

A NOTE ON KERNELS AND SOLUTIONS IN DIGRAPHS

MATÚŠ HARMINC

Department of Geometry and Algebra
Faculty of Science, P.J. Šafárik University
Jesenná 5, 041 54 Košice, Slovakia

e-mail: harminc@duro.upjs.sk

AND

ROMAN SOTÁK

Center of applied informatics
Faculty of Science, P.J. Šafárik University
Park Angelinum 9, 041 54 Košice, Slovakia

Abstract

For given nonnegative integers k, s an upper bound on the minimum number of vertices of a strongly connected digraph with exactly k kernels and s solutions is presented.

Keywords: kernel of digraph, solution of digraph.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C20.

Kernels (solutions) are vertex subsets of digraphs that are studied in [2, 3, 5, 8]. The decision problem of the existence of a kernel in a digraph is known to be NP-complete (see e.g. the book [4]). The number of kernels (solutions) was investigated in the papers [1, 7, 9]. In [6] the first author has shown that for given nonnegative integers k, s there are infinitely many pairwise nonisomorphic strongly connected digraphs with no pair of opposite arcs that have exactly k kernels and s solutions. An upper bound on the minimum number of vertices of those digraphs is also presented there. In the following a better upper bound is established.

1. PRELIMINARIES

An ordered pair $D = (V, A)$ is said to be a *digraph* whenever V is a non-empty set (vertices of D) and A (arcs of D) is a subset of the set of ordered pairs of elements V such that $\overrightarrow{vv} \notin A$ for each $v \in V$, and if $u, v \in V$ then $\overrightarrow{uv} \in A$ implies $\overrightarrow{vu} \notin A$.

A set of vertices $W \subseteq V$ is called *independent* if for every pair of vertices $u, v \in W$ neither \overrightarrow{uv} nor \overrightarrow{vu} is present in the digraph. $W \subseteq V$ is *absorbent* if for each $u \in V - W$ there exists $\overrightarrow{uw} \in A$ with $w \in W$ and *dominant* if for each $v \in V - W$ there exists $\overrightarrow{uv} \in A$ with $u \in W$. A set $W \subseteq V$ is a *kernel* of D if W is independent and absorbent and W is a *solution* of D if W is independent and dominant.

As usual, a digraph is *strongly connected*, if for every $u, v \in V$ there exists a sequence $ua_1, a_1a_2, a_2a_3, \dots, a_kv$ in A . Let \mathcal{G} denote the class of all finite strongly connected digraphs.

2. RESULTS

Let $\mathcal{G}_{(k,s)}$ denote the set of all strongly connected digraphs with k kernels and s solutions. It is known (see [6], Theorem 2.6) that the set $\mathcal{G}_{(k,s)}$ is infinite whenever k and s are nonnegative integers. A digraph belonging to $\mathcal{G}_{(k,s)}$ with the minimum number of vertices is called a *minimum digraph* of $\mathcal{G}_{(k,s)}$. The number of vertices of a minimum digraph of $\mathcal{G}_{(k,s)}$ will be denoted by $k \star s$. The following assertions were proved:

Proposition 1 ([6] 1.1, 1.2, 2.7). *Let k, s be nonnegative integers. Then*

- (i) $k \star s = s \star k$,
- (ii) $0 \star 0 = 3, \quad 0 \star 1 = 1 \star 0 = 5, \quad 0 \star 2 = 2 \star 0 = 6$,
- (iii) $1 \star 1 = 2 \star 2 = 4, \quad 1 \star 2 = 2 \star 1 = 5$,
- (iv) *if $k > 1$ then $k \star 0 \leq 4k$ and $k \star 1 \leq 4k + 1$, and*
- (v) $k \star s \leq 4(k + s) - 7$ *whenever $k > 1$ and $s > 1$.*

Let k, s be positive integers. By part (i) of the previous proposition $k \leq s$ can be supposed without loss of generality. Define a digraph $D_{(k,s)}$ as follows. Denote by T and U two disjoint copies of an acyclic tournament with s vertices such that t_1, t_2, \dots, t_s are the vertices of T , u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s are the vertices of U , $\overrightarrow{t_i t_j}$ (resp. $\overrightarrow{u_i u_j}$) are the arcs of T (of U) for $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$ whenever $i < j$. Take T, U , two new vertices v, w and add the following arcs: $\overrightarrow{t_i u_j}$ and $\overrightarrow{u_i t_j}$ for $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$ whenever $i > j$,

$\overrightarrow{t_i v}, \overrightarrow{v u_i}, \overrightarrow{u_i w}$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$,
 $\overrightarrow{w t_i}$ for $i \leq k$, $\overrightarrow{t_i w}$ for $k < i \leq s$ and $\overrightarrow{w v}$.

Proposition 2. *The digraph $D_{(k,s)}$ belongs to $\mathcal{G}_{(k,s)}$ whenever k, s are positive integers.*

Proof. $D_{(k,s)}$ has a hamiltonian cycle (for instance $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{s-1}, t_s, v, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{s-1}, u_s, w, t_1$), thus it is strongly connected. Since no vertex of the digraph $D_{(k,s)}$ creates absorbent (dominant) set then every kernel (solution) of $D_{(k,s)}$ contains at least two vertices. On the other hand no triple of vertices of $D_{(k,s)}$ is independent. Thus any kernel (solution) must contain exactly two vertices. But if $\{x, y\}$ is an independent subset of the vertex set of $D_{(k,s)}$ then there exists $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$ such that $x = t_i, y = u_i$ or $x = u_i, y = t_i$. It is easy to check that S is a solution of $D_{(k,s)}$ if and only if $S = \{t_i, u_i\}$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$ and K is a kernel of $D_{(k,s)}$ if and only if $K = \{t_i, u_i\}$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. ■

Corollary. *Let k, s be positive integers. Then $k \star s \leq 2 \cdot \max\{k, s\} + 2$.*

Proof. By the previous proposition it suffices to take the digraph $D_{(k,s)}$ having $\max\{k, s\} + 2$ vertices. Therefore the number of the vertices of a minimum digraph of $\mathcal{G}_{(k,s)}$ is at most $2 \cdot \max\{k, s\} + 2$. ■

Remark. The upper bound of $k \star s$ above is sharp in the case $k = s = 1$ and also if $k = 0, s = 2$. On the contrary it is not attained for $k = 0$ and $s \in \{0, 1\}$. The new bound improved the bound from (v) in Proposition 1 in all cases where $k > 1, s > 1$ or $k = 1, s > 2$ or $k > 2, s = 1$.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Behzad and F. Harary, *Which directed graphs have a solution?*, Math. Slovaca **27** (1977) 37–42.
- [2] V.V. Belov, E.M. Vorobjov and V.E. Shatalov, *Graph Theory*, Vysshaja Shkola, Moskva, 1976. (Russian)
- [3] C. Berge, *Graphs and Hypergraphs* (Dunod, Paris, 1970). (French)
- [4] M.R. Garey and D.S. Johnson, *Computers and Intractability, A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness* (Freeman, San Francisco, 1979).
- [5] F. Harary, R.Z. Norman and D. Cartwright, *Structural Models* (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York – London – Sydney, 1965).

- [6] M. Harminc, *Kernel and solution numbers of digraphs*, Acta Univ. M. Belii **6** (1998) 15–20.
- [7] M. Harminc and T. Olejnikova, *Binary operations on digraphs and solutions*, Slovak, Zb. ved. prac, VŠT, Košice (1984) 29–42.
- [8] L. Lovasz, *Combinatorial Problems and Exercises* (Akademiai Kiado, Budapest, 1979).
- [9] R.G. Nigmatullin, *The largest number of kernels in graphs with n vertices*, Kazan. Gos. Univ. Učen. Zap. **130** (1970) kn.3, 75–82. (Russian)

Received 2 February 1999

Revised 29 October 1999