-factor of a graph G, we always assume that |V(G)| is a multiple of |V(F)|). Dirac [4] generalized this result by showing that if $\delta(G) \geq \frac{1}{2}(|V(G)| + k)$, then G contains k vertex-disjoint triangles for any integer k with $3k \leq |V(G)|$. Enomoto, Kaneko and Tuza [7] proved for $F = P_3$ (the path of order three) that $\delta(G) \geq \frac{1}{3}|V(G)|$ is sufficient for the existence of an F-factor if we assume that G is connected. Hajnal and Szemerédi [8] proved that for $F = K_t \,\delta(G) \geq \frac{t-1}{t}|V(G)|$ suffices. More generally, Alon and Yuster [2] proved an asymptotic result, which states that $\delta(G) \geq \left(\frac{\chi(F)-1}{\chi(F)} + o(1)\right)|V(G)|$ assures the existence of an F-factor, where $\chi(F)$ denotes the chromatic number of F.

On the other hand, if we want to find k vertex-disjoint copies of F in a graph G of order slightly larger than k|F|, and if F admits a $\chi(F)$ -coloring in which some color classes are tiny, then a much weaker condition may guarantee the existence. Komlós [9] (and Alon and Fischer [1] for bipartite case) have proved that the required minimum degree of G is

$$\frac{1}{\chi(F)-1}\left(\chi(F)-2+\frac{\alpha}{|V(F)|}\right)|V(G)|_{\mathcal{H}}$$

where α is the smallest possible color class size in any $\chi(F)$ -coloring of F.

In the case where F is a star $K_{1,t}$, Alon and Yuster's result implies that $\delta(G) \geq (\frac{1}{2} + o(1))|V(G)|$ is sufficient for the existence of a $K_{1,t}$ -factor, and Komlós, Alon and Fischer's result implies that $\delta(G) \geq \frac{1}{t+1}|V(G)|$ is sufficient for the existence of k copies of $K_{1,t}$ if |V(G)| is large. In this paper, we prove the following theorem, in which the required minimum degree of G does not depend on |V(G)|. The proof is given in the next section.

Theorem 1. Let t be an integer with $t \ge 3$. If G is a graph of order $n \ge (t+1)k + 2t^2 - 3t - 1$ with minimum degree at least k + t - 1, then G contains k vertex-disjoint copies of $K_{1,t}$.

The minimum degree condition in the theorem cannot be replaced by any weaker condition even if the order of the graph is assumed much larger. To see this, let H be a t-1 regular graph of order sufficiently large, and let G be obtained from H by adding k-1 new vertices which are joined to all other vertices. Then $\delta(G) = k + t - 2$. Since any $K_{1,t}$ subgraph of G must contain one of the new vertices, G does not contain k vertex-disjoint copies of $K_{1,t}$.

On the other hand, the following example shows that the term $O(t^2)$ for the number of uncovered vertices is necessary. Let $k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_t = k - 1$ so that $|k_i - k_j| \leq 1$ for any *i* and *j*. We define the graph *G* to be the vertexdisjoint union of the complete graphs $K_{(t+1)k_1+t}$, $K_{(t+1)k_2+t}$, \ldots , $K_{(t+1)k_t+t}$. Then, $|V(G)| = (t+1)(k-1) + t^2 = (t+1)k + t^2 - t - 1$ and $\delta(G) = (t+1)\lfloor \frac{k-1}{t} \rfloor + t - 1 \ge k + t - 1$ (if $k \gg t$). However, it is obvious that G contains at most k-1 copies of $K_{1,t}$.

This example suggests that the same conclusion as in Theorem 1 follows if $|V(G)| \ge (t+1)k + t^2 - t$. In fact, it is known to be true for $t \le 3$. The case t = 1 is an easy exercise. The case t = 3 is proved in [6].

Theorem 2 [6]. If G is a graph with $|V(G)| \ge 4k + 6$ and $\delta(G) \ge k + 2$, then G contains k vertex-disjoint copies of $K_{1,3}$.

The case t = 2 can be proved in the following way. We use the following theorem due to Enomoto [5].

Theorem 3 [5]. Let G be a connected graph of order n and $n = n_1 + \cdots + n_k$ with $n_i \ge 2$ $(1 \le i \le k)$. If $\delta(G) \ge k$, then V(G) can be partitioned into V_1, \ldots, V_k so that for each i, $|V_i| = n_i$ and V_i induces a subgraph without isolated vertices.

Corollary 4. Let G be a connected graph of order n, and k be an integer with $3k \leq n$. If

$$\delta(G) \geq \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } n-3k \text{ is even,} \\ k+1, & \text{if } n-3k \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

then G contains k vertex-disjoint copies of P_3 .

Proof. If n-3k is even, then put $n_1 = \cdots = n_{k-1} = 3$ and $n_k = n-3k+3$, and apply Theorem 3. If n-3k is odd, then by deleting one vertex from G so that the resulting graph is connected, we can apply the previous case.

Now we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 5. Let G be a graph of order n with $n \ge 3k + 2$. If $\delta(G) \ge k + 1$, then G contains k vertex-disjoint copies of P_3 .

Proof. If G is connected, or if G has a component of order at least 3k, then the result follows immediately from Corollary 4. Suppose that G is disconnected and each component is order less than 3k. Note that by Corollary 4, each component C of G contains $\lfloor |V(C)|/3 \rfloor$ vertex-disjoint copies of P_3 . Also, since $\delta(G) \geq k + 1$, each component has at least k + 2 vertices.

If G has at least three components, then G contains at least $3\lfloor \frac{k+2}{3} \rfloor \ge k$ copies of P_3 , and we are done. If G consists of two components of orders n_1 and n_2 , then the number of vertex-disjoint copies of P_3 in G is at least $\lfloor \frac{n_1}{3} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{n_2}{3} \rfloor \ge \lceil \frac{n_1+n_2-4}{3} \rceil \ge \lceil \frac{3k+2-4}{3} \rceil = k.$

However, for the general case of the stronger statement, we need more crucial argument than the one used in this paper.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

Let t be an integer with $t \ge 3$, and let G be a graph of order at least $(t+1)k + 2t^2 - 3t - 1$ and minimum degree at least k + t - 1.

We use the following notation and terminology. For $S \subset V(G)$, we write $\langle S \rangle$ for the subgraph of G induced by S. For disjoint vertex sets S and T, we denote the set of edges joining S and T by E(S,T).

We consider a partition $V(G) = X \cup Y \cup Z$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) |X| = (t+1)p and X contains p vertex-disjoint copies of $K_{1,t}$, say C_1 , C_2, \ldots, C_p .
- (b) The vertices of Y can be labelled y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_q so that for each $r \ (1 \le r \le q), |N_G(y_r) \cap Z| \ge rt + (2t 1).$

Note that $X = Y = \emptyset$ and Z = V(G) satisfy the above conditions with p = q = 0. We choose such a partition so that p + q is maximum, and subject to this condition, q is maximum possible.

Claim 1. For any subset $A \subset Z$ with $|A| \leq 2t - 1$, G - X - A contains q vertex-disjoint copies of $K_{1,t}$. In particular, G contains p + q vertex-disjoint copies of $K_{1,t}$.

Proof. By the condition (b), it follows that $|N_G(y_r) \cap (Z - A)| \ge rt + 2t - 1 - |A| \ge rt$ for each $1 \le r \le q$. Therefore we can complete to take q stars in $\langle Y \cup (Z - A) \rangle$ whose centers are y_1, y_2, \dots, y_q , respectively.

Claim 2. For any subset $A \subset Z$ with $|A| \leq 2t - 1$, $\langle X \cup A \rangle$ does not contain p + 1 vertex-disjoint copies of $K_{1,t}$.

Proof. Suppose that $\langle X \cup A \rangle$ contains $(p+1)K_{1,t}$. Then by Claim 1, G contains $(p+q+1)K_{1,t}$. Let $V(G) = X' \cup Y' \cup Z'$ be a partition such that X'

is the set of vertices contained in $(p+q+1)K_{1,t}$ and $Y' = \emptyset$. This partition satisfies the condition (a) and (b), and contradicts the maximality of p+q.

In particular, we have the following.

Claim 3. The maximum degree of $\langle Z \rangle$ is less than t.

Let a be the center of any star C_i in X. If $|N_G(a) \cap Z| \ge tq + 2t - 1$, then we put $X' = X - V(C_i)$, $Y' = Y \cup \{a\}$ with $y_{q+1} = a$, and $Z' = Z \cup (V(C_i) - \{a\})$. Then $\langle X' \rangle$ contains p - 1 vertex-disjoint $K_{1,t}$'s, and $|N_G(y_{q+1}) \cap Z'| = |N_G(a) \cap Z| + t \ge t(q+1) + 2t - 1$. This contradicts the maximality of q. Hence we have

(1)
$$|N_G(a) \cap Z| \le tq + 2t - 2.$$

By a similar argument, for each leaf b of any star C_i in X, we have

$$|N_G(b) \cap Z| \le tq + 3t - 3$$

Claim 4. For each $1 \le i \le p$, $|E(C_i, Z)| \le \max\{tq + t^2 + t - 2, 2t^2 - 2t\}.$

Proof. Let a be the center and b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_t be the leaves of C_i .

Case 1. $|E(a, Z)| \ge t + 1$.

In this case, each b_j is adjacent to at most t-1 vertices in Z. For otherwise, we can take $A \subset N(b_j) \cap Z$ with |A| = t and $z \in N(a) \cap Z - A$ so that $\langle \{b_j\} \cup A \rangle$ and $\langle (V(C_i) - \{b_j\}) \cup \{z\} \rangle$ contain a $K_{1,t}$. This contradicts Claim 2. Hence $|E(b_j, Z)| \leq t - 1$ for all j $(1 \leq j \leq t)$. Then, since $|E(a, Z)| \leq tq + 2t - 2$ by (1),

$$|E(V(C_i), Z)| = |E(a, Z)| + \sum_{j=1}^{t} |E(b_j, Z)|$$

$$\leq tq + 2t - 2 + t(t-1) = tq + t^2 + t - 2$$

Case 2. $1 \le |E(a, Z)| \le t$.

If $|E(b_j, Z)| \ge t + 1$ for some j $(1 \le j \le t)$, then we can take $z \in N(a) \cap Z$ and $A \subset N(b_j) \cap Z - \{z\}$ with |A| = t so that $\langle V(C_i) \cup A \cup \{z\} \rangle$ contains $2K_{1,t}$, a contradiction. Hence $|E(b_j, Z)| \le t$ for all j. Thus, $|E(V(C_i), Z)| \le (t+1)t \le 2t^2 - 2t$, since $t \ge 3$. Case 3. |E(a, Z)| = 0.

If each leaf of C_i is adjacent to at most 2t - 2 vertices in Z, then we have $|E(V(C_i), Z)| \leq 2t^2 - 2t$. Hence we may assume that there exists a vertex b_h $(1 \leq h \leq t)$ with $|E(b_h, Z)| \geq 2t - 1$. If $|E(b_j, Z)| \geq t - 1$ for some j with $j \neq h$, then we can take $A \subset N(b_j) \cap Z$ with |A| = t - 1 and $A' \subset N(b_h) \cap Z - A$ with |A'| = t so that $\langle \{b_h, b_j, a\} \cup A \cup A' \rangle$ contains $2K_{1,t}$'s, a contradiction. Hence $|E(b_j, Z)| \leq t - 2$ for all $j \neq h$. Since $|E(b_h, Z)| \leq tq + 3t - 3$ by (2), we have $|E(V(C_i), Z)| \leq tq + 3t - 3 + (t - 1)(t - 2) < tq + t^2 + t - 2$. This completes the proof of Claim 4.

Now, we shall estimate the number of edges joining X and Z in two ways, by assuming that G does not contain k vertex-disjoint $K_{1,t}$'s. By Claim 3, each vertex in Z is adjacent to at least (k + t - 1) - (t - 1) - q = k - qvertices of X. Hence,

$$|E(X,Z)| \geq (k-q)|Z| = (k-q)(n-(t+1)p-q).$$

On the other hand, it follows from Claim 4 that

$$|E(X,Z)| = \sum_{i=1}^{p} |E(V(C_i),Z)|$$

$$\leq p \cdot \max\{tq + t^2 + t - 2, 2t^2 - 2t\}.$$

If $tq + t^2 + t - 2 \ge 2t^2 - 2t$, or equivalently if $q \ge t - 2$, then

$$(k-q)(n-(t+1)p-q) \leq |E(X,Z)| \leq p(tq+t^2+t-2),$$

and hence

$$(k-q)(n-q) \leq p((t+1)k+t^2+t-2-q)$$

By Claim 1, we may assume that $p + q \le k - 1$. Thus the above inequality implies that

$$(k-q)(n-q) \leq (k-1-q)((t+1)k+t^2+t-2-q)$$

and hence

$$n \leq (t+1)k + t^{2} + t - 2 - \frac{(t+1)k + t^{2} + t - 2 - q}{k-q}$$

= $(t+1)k + 2t^{2} - 3t - 1 - \left(t^{2} - 4t + 3 + \frac{(t-1)k + t^{2} + t - 2 + q}{k-q}\right)$
< $(t+1)k + 2t^{2} - 3t - 1.$

This contradicts the assumption that $n \ge (t+1)k + 2t^2 - 3t - 1$. If $q \le t - 3$, then since $tq + t^2 + t - 2 < 2t^2 - 2t$,

$$(k-q)(n-(t+1)p-q) \leq |E(X,Z)| \leq p(2t^2-2t),$$

and hence

$$(k-q)(n-q) \leq p((t+1)(k-q)+2t^2-2t)$$

Since $p + q \leq k - 1$,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (k-q)(n-q) &\leq & (k-1-q)((t+1)(k-q)+2t^2-2t),\\ n &\leq & (t+1)k+2t^2-3t-1-tq-\frac{2t^2-2t}{k-q}\\ &< & (t+1)k+2t^2-3t-1. \end{array}$$

This is a contradiction.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

References

- N. Alon and E. Fischer, Refining the graph density condition for the existence of almost K-factors, Ars Combin. 52 (1999) 296–308.
- [2] N. Alon and R. Yuster, *H*-Factors in dense graphs, J. Combin. Theory (B) 66 (1996) 269–282.
- [3] K. Corrádi and A. Hajnal, On the maximal number of independent circuits in a graph, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hunger. 14 (1963) 423–443.
- [4] G.A. Dirac, On the maximal number of independent triangle in graphs, Abh. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 26 (1963) 78–82.
- [5] H. Enomoto, Graph decompositions without isolated vertices, J. Combin. Theory (B) 63 (1995) 111–124.
- [6] Y. Egawa and K. Ota, Vertex-disjoint claws in graphs, Discrete Math. 197/198 (1999) 225-246.
- [7] H. Enomoto, A. Kaneko and Zs. Tuza, P₃-factors and covering cycles in graphs of minimum degree n/3, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai 52 (1987) 213–220.
- [8] A. Hajnal and E. Szemerédi, Proof of a conjecture of P. Erdős, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai 4 (1970) 601–623.
- [9] J. Komlós, Tiling Turán theorems, Combinatorica 20 (2000) 203–218.

Received 27 September 2000 Revised 19 March 2001