# MINIMAL REDUCIBLE BOUNDS FOR HOM-PROPERTIES OF GRAPHS

Amelie Berger and Izak Broere

Department of Mathematics Rand Afrikaans University P.O. Box 524, Auckland Park 2006 South Africa

e-mail: abe@raua.rau.ac.za e-mail: ib@na.rau.ac.za

#### Abstract

Let H be a fixed finite graph and let  $\to H$  be a hom-property, i.e. the set of all graphs admitting a homomorphism into H. We extend the definition of  $\to H$  to include certain infinite graphs H and then describe the minimal reducible bounds for  $\to H$  in the lattice of additive hereditary properties and in the lattice of hereditary properties.

**Keywords:** graph homomorphisms, minimal reducible bounds, additive hereditary graph property.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C15, 05C55, 06B05.

## 1. Definitions

In general we follow the notation and terminology of [1]. Denote by  $\mathcal{I}$  the set of all finite undirected simple graphs. Any isomorphism-closed subset  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $\mathcal{I}$  is called a *property* of graphs. A property  $\mathcal{P}$  is hereditary if whenever a graph G is in  $\mathcal{P}$ , then all subgraphs of G are also in  $\mathcal{P}$ . A property  $\mathcal{P}$  is additive if whenever graphs G and H are in  $\mathcal{P}$ , then their disjoint union, denoted by  $G \cup H$ , is in  $\mathcal{P}$  too. When partially ordered under set inclusion, the poset of all additive hereditary properties forms a complete distributive lattice, which we will denote by  $\mathbb{L}^a$ . We use  $\mathbb{L}$  to denote the lattice of hereditary properties. A property is called non-trivial if it contains at least one non-null graph and it is not equal to  $\mathcal{I}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, ..., \mathcal{P}_n$  be any properties of graphs. A vertex  $(\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, ..., \mathcal{P}_n)$ partition of a graph G is a partition  $(V_1, V_2, ..., V_n)$  of V(G) such that for

each i = 1, 2, ..., n, the induced subgraph  $G[V_i]$  has the property  $\mathcal{P}_i$ . Any of the  $V_i$  may be empty. The property  $\mathcal{P}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}_2 \circ ... \circ \mathcal{P}_n$  is defined as the set of all graphs having a vertex  $(\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, ..., \mathcal{P}_n)$ -partition. If  $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, ..., \mathcal{P}_n$  are all (additive) hereditary properties, then  $\mathcal{P}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}_2 \circ ... \circ \mathcal{P}_n$  is an (additive) hereditary property too. For convenience, we will write  $\mathcal{P}_1 \circ \mathcal{P}_2 \circ ... \circ \mathcal{P}_n$  as  $\mathcal{P}_1 \mathcal{P}_2 ... \mathcal{P}_n$ , omitting the binary operation symbol.

An additive hereditary property  $\mathcal{R}$  is called reducible in  $\mathbb{L}^a$  if there exist non-trivial properties  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  in  $\mathbb{L}^a$  such that  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}$ . Otherwise  $\mathcal{R}$  is called irreducible. A reducible property  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathbb{L}^a$  is called a minimal reducible bound for property  $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbb{L}^a$  if  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$  and there is no reducible property  $\mathcal{R}_1$  satisfying  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{R}_1 \subsetneq \mathcal{R}$ . From this definition, each reducible property is the unique minimal reducible bound for itself. We use the symbol  $\mathbf{B}(\mathcal{P})$  to denote the class of all minimal reducible bounds for property  $\mathcal{P}$ . We do not know whether a minimal reducible bound exists for every property  $\mathcal{P}$ , and  $\mathbf{B}(\mathcal{P})$  is known for only a few properties  $\mathcal{P}$ . Similar definitions hold in  $\mathbb{L}$ .

Given any  $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbb{L}^a$  (or in  $\mathbb{L}$ ), we define the class of all  $\mathcal{P}$ -maximal graphs by  $\mathbf{M}(\mathcal{P}) = \{G \in \mathcal{P} : G + e \notin \mathcal{P} \text{ for any } e \in E(\overline{G})\}$ .  $\mathbf{M}(\mathcal{P})$  determines  $\mathcal{P}$  in the sense that  $H \in \mathcal{P}$  iff there exists some  $\mathcal{P}$ -maximal graph G such that  $H \subseteq G$ .

A homomorphism from a graph G to a graph H is a mapping f of the vertex set V(G) to the vertex set V(H) which preserves edges, i.e. if  $\{u,v\} \in E(G)$ , then  $\{f(u),f(v)\} \in E(H)$ . We say that G is homomorphic to H if there exists a homomorphism from G to H, and we write  $G \to H$ . If  $G \to H$ , then  $\chi(G) \leq \chi(H)$ . If H is a finite graph, then the hom-property generated by H is the set  $H = \{G \in \mathcal{I} : G \to H\}$ . Note that H is an additive hereditary property for any  $H \in \mathcal{I}$ .

In Section 2 we summarise some fundamental properties of homproperties. In Section 3 we extend the definition of hom-properties to include  $\to H$  where H may be an infinite union of finite graphs. We then describe  $\mathbf{B}(\to H)$  in the lattice  $\mathbb{L}^a$  in Section 4 and consider some applications of these results in Section 5. Section 6 describes  $\mathbf{B}(\to H)$  in the lattice  $\mathbb{L}$ .

## 2. Fundamental Properties of Hom-Properties

Given a graph G, a core of G is any subgraph G' of G such that  $G \to G'$ , and such that G is not homomorphic to any proper subgraph of G'. Every graph G has a unique core up to isomorphism (see [2]) which is denoted by C(G). If G = C(G), i.e. if G is not homomorphic to any of its proper subgraphs, then we call G a core. Since any graph homomorphic to G is

also homomorphic to C(G), and any element of  $\to C(G)$  is in  $\to G$ , we have that  $\to G = \to C(G)$ . Hence, given any hom-property, we can assume it is of the form  $\to H$  where H is a core.

The  $(\rightarrow H)$ -maximal graphs are known and described in [4]:

Given any  $G \in \mathcal{I}$ , with  $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_n\}$ , its multiplications  $G^{::}$  are defined as follows:

- 1.  $V(G^{::}) = W_1 \cup W_2 \cup ... \cup W_n$ ,
- 2. for each  $1 \leq i \leq n, |W_i| \geq 1$ ,
- 3. for any pair  $1 \le i < j \le n, W_i \cap W_j = \emptyset$ ,
- 4. The only edges of  $G^{::}$  are all the edges of the form  $\{u, v\}$  where  $u \in W_i, v \in W_j$  and  $\{v_i, v_j\} \in E(G)$ .

Thus each vertex  $v_i$  of G is replaced by a non-empty set of vertices  $W_i$  (also denoted by  $v_i^{::}$ ) and if  $u \in W_i, v \in W_j$ , then u and v are adjacent in  $G^{::}$  iff  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  are adjacent in G.  $W_1, W_2, ..., W_n$  are independent sets called the *multivertices* of  $G^{::}$ . We also write  $G^{::}$  as  $G^{::}(W_1, W_2, ..., W_n)$  to emphasize its structure, and  $G^{::}(k)$  for  $G^{::}(W_1, W_2, ..., W_n)$  if  $|W_i| = k$  for each i = 1, 2, ..., n. By mapping all the vertices in  $W_i$  to  $v_i$  for each i = 1, 2, ..., n, it is readily seen that  $G^{::} \to G$ , i.e.  $G^{::} \in \to G$  and that  $C(G^{::}) = G$  if G is a core.

Kratochvíl, Mihók and Semanišin proved in [4] that every  $(\to H)$ -maximal graph is a multiplication of a subgraph of H that is itself a core. Thus for every  $(\to H)$ -maximal graph G, there exists an integer  $k \ge 1$  such that G is contained in  $H^{::}(k)$ .

The following lemma describes properties of hom-properties that will be used often in what follows. We use the notation H + G for the *join* of two graphs H and G, i.e. for the graph obtained from  $H \cup G$  by adding all edges joining vertices of H to vertices of G. A graph that is the join of two non-nul graphs is called decomposable, while a graph that is not decomposable is called indecomposable.

**Lemma 1.** 1.  $\rightarrow K_1$  is the set of all edgeless graphs, also denoted by  $\mathcal{O}$ . We have  $\rightarrow K_1 = \rightarrow H$  for any edgeless graph H, since  $C(H) = K_1$ .

- 2.  $\rightarrow K_2$  is the set of all bipartite graphs and  $\rightarrow K_2 = \rightarrow H$  for any graph H with chromatic number 2, since  $C(H) = K_2$ .
- 3. For any graphs H and  $G, \rightarrow (H+G) = (\rightarrow H)(\rightarrow G)$  (see [3]).
- 4.  $\to H$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{L}^a$  iff H is indecomposable (see [3]).
- 5. For any graphs H and  $G, \to H \subseteq \to G$  iff  $H \to G$  iff  $H \in \to G$  (see [2]).

#### 3. The Hom-Property $\rightarrow H$ for Infinite H

Although each hom-property is an additive hereditary property and is thus an element of the complete lattice  $\mathbb{L}^a$ , the hom-properties do not form a complete sublattice of  $\mathbb{L}^a$ . For example  $\vee \{ \to R : R \text{ is a triangle-free core} \}$  cannot be a hom-property: If  $\vee \{ \to R : R \text{ is a triangle-free core} \} = \to H$  for some graph H, then  $\to R \subseteq \to H$  for each triangle-free core R. This would imply that  $\chi(R) \leq \chi(H)$  for each triangle-free core R, which is not true, since triangle-free graphs of arbitrarily high chromatic number can be constructed.

To enable the supremum and infimum (intersection) of an arbitrary set of hom-properties to again be a hom-property, we extend the definition of hom-properties by including  $\to H$ , where H is any union of finite graphs. For such a graph H we define  $\to H$  by  $\to H = \{G \in \mathcal{I} : G \to H\}$ , i.e.  $\to H$  is the set of all *finite* graphs admitting a homomorphism into H. Since the set of all finite graphs is countable, and since only one copy of each connected component of H is sufficient, we can always assume that H is a countable union of finite cores and that these cores are pairwise non-isomorphic. Unlike in the case where H is finite, H itself need no longer have a core e.g.  $K_1 \cup K_2 \cup K_3 \cup ...$  has no core, and H need not have a finite chromatic number.

Extending the definition of hom-properties to allow  $\to H$  where H is either finite or a countable union of finite graphs makes the hom-properties a complete sublattice of  $\mathbb{L}^a$ , i.e. the supremum and infimum of any set of hom-properties is again a hom-property, as the following two results show.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\{H_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A\}$  be a set of graphs, each of which is finite or a countable union of finite graphs. Then  $\vee \{ \rightarrow H_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A \} = \rightarrow (\cup \{H_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A\})$ .

**Proof.** In the lattice  $\mathbb{L}^a$ ,  $\vee \{ \to H_\alpha : \alpha \in A \}$  is the least additive hereditary property which contains each  $\to H_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ . We show that  $\to (\cup \{ H_\alpha : \alpha \in A \})$  satisfies this.

Clearly, if  $G \in \to H_{\alpha}$  for any  $\alpha \in A$ , then  $G \in \to (\cup \{H_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A\})$ . Therefore  $\to H_{\alpha} \subseteq \to (\cup \{H_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A\})$  for each  $\alpha \in A$ .

Now suppose that  $\to H_{\alpha} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$  for each  $\alpha \in A$ , for some property  $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbb{L}^a$ . We show that  $\to (\cup \{H_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A\}) \subseteq \mathcal{P} : \text{Let } G \in \to (\cup \{H_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A\})$ . By definition, G is finite, and hence there is a homomorphism from G to a finite union of  $H_{\alpha}$ 's, say  $G \in \to H_1 \cup H_2 \cup ... \cup H_n$ . Since each connected component of G is homomorphically mapped to exactly one  $H_i$ , G has a decomposition  $G = G_1 \cup G_2 \cup ... \cup G_n$ , such that  $G_i \to H_i$ , for i = 1, 2, ..., n. But then we have  $G_i \in \to H_i \in \mathcal{P}$  for i = 1, 2, ..., n. As each  $G_i$  is in  $\mathcal{P}$ , by the additivity of  $\mathcal{P}$ , G is in  $\mathcal{P}$  too.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\{H_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A\}$  be a set of graphs, each of which is finite or a countable union of finite graphs. Then  $\land \{ \rightarrow H_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A \} = \rightarrow (\cup \{R : R \text{ is a core contained in a multiplication of a finite subgraph of } H_{\alpha} \text{ for each } \alpha \in A\}$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $G \in \cap \{ \to H_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A \}$ . Then  $G \to C(G)$  and  $C(G) \in \cap \{ \to H_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A \}$ . Then for each  $\alpha \in A, C(G) \in \to H_{\alpha}$  and so C(G) is contained in a multiplication of a finite subgraph of  $H_{\alpha}$ . So we have  $G \in \to C(G) \subseteq \to (\cup \{R : R \text{ is a core contained in a multiplication of a finite subgraph of <math>H_{\alpha}$  for each  $\alpha \in A \}$ ).

Conversely, suppose  $G \in \to (\cup \{R : R \text{ is a core contained in a multiplication of a finite subgraph of } H_{\alpha} \text{ for each } \alpha \in A\})$ . Then there exists a homomorphism  $f: G \to (\cup \{R : R \text{ is a core contained in a multiplication of a finite subgraph of } H_{\alpha} \text{ for each } \alpha \in A\})$ . Consider any connected component K of G: It is mapped by f to one of these cores, say R. By the definition of R,  $R \in \cap \{\to H_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A\}$  and so  $K \in \to R \subseteq \cap \{\to H_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A\}$ . But then  $\cap \{\to H_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A\}$  is an additive property containing each connected component of G and we conclude that G itself is in  $\cap \{\to H_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A\}$ .

# 4. Minimal Reducible Bounds for $\to H$ in $\mathbb{L}^a$

In this section we describe the set of all minimal reducible bounds for  $\to H$  in the lattice  $\mathbb{L}^a$ , first dealing with the case where H is finite, and then with the infinite case. The following lemma and its corollary are useful for both cases.

**Lemma 4.** Let H be a finite core or a countable union of finite cores. If  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  are non-trivial properties in  $\mathbb{L}$  with  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$  such that  $\to H \subseteq \mathcal{PQ}$  then there exists a partition  $(V_1, V_2)$  of V(H) with  $V_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $V_2 \neq \emptyset$  such that  $\to H \subseteq (\to H[V_1])(\to H[V_2]) \subseteq \mathcal{PQ}$  and  $\to H[V_1] \subseteq \mathcal{P}$  and  $\to H[V_2] \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ .

**Proof.** First suppose that H is finite and let  $V(H) = \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_n\}$ . We will show that there exists a partition  $(V_1, V_2)$  of V(H) with  $V_1 \neq \emptyset$  and

 $V_2 \neq \emptyset$  such that  $H[V_1]^{::}(k) \in \mathcal{P}$  for all  $k \geq 1$  and  $H[V_2]^{::}(k) \in \mathcal{Q}$  for all  $k \geq 1$ . Then all maximal elements of  $\to H[V_1]$  are in  $\mathcal{P}$  and so  $\to H[V_1] \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ , and similarly  $\to H[V_2] \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ .

Fix  $k \geq 1$ . Since  $H^{::}(2k-1) \in \to H \subseteq \mathcal{PQ}$ ,  $H^{::}(2k-1)$  has a  $(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})$ -partition. For each i=1,2,...,n,  $v_i^{::}(2k-1)$  has at least k vertices in the  $\mathcal{P}$  part or at least k vertices in the  $\mathcal{Q}$  part. By deleting k-1 vertices from each  $v_i^{::}(2k-1)$ , we can ensure that the remaining  $v_i^{::}(k)$  is completely in the  $\mathcal{P}$  part or completely in the  $\mathcal{Q}$  part. We can also ensure that neither the  $\mathcal{P}$  nor the  $\mathcal{Q}$  part is empty: One of the  $v_i^{::}(k)$  can be moved to the empty part if necessary.

We now have disjoint sets  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  such that  $I_1 \cup I_2 = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$  and  $(\{v : v \in v_i^{::}(k), i \in I_1\}, \{v : v \in v_i^{::}(k), i \in I_2\})$  forms a  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$  partition of  $H^{::}(k)$ .

Since  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  are hereditary properties, each such pair  $(I_1, I_2)$  induces a  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -partition of  $H^{::}(r)$  for each  $r \leq k$ ,with each  $v_i^{::}(r)$  entirely in the  $\mathcal{P}$  part or entirely in the  $\mathcal{Q}$  part. Since there are only finitely many partitions  $(I_1, I_2)$  of  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ , there exists a pair  $(I_1^*, I_2^*)$  which serves for infinitely many values of k, and hence for every value of k. Let  $V_1 = \{v_i \in V(H) : i \in I_1^*\}$  and  $V_2 = \{v_i \in V(H) : i \in I_2^*\}$ . Then  $H[V_1]^{::}(k) \in \mathcal{P}$  for all  $k \geq 1$  and  $H[V_2]^{::}(k) \in \mathcal{Q}$  for all  $k \geq 1$ .

Suppose now that H is a countable union of finite graphs,  $H = H_1 \cup H_2 \cup ....$  Denote by  $G_n$  the graph  $H_1 \cup H_2 \cup .... \cup H_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , and let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the set of all  $G_n$  i.e.  $\mathcal{G} = \{ G_n : n \geq 1 \}$ .

For each  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\to G_n \subseteq \mathcal{PQ}$  and so by the finite case above, there exists a partition  $(W_1^n, W_2^n)$  of  $V(G_n)$  with neither part empty such that  $\to G_n[W_1^n] \subseteq \mathcal{P}$  and  $\to G_n[W_2^n] \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ . Restricted to  $V(H_1)$ , each  $(W_1^n, W_2^n)$  induces a partition of  $V(H_1)$  such that  $\to H_1[W_1^n] \subseteq \mathcal{P}$  and  $\to H_1[W_2^n] \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ . Since  $V(H_1)$  has only finitely many partitions, there exists a partition of  $V(H_1)$  with these properties induced by infinitely many  $(W_1^n, W_2^n)$ . Call this partition  $(V_1^1, V_2^1)$  and note that  $\to H_1[V_1^1] \subseteq \mathcal{P}$  and  $\to H_1[V_2^1] \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ .

Now delete from  $\mathcal{G}$  all those  $G_n$  whose corresponding  $(W_1^n, W_2^n)$  do not induce  $(V_1^1, V_2^1)$  and call the resulting set  $\mathcal{G}'$ . Suppose that  $i \geq 2$  is the least integer such that  $G_i$  is in  $\mathcal{G}'$ . For each  $n \geq i$  for which  $G_n \in \mathcal{G}'$ , the partition  $(W_1^n, W_2^n)$  of  $V(G_n)$  restricted to  $V(G_i)$  induces a partition of  $V(G_i)$ . Since  $V(G_i)$  has only finitely many partitions, there exists a partition of  $V(G_i)$  induced by infinitely many  $(W_1^n, W_2^n)$ . This partition of  $V(G_i)$  induces  $(V_1^1, V_2^1)$  in  $V(H_1)$ . Label the partitions induced by this partition of  $V(G_i)$  in  $V(H_2)$ ,  $V(H_3)$ , ...,  $V(H_i)$  by  $(V_1^2, V_2^2)(V_1^3, V_2^3)$ , ...,  $(V_1^i, V_2^i)$ , respectively. For each k = 1, 2, ..., i we have  $\to H_k[V_1^k] \subseteq \mathcal{P}$  and  $\to H_k[V_2^k] \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ .

We now repeat the procedure: delete from  $\mathcal{G}'$  all those  $G_n$  whose corresponding  $(W_1^n, W_2^n)$  do not induce  $(V_1^1, V_2^1), (V_1^2, V_2^2), ..., (V_1^i, V_2^i)$  and call the resulting set  $\mathcal{G}''$ . If  $j \geq i+1$  is the least integer such that  $G_j \in \mathcal{G}''$ , choose a partition of  $V(G_j)$  that is induced by infinitely many of the  $(W_1^n, W_2^n)$  which satisfy  $G_n \in \mathcal{G}''$ , etc.

Following this procedure, we obtain for each  $n \geq 1$  a partition  $(V_1^n, V_2^n)$  of  $V(H_n)$  which satisfies  $\to H_n[V_1^n] \subseteq \mathcal{P}$  and  $\to H_n[V_2^n] \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ . With  $V_1 = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} V_1^n$  and  $V_2 = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} V_2^n$ , we have a partition of V(H). If either  $V_1$  or  $V_2$  is empty, move an arbitrary vertex into this set. By the construction of  $V_1$  and  $V_2, \to H[V_1] \subseteq \mathcal{P}$  and  $\to H[V_2] \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ .

**Corollary 5.** Let H be a finite core or a countable union of finite cores. If  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  are non-trivial properties in  $\mathbb{L}^a$  such that  $\to H \subseteq \mathcal{PQ}$  then there exists a partition  $(V_1, V_2)$  of V(H) with  $V_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $V_2 \neq \emptyset$  such that  $\to H \subseteq (\to H[V_1])(\to H[V_2]) \subseteq \mathcal{PQ}$  and  $\to H[V_1] \subseteq \mathcal{P}$  and  $\to H[V_2] \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ .

We can now describe the minimal reducible bounds for the hom-properties in  $\mathbb{L}^a$ .

### 4.1. Finite H

Let H be a finite core such that  $\to H$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{L}^a$  (i.e. H is indecomposable). Let  $\mathbf{H}$  be the set of all hom-properties  $\to C_1 + C_2 = (\to C_1)(\to C_2)$  formed as follows:

For each partition  $(V_1, V_2)$  of V(H) with  $V_1 \neq \emptyset$ ,  $V_2 \neq \emptyset$ , let  $C_1 = C(H[V_1])$  and  $C_2 = C(H[V_2])$ .

**Lemma 6.** 
$$\rightarrow H \subseteq \rightarrow C_1 + C_2$$
 for each  $\rightarrow C_1 + C_2 \in \mathbf{H}$ .

**Proof.** This will follow if we can show that there is a homomorphism from H to  $C_1 + C_2$ . By the definition of  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , there exist homomorphisms  $f_1: V_1 \to V(C_1)$  and  $f_2: V_2 \to V(C_2)$ . Define  $f: V(H) \to V(C_1 + C_2)$  by  $f(x) = f_i(x)$  if  $x \in V_i$ , i = 1, 2.

Since H is a finite graph, the set  $\mathbf{H}$  is finite and thus minimal elements (under inclusion of properties) exist. These minimal elements of  $\mathbf{H}$  are precisely all the minimal reducible bounds of  $\to H$ , i.e. they form  $\mathbf{B}(\to H)$ .

Theorem 7.  $\mathbf{B}(\to H) = \mathrm{Min}_{\subset} \mathbf{H}$ .

**Proof.** We must show that if there are non-trivial properties  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  in  $\mathbb{L}^a$  such that  $\to H \subset \mathcal{PQ}$ , then there exists a  $\to C_1 + C_2 \in \mathbf{H}$  such that  $\to H \subset \to C_1 + C_2 \subseteq \mathcal{PQ}$ . This follows immediately by Corollary 5: there exists a  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$  partition  $(V_1, V_2)$  of V(H) with  $V_1 \neq \emptyset$ ,  $V_2 \neq \emptyset$  such that  $\to H \subseteq \to H[V_1] \to H[V_2] \subseteq \mathcal{PQ}$ , and so  $\to H \subseteq (\to C(H[V_1])) \subset \mathcal{PQ}$ .

All the minimal reducible bounds in  $\mathbb{L}^a$  for a hom-property  $\to H$ , where H is finite, can thus be found by forming the finite set  $\mathbf{H}$  (by considering all partitions  $(V_1, V_2)$  of V(H) with  $V_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $V_2 \neq \emptyset$ , and then forming the hom-properties  $\to (C(H[V_1]) + C(H[V_2]))$  and then determining which of these reducible properties are minimal under inclusion.

### 4.2. Infinite H

We now consider minimal reducible bounds in  $\mathbb{L}^a$  for an irreducible  $\to H$ , where H is an infinite union of finite cores. By Corollary 5, if a minimal reducible bounds exists for such a  $\to H$ , it is of the same form as in the finite case, i.e. it has the form  $(\to H[V_1])(\to H[V_2])$  for some partition  $(V_1, V_2)$  of V(H) with  $V_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $V_2 \neq \emptyset$ . We can again form the set  $\mathbf{H}$  for an infinite graph H,  $\mathbf{H} = \{(\to H[V_1])(\to H[V_2]) : (V_1, V_2) \text{ is a partition of } V(H) \text{ and } V_1 \neq \emptyset, V_2 \neq \emptyset\}$  and clearly  $\to H \subseteq (\to H[V_1])(\to H[V_2])$  for each  $(\to H[V_1])(\to H[V_2])$  in  $\mathbf{H}$ . However  $\mathbf{H}$  will now be an infinite set and the existence of minimal elements is no longer trivial. In the following theorem we show that  $\mathbf{H}$  has minimal elements and that every element of  $\mathbf{H}$  contains a minimal element. These minimal elements thus form  $\mathbf{B}(\to H)$ , the set of all minimal reducible bounds for  $\to H$ .

**Theorem 8.** Let H be an countable union of finite cores. Then the set H contains minimal elements, and each element of H contains a minimal element of H.

**Proof.** We will first use Zorn's lemma to show that  $\mathbf{H} = \{(\rightarrow H[V_1])(\rightarrow H[V_2]) : (V_1, V_2) \text{ is a partition of } V(H), V_1 \neq \emptyset, V_2 \neq \emptyset\}$  has minimal elements. This will follow if we can show that every chain in  $\mathbf{H}$  has a lower bound in  $\mathbf{H}$ .

Suppose to the contrary that  $\mathcal{C} = \{(\rightarrow H[V_1^{\alpha}])(\rightarrow H[V_2^{\alpha}]) : \alpha \in A\}$  is an infinite chain in **H** that does not have a lower bound in **H**. Then given any element of the chain, there exists an infinite chain of elements of  $\mathcal{C}$  below it.

Suppose  $H = H_1 \cup H_2 \cup \ldots$  For each  $\alpha \in A$ , the partition  $(V_1^{\alpha}, V_2^{\alpha})$  of V(H) induces a partition of  $V(H_1)$ . Since  $V(H_1)$  has only finitely many partitions, there exists a partition  $(V_{1,1}, V_{2,1})$  of  $V(H_1)$  that is induced infinitely many times and that satisfies: given any  $\alpha \in A$ , there exists  $\alpha' \in A$  such that  $(\to H[V_1^{\alpha'}])(\to H[V_2^{\alpha'}]) \subset (\to H[V_1^{\alpha}])(\to H[V_2^{\alpha}])$  and  $(V_1^{\alpha'}, V_2^{\alpha'})$  induces  $(V_{1,1}, V_{2,1})$  in  $V(H_1)$ . (If for each induced partition of  $V(H_1)$  occurring infinitely many times, there exists an  $\alpha$  such that every  $\alpha' \in A$  satisfying  $(\to H[V_1^{\alpha'}])(\to H[V_2^{\alpha'}]) \subset (\to H[V_1^{\alpha}])(\to H[V_2^{\alpha}])$  induces some different partition of  $V(H_1)$ , then, since these  $\alpha$  are finite, we can choose the one among them corresponding to the least element of  $\mathcal{C}$ . This element of  $\mathcal{C}$  contains only finitely many other elements of  $\mathcal{C}$  below it, contradicting our hypothesis.) We have  $H_1[V_{1,1}] \in \to H[V_1^{\alpha'}]$  and  $H_2[V_{1,2}] \in \to H[V_2^{\alpha'}]$ .

Now form A' from A by deleting all those  $\alpha$  for which  $(V_1^{\alpha}, V_2^{\alpha})$  does not induce  $(V_{1,1}, V_{2,1})$ . For any  $\alpha \in A$ , there exists  $\alpha'$  in A' such that  $(\to H[V_1^{\alpha'}])(\to H[V_2^{\alpha'}]) \subset (\to H[V_1^{\alpha}])(\to H[V_2^{\alpha}])$  and  $H_1[V_{1,1}] \in \to H[V_1^{\alpha'}]$  and  $H_1[V_{2,1}] \in \to H[V_2^{\alpha'}]$ . We now have a new infinite chain,  $\mathcal{C}' = \{(\to H[V_1^{\alpha}])(\to H[V_2^{\alpha}]) : \alpha \in A'\}$ , and we repeat the procedure using  $H_2$  and  $\mathcal{C}'$ , to form  $\mathcal{C}''$ , etc. For each  $H_i$  we obtain a partition  $(V_{1,i}, V_{2,i})$  of  $V(H_i)$  and after completing the procedure i times, we have a chain of  $(\to H[V_1^{\alpha}])(\to H[V_2^{\alpha}])$  such that for all  $\alpha$  in the new index set, the partition  $(V_1^{\alpha}, V_2^{\alpha})$  of V(H) induces the partition  $(V_{1,j}, V_{2,j})$  of  $V(H_j)$  for all j = 1, 2, ..., i. Also, for any  $\alpha \in A$ , there exists  $\alpha'$  in the new index set such that  $(\to H[V_1^{\alpha'}])(\to H[V_2^{\alpha'}]) \subset (\to H[V_1^{\alpha}])(\to H[V_2^{\alpha}])$  and  $H_j[V_{1,j}] \in \to H[V_1^{\alpha'}]$  and  $H_j[V_{2,j}] \in \to H[V_2^{\alpha'}]$  for all j = 1, 2, ..., i.

Now let  $V_1 = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} V_{1,i}$  and let  $V_2 = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} V_{2,i}$ . There are now two possibilities: either both  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are non-empty, or one of them (say  $V_2$ ) is empty while the other  $(V_1)$  equals V(H).

Suppose first that both  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are non-empty. Then  $(\to H[V_1])(\to H[V_2])$  is itself in **H**. We will show that  $(\to H[V_1])(\to H[V_2])$  is a lower bound for the chain  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Let  $\alpha \in A$  and let  $G \in (\rightarrow H[V_1])(\rightarrow H[V_2])$ . Then there exists a partition (A,B) of V(G) such that  $G[A] \to H[V_1]$  and  $G[B] \to H[V_2]$ . Since both G[A] and G[B] are finite, there exists an integer n such that  $G[A] \to \cup \{H_i[V_{1,i}] : i = 1, 2, ..., n\}$  and  $G[B] \to \cup \{H_i[V_{2,i}] : i = 1, 2, ..., n\}$ . Now by the remark at the end of the previous paragraph, after n steps of the procedure, there exists an  $\alpha'$  in the modified index set of the chain with  $(\to H[V_1^{\alpha'}])(\to H[V_2^{\alpha'}]) \subset (\to H[V_1^{\alpha}])(\to H[V_2^{\alpha}])$  and such that  $H_i[V_{1,i}] \in \to H[V_1^{\alpha'}]$  and  $H_i[V_{2,i}] \in \to H[V_2^{\alpha'}]$  for i = 1, 2, ..., n. Hence  $G[A] \in \to H[V_1^{\alpha'}]$ 

and  $G[B] \in H[V_2^{\alpha'}]$ , so  $G \in (\rightarrow H[V_1^{\alpha'}])(\rightarrow H[V_2^{\alpha'}]) \subset (\rightarrow H[V_1^{\alpha}])(\rightarrow H[V_2^{\alpha}])$ , i.e.  $(\rightarrow H[V_1])(\rightarrow H[V_2]) \subseteq (\rightarrow H[V_1^{\alpha}])(\rightarrow H[V_2^{\alpha}])$ .

Now suppose that  $V_2$  is empty and that  $V_1 = V(H)$ . We claim that in this case, any element of  $\mathbf{H}$  of the form  $(\to H[W_1])(\to H[W_2])$  where  $W_2$  is independent, is a lower bound for the chain  $\mathcal{C}$ . To prove this, fix such an element of  $\mathbf{H}$ . Suppose it is  $(\to H[W_1])(\to H[W_2])$ , with  $W_2$  independent. Let  $\alpha \in A$  and let  $G \in (\to H[W_1])(\to H[W_2])$ . We must show that  $G \in (\to H[V_1^{\alpha}])(\to H[V_2^{\alpha}])$ : Since G is finite, there exists an integer n such that  $G \in (\to (H_1 \cup H_2 \cup \ldots \cup H_n)[W_1])(\to (H_1 \cup H_2 \cup \ldots \cup H_n)[W_2])$ . Now there exists an  $\alpha' \in A$  such that  $(\to H[V_1^{\alpha'}])(\to H[V_2^{\alpha'}]) \subset (\to H[V_1^{\alpha}])(\to H[V_2^{\alpha}])$  and  $(V_1^{\alpha'}, V_2^{\alpha'})$  induces  $(V_{1,i}, V_{2,i}) = (V(H_i), \emptyset)$  for each i = 1, 2, ..., n. Then  $(H_1 \cup H_2 \cup \ldots \cup H_n)[W_1] \to H[V_1^{\alpha'}]$  (the inclusion map) and  $(H_1 \cup H_2 \cup \ldots \cup H_n)[W_2] \to H[V_2^{\alpha'}]$  (since  $W_2$  is independent and  $V_2^{\alpha'}$  is non-empty.) Hence  $G \in (\to H[V_1^{\alpha'}])(\to H[V_2^{\alpha'}]) \subset (\to H[V_1^{\alpha}])(\to H[V_2^{\alpha'}])$ .

We can conclude by Zorn's lemma that the set **H** has minimal elements. By fixing an element of **H** and considering only chains of elements of **H** each of which is contained in that fixed element, the same argument as above shows that each element of **H** contains at least one of these minimal elements of **H**. Hence, as in the case where H is finite, the minimal elements of **H** form  $\mathbf{B}(\to H)$  when H is an infinite union of finite graphs.

#### 5. Some Applications

In the following applications, we allow the graph H to be either finite or a countable union of finite graphs and we show the existence of minimal reducible bounds of certain types in  $\mathbb{L}^a$  for  $\to H$ . In this section we assume throughout that  $\to H$  is irreducible, while if H is finite it is assumed to be a core.

**Proposition 9.** If H is a graph with chromatic number 3, then  $\mathcal{O}^3$  is the unique minimal reducible bound for  $\to H$ .

**Proof.** Since  $\chi(H) = 3$ , there exists a partition  $(V_1, V_2)$  of V(H) such that  $H[V_1]$  is an independent set of vertices and  $H[V_2]$  has chromatic number 2, i.e.  $\to C(H[V_1]) \to C(H[V_2]) = \to K_1 + K_2 = \to K_3 = \mathcal{O}^3$ .

If  $\to H \subset \to C_1 \to C_2$  for any other  $\to C_1 \to C_2 \in \mathbf{H}$ , then either  $C_1$  or  $C_2$  must contain an edge (since  $\chi(C_1) + \chi(C_2) \geq 3$ ) and hence  $K_1 + K_2 \in \to C_1 \to C_2$ , i.e.  $\to H \subset \to K_1 + K_2 = \mathcal{O}^3 \subseteq \to C_1 \to C_2$ .

**Proposition 10.** If H is a graph with chromatic number 4, then all minimal reducible bounds of  $\to$  H are of the form  $\mathcal{O}(\to X)$  for some graph  $X \subset H$ .

**Proof.** Since  $\chi(H) = 4$ , there exists a partition  $(V_1, V_2)$  of V(H) such that  $\chi(H[V_1]) = 2$  and  $\chi(H[V_2]) = 2$ , i.e.  $\to C(H[V_1]) \to C(H[V_2]) = \to K_2 + K_2 = \to K_1 + K_3 = \mathcal{O}(\to K_3)$ .

Consider all partitions  $(V_1, V_2)$  of V(H). If  $H[V_1]$  or  $H[V_2]$  is independent, we get a reducible bound for  $\to H$  of the form  $\mathcal{O}(\to H[V_1])$  or  $\mathcal{O}(\to H[V_2])$ . If neither  $H[V_1]$  nor  $H[V_2]$  is independent, then  $K_2 \to H[V_1]$  and  $K_2 \to H[V_2]$ , so  $\to K_2 + K_2 = \mathcal{O}(\to K_3) \subseteq \to H[V_1] \to H[V_2]$ .

We can now conclude that all the minimal elements of  $\mathbf{H}$  are of the form  $\mathcal{O}(\to X)$  for some graph  $X \subset H$ .

**Proposition 11.** If H is a graph with chromatic number 5, then  $\to$  H has a minimal reducible bound of the form  $\mathcal{O}(\to X)$  for some graph  $X \subset H$ .

**Proof.** Since  $\chi(H) = 5$ , there exists a bound of the form  $\mathcal{O}(\to X) = (\to K_1)(\to X)$  for  $\to H$  with  $X \subset H$  and  $\chi(X) = 4$ . Suppose that  $\to X_1 \to X_2$  is any other element of  $\mathbf{H}$  satisfying  $\to H \subseteq \to X_1 \to X_2 \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\to X)$ . Since  $\chi(H) = \chi(K_1) + \chi(X) = 5$ , we must have  $\chi(X_1) + \chi(X_2) = 5$  and this is only possible if one of  $X_1$  or  $X_2$  has chromatic number at most 2.

Say  $\chi(X_1) \leq 2$ . Then we can assume that  $X_1 = K_1$  or  $X_1 = K_2$ . In the first case,  $\to X_1 \to X_2 = \to K_1 \to X_2 = \mathcal{O}(\to X_2)$ , while in the second,  $\to X_1 \to X_2 = (\to K_1)(\to K_1 \to X_2)$ . By Corollary 5, there exists a bound for  $\to H$  of the form  $\mathcal{O}(\to Y)$  with  $Y \subset H$  satisfying  $\to H \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\to Y) \subseteq (\to K_1)(\to K_1 \to X_2)$ . In either case there exists a bound for  $\to H$  of the form  $\mathcal{O}(\to Y)$  with  $Y \subset H$  satisfying  $\to H \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\to Y) \subseteq \to X_1 \to X_2$ , so we conclude that H has a minimal element of the form  $\mathcal{O}(\to Y)$  for some  $Y \subset H$ .

**Proposition 12.** If H is a graph with chromatic number either infinite or finite and greater than or equal to 6, and if  $K_4$  is not a subgraph of H, then  $\to H$  has a minimal reducible bound of the form  $\mathcal{O}(\to X)$  for some  $X \subset H$ .

**Proof.** There exists a bound for  $\to H$  of the form  $\mathcal{O}(\to X)$  where  $X \subset H$ , and  $\chi(X) \geq 5$ , which is minimal of this type.

Suppose  $\to H \subset (\to X_1)(\to X_2) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\to X)$  where  $(\to X_1)(\to X_2) \in \mathbf{H}$  is not of the form  $\mathcal{O}(\to Y)$  for any graph Y. If the chromatic number of either  $X_1$  or  $X_2$  is one, say  $\chi(X_1) = 1$ , then  $(\to X_1)(\to X_2) = \mathcal{O}(\to X_2)$ , contradicting our assumption on the form of  $(\to X_1)(\to X_2)$ . If one of  $X_1$  or  $X_2$  has chromatic number 2, say  $\chi(X_1) = 2$ , then  $(\to X_1)(\to X_2) = \mathcal{O}$ 

 $(\mathcal{O}(\to X_2))$  and by Corollary 5 there exists an element of **H** of the form  $\mathcal{O}(\to Y)$  between  $\to H$  and  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(\to X_2))$ , contradicting the minimality of  $\mathcal{O}(\to X)$ .

Thus  $\chi(X_1) \geq 3$  and  $\chi(X_2) \geq 3$  so that both  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  contain an odd cycle, say  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  respectively. But then  $S_1 + S_2 \in (\to X_1)(\to X_2) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\to X)$ , so  $V(S_1 + S_2)$  has an  $(\mathcal{O}, (\to X))$ -partition, say  $(V_1, V_2)$ . Thus  $(S_1 + S_2)[V_1]$  is an independent subgraph of either  $S_1$  or  $S_2$ , and (since  $\chi(S_1) = 3$  and  $\chi(S_2) = 3$ ),  $(S_1 + S_2)[V_2]$  must contain  $K_4$  as a subgraph, a contradiction since  $(S_1 + S_2)[V_2] \in \to X$ , and any  $K_4$  in  $(S_1 + S_2)[V_2]$  would force a  $K_4$  in  $X \subset H$ .

We conclude that **H** has a minimal element of the form  $\mathcal{O}(\to Y)$  for some  $Y \subset H$ .

**Proposition 13.** If H is a graph with finite chromatic number satisfying  $\chi(H) = n \geq 6$ , and  $K_{n-1} \subset H$ , then  $\to H$  has a minimal reducible bound of the form  $\mathcal{O}(\to X)$  for some  $X \subset H$ .

**Proof.** There exists an element  $\mathcal{O}(\to X) \in \mathbf{H}$  with  $\chi(X) = n-1$ . Suppose now that  $\to H \subset (\to H[V_1])(\to H[V_2]) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\to X)$ , with  $(\to H[V_1])(\to H[V_2]) \in \mathbf{H}$ . Then  $\chi(H[V_1]) + \chi(H[V_2]) = n$ . Since  $K_{n-1} \subset H$ , there exists  $K_i \subseteq H[V_1]$  and  $K_j \subseteq H[V_2]$  with i+j=n-1.

If  $i \geq \chi(H[V_1])$ , then  $C(H[V_1]) = K_i$ , so  $(\to H[V_1])(\to H[V_2]) = (\to K_1)(\to K_{i-1} \to H[V_2])$  and by Corollary 5, there exists a bound for  $\to H$  of the form  $\mathcal{O}(\to Y)$  for some  $Y \subset H$ , contained in  $(\to H[V_1])(\to H[V_2])$ . However if  $i < \chi(H[V_1])$ , then  $j \geq \chi(H[V_2])$  and  $C(H[V_2]) = K_j$ , and once again  $(\to H[V_1])(\to H[V_2])$  contains a bound for  $\to H$  of the form  $\mathcal{O}(\to Y)$  for some  $Y \subset H$ .

We conclude that **H** has a minimal element of the form  $\mathcal{O}(\to Y)$  for some  $Y \subset H$ .

**Proposition 14.** If H is a triangle-free graph with finite chromatic number satisfying  $\chi(H) \geq 6$ , then  $\to H$  has a minimal reducible bound not of the form  $\mathcal{OP}$  for any  $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbb{L}^a$ .

**Proof.** Since  $\chi(H) \geq 6$ , there exists  $(\to X_1)(\to X_2) \in \mathbf{H}$  such that  $\chi(X_1) \geq 3$ ,  $\chi(X_2) \geq 3$ ,  $\chi(X_1) + \chi(X_2) = \chi(H)$ . Suppose  $(\to X_1)(\to X_2) = \mathcal{O}(\to X)$  for some  $X \subset H$ .  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  each contain an odd cycle, say  $S_1$ , and  $S_2$  respectively. We then have that  $S_1 + S_2 \in \mathcal{O}(\to X)$  so  $V(S_1 + S_2)$  has an  $(\mathcal{O}, \to X)$ -partition, say  $(V_1, V_2)$ . Since  $(S_1 + S_2)[V_1]$  is an independent subset of either  $S_1$  or  $S_2$ ,  $(S_1 + S_2)[V_2]$  must contain a triangle, forcing H to

contain a triangle, contradicting our hypothesis. So  $(\to X_1)(\to X_2)$  is not of the form  $\mathcal{OP}$  for any  $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbb{L}^a$ .

Suppose now that  $\to H \subset \mathcal{O}(\to X) \subset (\to X_1)(\to X_2)$  for some  $X \subset H$ . Since  $\chi(H) = \chi(X_1) + \chi(X_2)$ , it must be true that  $\chi(X) = \chi(H) - 1$ . Let G be any finite subgraph of X with  $\chi(G) = \chi(X)$ . The graph  $G + \{v\}$  is in  $\mathcal{O}(\to X)$  and therefore in  $(\to X_1)(\to X_2)$ , and so  $V(G + \{v\})$  has a  $(\to X_1, \to X_2)$ -partition  $(V_1, V_2)$ . Suppose that  $v \in V_1$ . If  $\{w \in V(G) : w \in V_1\}$  is not an independent set of vertices, then  $(G + v)[V_1]$  contains a triangle, and so  $X_1$  contains a triangle, which is not possible. If  $\{w \in V(G) : w \in V_1\}$  is an independent set of vertices, then  $\chi((G + v)[V_2]) \geq \chi(H) - 2$ . But  $(G + v)[V_2] \in \to X_2$  and  $\chi(X_2) \leq \chi(H) - 3$ , again a contradiction. Hence no bound of the form  $\mathcal{OP}$  with  $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbb{L}^a$  can occur between  $\to H$  and  $(\to X_1)$   $(\to X_2)$ .

We conclude that **H** has a minimal element not of the form  $\mathcal{O}(\to Y)$  for any  $Y \subset H$ .

The previous result is not true if we allow  $\chi(H)$  to be infinite since the set of all triangle-free graphs,  $\mathcal{I}_1$ , has the unique minimal reducible bound  $\mathcal{OI}_1$  (see [1], [6]).  $\mathcal{I}_1$  is the hom-property  $\to \cup \{R : R \text{ is a triangle free core}\}$ , with infinite chromatic number.

Corollaries 12 and 14 show that if H has a finite chromatic number greater than or equal to 6, and H is triangle-free, then  $\to H$  has a minimal reducible bound of the form  $\mathcal{OP}$  for some  $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbb{L}^a$  and a minimal reducible bound not of this form.

### 6. Minimal Reducible Bounds for $\to H$ in $\mathbb{L}$

We now describe the minimal reducible bounds of a hom-property  $\to H$  in the lattice of hereditary properties,  $\mathbb{L}$ . Again, we will describe the case for a finite H first, and then draw conclusions about an infinite H. The following lemma and its corollary are useful in both the finite and infinite cases.

**Lemma 15.** Let H be a finite graph or a countable union of finite graphs. If  $\to H \subseteq \mathcal{PQ}$ , where  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  are non-trivial properties in  $\mathbb{L}$  such that  $\mathcal{O} \not\subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ , then  $\to H \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ .

**Proof.** Suppose first that H is finite, and suppose that the cardinality of the largest edgeless graph in  $\mathcal{Q}$  is k. For any m > k,  $H^{::}(m) \in \mathcal{PQ}$  and by the restriction on  $\mathcal{Q}$ ,  $H^{::}(m-k)$  must be in  $\mathcal{P}$ . This is true for any m > k so that  $H^{::}(r) \in \mathcal{P}$  for all  $r \geq 1$ , i.e.  $\to H \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ .

If H is infinite, then since  $\to H' \subseteq \mathcal{PQ}$  for any finite subgraph H' of H, by the finite case we can conclude that  $\to H' \subseteq \mathcal{P}$  for every finite subgraph H' of H. Since any graph in  $\to H$  is contained in some  $\to H'$  where H' is a finite subgraph of H, we can conclude that  $\to H \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ .

**Corollary 16.** Let H be a finite graph or a countable union of finite graphs. If  $\to H \subseteq \mathcal{PQ}$ , where  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  are non-trivial properties in  $\mathbb{L}$  such that  $\mathcal{O} \nsubseteq \mathcal{Q}$ , then  $\to H \subseteq (\to H)(\{K_1\}) \subseteq \mathcal{PQ}$ .

**Proof.** The proof is immediate as  $\to H \subseteq \mathcal{P}$  and, since  $\mathcal{Q}$  is non-trivial,  $K_1 \in \mathcal{Q}$ .

We now describe the minimal reducible bounds for hom-properties in L.

#### **6.1.** Finite H

**Theorem 17.** If H is a finite indecomposable core then the minimal reducible bounds for  $\to H$  in  $\mathbb{L}$  are the minimal elements of  $\mathbf{H}$  as well as the property  $(\to H)(\{K_1\})$ .

**Proof.** By Lemma 4 and Corollary 16 we know that if  $\to H \subset \mathcal{PQ}$ , where  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  are non-trivial properties in  $\mathbb{L}$ , then if  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ , we have a minimal element of  $\mathbf{H}$  between  $\to H$  and  $\mathcal{PQ}$ , while if  $\mathcal{O} \not\subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ , then  $(\to H)(\{K_1\})$  lies between  $\to H$  and  $\mathcal{PQ}$ . Note that the case  $\mathcal{O} \not\subseteq \mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{O} \not\subseteq \mathcal{Q}$  cannot occur since by Lemma 15, if  $\mathcal{O} \not\subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ , then  $\to H \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ , and since H is assumed to have at least one vertex, all multiplications of this vertex must be in  $\mathcal{P}$  i.e.  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ .

To complete the proof of the theorem, we must show that  $(\to H)(\{K_1\})$  is not contained in any minimal element of  $\mathbf{H}$ , and that no minimal element of  $\mathbf{H}$  is contained in  $(\to H)(\{K_1\})$ .

First suppose to the contrary that  $\to H[V_1] + H[V_2]$  is a minimal element of **H** satisfying  $\to H[V_1] + H[V_2] \subseteq (\to H)(\{K_1\})$ . By Lemma 15 we then have  $\to H[V_1] + H[V_2] \subseteq \to H$ , and so  $H[V_1] + H[V_2] \to H$ . If this homomorphism is a surjection, then H is decomposable, a contradiction, while if this homomorphism is not a surjection, then we can use it to map H into a proper subgraph of itself, a contradiction to the fact that H is a core.

Now suppose that  $\to (H[V_1] + H[V_2])$  is a minimal element of **H** and that  $(\to H)(\{K_1\}) \subseteq \to (H[V_1] + H[V_2])$ . Now  $H + K_1 \in (\to H)(\{K_1\}) \subseteq \to (H[V_1] + H[V_2])$ , so we have the inclusions  $\to H \subseteq \to (H + K_1) = (\to H)(\mathcal{O}) \subseteq \to (H[V_1] + H[V_2])$ . By Lemma 4 there exists an element

 $\rightarrow (H[W_1] + H[W_2])$  in  $\mathbf{H}$  satisfying  $\rightarrow H \subseteq \rightarrow (H[W_1] + H[W_2]) \subseteq (\rightarrow H)$  $(\mathcal{O}) \subseteq \rightarrow (H[V_1] + H[V_2])$ , and  $\rightarrow H[W_1] \subseteq \rightarrow H$  and  $\rightarrow H[W_2] = \mathcal{O}$ . By the minimality of  $\rightarrow (H[V_1] + H[V_2])$  in  $\mathbf{H}$ , the two elements of  $\mathbf{H}$  must be equal, and so we have  $(\rightarrow H)(\mathcal{O}) = \rightarrow (H[W_1] + H[W_2])$  i.e.  $(\rightarrow H)(\mathcal{O}) = \rightarrow H[W_1] \rightarrow H[W_2]$ . By the unique factorisation theorem [3], and the fact that  $\rightarrow H[W_2] = \mathcal{O}$ , we can conclude that  $\rightarrow H = \rightarrow H[W_1]$  and  $\mathcal{O} = \rightarrow H[W_2]$ . But then we have a homomorphism from H to  $H[W_1]$ , a proper subgraph of H, contradicting the fact that H is a core.

#### **6.2.** Infinite H

**Theorem 18.** If H is an infinite union of finite graphs, then the minimal elements of the set  $\mathbf{H} \cup \{(\to H)(\{K_1\})\}$  are the minimal reducible bounds for  $\to H$  in  $\mathbb{L}$ .

This result immediately follows from Lemma 4 and Corollary 16. The sharper result from the finite case is no longer true since when H is infinite, it may be possible that  $(\to H)(\{K_1\})$  is properly contained in a minimal element of  $\mathbf{H}$  e.g.  $\mathcal{I}_1$  has the unique minimal reducible bound in  $\mathbb{L}^a$  of  $\mathcal{I}_1O$ , the unique minimal element of  $\mathbf{H}$ . In  $\mathbb{L}$  however, we have  $\mathcal{I}_1 \subsetneq \mathcal{I}_1\{K_1\} \subsetneq \mathcal{I}_1O$ , so that  $\mathcal{I}_1$  has unique minimal reducible bound  $\mathcal{I}_1\{K_1\}$ .

It is not true that  $(\to H)(\{K_1\})$  is contained in every minimal element of  $\mathbf{H}$ , since if  $(\to H)(\{K_1\}) \subseteq (\to H[V_1])(\to H[V_2])$  where  $(\to H[V_1])(\to H[V_2])$  is minimal in  $\mathbf{H}$ , then we have  $\to H \subseteq (\to H)(\mathcal{O}) \subseteq (\to H[V_1])(\to H[V_2])$ . (The second inclusion follows since any graph G in  $(\to H)(\mathcal{O})$  is in  $\to (H' + K_1)$  for some finite subgraph H' of H, and since  $H' + K_1 \in \to H[V_1] \to H[V_2]$ , we have that  $\to (H' + K_1) \in \to H[V_1] \to H[V_2]$ .) By Lemma 4 there should be another element of  $\mathbf{H}$  between  $\to H$  and  $(\to H)(\mathcal{O})$ . By the minimality of  $\to (H[V_1] + H[V_2])$ , we now have that  $(\to H)(\mathcal{O}) = (\to H[V_1])(\to H[V_2])$ . However (Corollary 14) if H is infinite and triangle-free with finite chromatic number at least six,  $\mathbf{H}$  contains at least one minimal element which does not contain the factor  $\mathcal{O}$ .

#### References

[1] M. Borowiecki, I. Broere, M. Frick, P. Mihók and G. Semanišin, A survey of Hereditary Properties of Graphs, Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory 17 (1997) 5–50.

- [2] P. Hell and J. Nešetril, The core of a graph, Discrete Math.  $\mathbf{109}$  (1992) 117-126.
- [3] J. Kratochvíl and P. Mihók, *Hom properties are uniquely factorisable into irreducible factors*, to appear in Discrete Math.
- [4] J. Kratochvíl, P. Mihók and G. Semanišin, *Graphs maximal with respect to hom-properties*, Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory **17** (1997) 77–88.
- [5] J. Nešetril, Graph homomorphisms and their structure, in: Y. Alavi and A. Schwenk, eds., Graph Theory, Combinatorics and Applications: Proceedings of the Seventh Quadrennial International Conference on the Theory and Applications of Graphs 2 (1995) 825–832.
- [6] J. Nešetril, V. Rödl, Partitions of Vertices, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 17 (1976) 675–681.

Received 19 January 1999 Revised 7 September 1999