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#### Abstract

In this note, all chromatic equivalence classes for 2 -connected 3 -chromatic graphs with five triangles and cyclomatic number six are described. New families of chromatically unique graphs of order $n$ are presented for each $n \geq 8$. This is a generalization of a result stated in [5]. Moreover, a proof for the conjecture posed in [5] is given.
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## 1. Introduction

The graphs which we consider here are finite, undirected, simple and loopless. Let $G$ be a graph, $V(G)$ its vertex set, $E(G)$ its edge set, $\chi(G)$ its chromatic number and $P(G, \lambda)$ its chromatic polynomial. Two graphs $G$ and $H$ are said to be chromatically equivalent, or in short $\chi$-equivalent, written $G \sim H$, if $P(G, \lambda)=P(H, \lambda)$. A graph $G$ is said to be chromatically unique, or in short $\chi$-unique, if for any graph $H$ satisfying $H \sim G$, we have $H \cong G$, i.e. $H$ is isomorphic to $G$. A family of all nonisomorphic chromatically equivalent graphs is called a $\chi$-equivalence class.

A wheel $W_{n}$ is a graph of order $n, n \geq 4$, obtained by the join of $K_{1}$ and $C_{n-1}$. Any edge incident with the central vertex in $W_{n}$ is called a spoke of the wheel. For any two integers $n, k$ with $n \geq 4$ and $n-1 \geq k \geq 1$, let $W(n, k)$ denote the graph of order n obtained from a wheel $W_{n}$ by deleting
all but $k$ consecutive spokes. It is known that the graphs $W(n, 1)(n \geq 4)$ and $W(n, 2)(n \geq 4)$ are $\chi$-unique. Chao and Whitehead [1] showed that the graphs $W(n, 3)(n \geq 5)$ and $W(n, 4)(n \geq 6)$ are $\chi$-unique, while $W(7,5)$ is not. Then Koch and Teo [3] showed that all graphs $W(n, 5)(n \geq 8)$ are $\chi$-unique. Recently Li and Whitehead [5] showed that all graphs $W(n, 6)$ $(n \geq 8)$ are $\chi$-unique. This is a solution to one of the problems stated in [2] (see Problem $2[2]$ ). They also decribed two additional families of chromatically unique graphs. The family of graphs they studied consists of 2 -connected 3 -chromatic graphs with five triangles and cyclomatic number six. In this paper, all classes of $\chi$-equivalent graphs of order at least 8 for this family are described. In particular, a complete characterization of chromatically unique graphs for the family is presented. Also a proof for the conjecture posed in [5] is given.

## 2. Known Results

In computing chromatic polynomials, we make use of Whitney's reduction formula given in [6]. The formula is

$$
P(G, \lambda)=P\left(G_{-e}, \lambda\right)-P(G / e, \lambda)
$$

or equivalently

$$
P\left(G_{-e}, \lambda\right)=P(G, \lambda)+P(G / e, \lambda)
$$

where $G_{-e}$ is the graph obtained from $G$ by deleting an edge $e$ and $G / e$ is the graph obtained from $G$ by contracting the edge $e$.

We also make use of the overlaping formula given in [6]. The formula is

$$
P(G, \lambda)=P(H, \lambda) P(F, \lambda) / P\left(K_{p}, \lambda\right)
$$

where $G$ is a gluing of two disjoint graphs $H$ and $F$ over their complete subgraph $K_{p}$ for $p \geq 1$.

Moreover, we shall use the known results for $\chi$-equivalent graphs presented in Lemma 1. For a graph $F$, let $I_{G}(F)$ denote the number of induced subgraphs of $G$ which are isomorphic to $F$.

Lemma 1 [3]. Let $G$ and $H$ be two $\chi$-equivalent graphs. Then
(i) $|V(G)|=|V(H)|$,
(ii) $|E(G)|=|E(H)|$,
(iii) $\chi(G)=\chi(H)$,
(iv) $I_{G}\left(C_{3}\right)=I_{H}\left(C_{3}\right)$,
(v) $I_{G}\left(C_{4}\right)-2 I_{G}\left(K_{4}\right)=I_{H}\left(C_{4}\right)-2 I_{H}\left(K_{4}\right)$,
(vi) $G$ is connected iff $H$ is connected,
(vii) $G$ is 2-connected iff $H$ is 2 -connected.

## 3. Results

Next we consider the following 2-connected pairwise nonisomorphic graphs $X_{i}(n)$, shortly denoted by $X_{i}$, each of order $n, n \geq 8$, presented in Figure 1 .


Figure 1

Thin lines denote here paths, filled circle - vertices, and bold lines - edges of a graph. Checking the degree sequences of these graphs one can easy note that they are pairwise nonisomorphic.

First we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2. $X_{15} \sim X_{14}$, and $X_{i} \nsucc X_{j}$ for other pairs $i, j=0, \ldots, 13$ and $i \neq j$.

Proof. By using Whitney's reduction formula we have:
$P\left(X_{0}, \lambda\right)=(\lambda-2)^{5} P\left(C_{n-5}, \lambda\right)$,
$P\left(X_{1}, \lambda\right)=(\lambda-2)^{4}\left(P\left(C_{n-4}, \lambda\right)-P\left(C_{n-5}, \lambda\right)\right)$,
$P\left(X_{2}, \lambda\right)=(\lambda-2)^{3}\left((\lambda-3) P\left(C_{n-4}, \lambda\right)+P\left(C_{n-5}, \lambda\right)\right)$,
$P\left(X_{3}, \lambda\right)=(\lambda-2)^{3}\left(P\left(C_{n-3}, \lambda\right)-2 P\left(C_{n-4}, \lambda\right)+P\left(C_{n-5}, \lambda\right)\right)$,
$P\left(X_{4}, \lambda\right)=(\lambda-2)^{2}\left(\left(\lambda^{2}-5 \lambda+7\right) P\left(C_{n-4}, \lambda\right)-P\left(C_{n-5}, \lambda\right)\right)$,
$P\left(X_{5}, \lambda\right)=(\lambda-2)^{2}\left(\lambda^{2}-5 \lambda+7\right) P\left(C_{n-4}, \lambda\right)$,
$P\left(X_{6}, \lambda\right)=(\lambda-2)^{2}\left((\lambda-2) P\left(C_{n-3}, \lambda\right)-(2 \lambda-5) P\left(C_{n-4}, \lambda\right)\right)$,
$P\left(X_{7}, \lambda\right)=(\lambda-2)^{2}\left((\lambda-3) P\left(C_{n-3}, \lambda\right)-(\lambda-4) P\left(C_{n-4}, \lambda\right)+P\left(C_{n-5}, \lambda\right)\right)$, $P\left(X_{8}, \lambda\right)=(\lambda-2)^{2}\left((\lambda-2) P\left(C_{n-3}, \lambda\right)-(2 \lambda-5) P\left(C_{n-4}, \lambda\right)-P\left(C_{n-5}, \lambda\right)\right)$,
and the chromatic polynomials for other graphs $G$ of the lemma are of the following form : $P(G, \lambda)=(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2) Q(G, \lambda)$, where the factor $Q(G, \lambda)$ is presented in Table 1 and $(\lambda-2)^{2} \not \backslash P(G, \lambda)$.

Table 1

| G | $Q(G, \lambda)$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| $X_{9}$ | $\left[(\lambda-2)^{3}-(\lambda-2)^{2}+(\lambda-2)-1\right]\left[(\lambda-1)^{n-5}+(-1)^{n-4}\right]+$ <br> $+(\lambda-1)^{n-6}+(-1)^{n-5}$ |
| $X_{10}$ | $(\lambda-2)\left[(\lambda-1)^{n-3}-2(\lambda-1)^{n-4}-(\lambda-4)(\lambda-1)^{n-5}\right.$ <br> $\left.-(-1)^{n}(\lambda-7)\right]-\left[(\lambda-1)^{n-5}-(\lambda-1)^{n-6}+2(-1)^{n}\right]$ |
| $X_{11}$ | $(\lambda-2)\left\{(\lambda-1)^{n-3}-(\lambda-1)^{n-4}+(\lambda-1)^{n-5}\right.$ <br> $\left.+3(-1)^{n-2}-2(\lambda-2)\left[(\lambda-1)^{n-5}+(-1)^{n-4}\right]\right\}$ <br> $-\left[(\lambda-1)^{n-5}-(\lambda-1)^{n-6}+2(-1)^{n-4}\right]$ |
| $X_{12}$ | $(\lambda-2)\left[(\lambda-1)^{n-3}+(-1)^{n-2}\right]-\left\{(\lambda-3)\left[(\lambda-1)^{n-4}\right.\right.$ <br> $\left.\left.+(-1)^{n-3}\right]+\left(\lambda^{2}-5 \lambda+7\right)\left[(\lambda-1)^{n-5}+(-1)^{n-4}\right]\right\}$ |
| $X_{13}$ | $\left(\lambda^{2}-6 \lambda+9\right)\left[(\lambda-1)^{n-4}+(-1)^{n-3}\right]$ <br> $+(2 \lambda-5)\left[(\lambda-1)^{n-5}+(-1)^{n-4}\right]$ |
| $X_{14}, X_{15}$ | $\left(\lambda^{2}-5 \lambda+7\right)\left[(\lambda-1)^{n-5}(\lambda-2)-2(-1)^{n}\right]$ |

Since $P\left(C_{n}, \lambda\right)=(\lambda-1)\left((\lambda-1)^{n-1}+(-1)^{n}\right)$, we get the following properties: $(\lambda-2)^{5} \mid P\left(X_{0}, \lambda\right)$;
$(\lambda-2)^{4} \mid P\left(X_{1}, \lambda\right)$ and $(\lambda-2)^{5} \quad X P\left(X_{1}, \lambda\right)$;
$(\lambda-2)^{3} \mid P\left(X_{i}, \lambda\right)$ and $(\lambda-2)^{4} \quad \nmid P\left(X_{i}, \lambda\right)$ for $i=2,3$;
$(\lambda-2)^{2} \mid P\left(X_{i}, \lambda\right)$ and $(\lambda-2)^{3} \quad \Varangle P\left(X_{i}, \lambda\right)$ for $4 \leq i \leq 8$;
$(\lambda-2)^{2} \times P\left(X_{i}, \lambda\right)$ for $9 \leq i \leq 15$;
Evidently graphs $X_{14}, X_{15}$ are $\chi$-equivalent. Looking at the above properties and checking the values of chromatic polynomials for $\lambda=2,3$ or 4 , we calculate that other pairs of the graphs are not $\chi$-equivalent. This completes the proof.

Theorem 3. For each $n \geq 8$, a 2-connected 3-chromatic graph of order $n$ with five triangles and cyclomatic number six is $\chi$-equivalent to one of the graphs $X_{i}(n), i=0, \ldots, 14$ presented in Figure 1.

Proof. Let $R$ be a 2-connected 3 -chromatic graph of order $n \geq 8$ with five triangles and cyclomatic number six.

Suppose that there exists a graph $G \nsucceq R$ and such that $G \sim R$.
Lemma 1 implies $|V(G)|=n,|E(G)|=n+5, \chi(G)=3, I_{G}\left(K_{3}\right)=5$, $I_{G}\left(K_{4}\right)=0$ and $G$ is a 2 -connected graph. Let $H$ be a subgraph of $G$ induced by the edges of the five triangles in $G$, and let $|V(H)|=h$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
6 \leq h \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we define some parameters, which will be useful for the description of all possible candidates for $H$ with $h$ vertices and five triangles, and its supergraph $G$. Let

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha & =2(|E(H)|-h), \\
\beta^{\prime} & =\mid\left\{x \in V(H) \mid d_{H}(x)=2 \text { and } d_{G}(x)=3\right\} \mid, \\
\beta^{\prime \prime} & =\mid\left\{x \in V(H) \mid d_{H}(x)=2 \text { and } d_{G}(x) \geq 4\right\} \mid, \\
\gamma & =\mid\left\{x \in V(H) \mid d_{H}(x) \geq 3 \text { and } d_{G}(x)=d_{H}(x)+1\right\} \mid,  \tag{2}\\
\gamma^{\prime} & =\mid\left\{x \in V(H) \mid d_{H}(x) \geq 3 \text { and } d_{G}(x) \geq d_{H}(x)+2\right\} \mid, \\
\delta & =\left|\left\{x \in V(G)-V(H) \mid d_{G}(x) \geq 3\right\}\right| .
\end{align*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2(n+5) \geq \sum\left(d_{H}(x) \mid x \in V(H)\right) & +\beta^{\prime}+2 \beta^{\prime \prime}+2(n-h)+\gamma+2 \gamma^{\prime}+\delta \\
& =\alpha+\beta^{\prime}+2 \beta^{\prime \prime}+\gamma+2 \gamma^{\prime}+\delta+2 n .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha+\beta^{\prime}+2 \beta^{\prime \prime}+\gamma+2 \gamma^{\prime}+\delta \leq 10 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $c$ be the number of connected components of the graph $H$. Evidently each connected component contains at least one triangle. Since $G$ is a 2 -connected graph and $H$ has five triangles and it does not contain $K_{4}$, then the cyclomatic number of $H$ is equal to 5 if $H$ is disconnected, and it is equal to 5 or 6 if $H$ is connected. So by (2) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=10-2 c \text { if } c>1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \geq 8 \text { if } c=1 . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The list of all possible candidates for $H$ with $h$ vertices and five triangles will be described by considering the following five cases. Three of them are very simple. For the cases 4 and 5 we use the known theorem of Erdös and Gallai on characterization of degree sequences (see [4], Theorem 6.2). All resulting graphs are presented in Figures 2-3 if $H \nsucceq G$ and in Figure 4 if $H \simeq G$.

Case 1. Suppose that $c=5$, and let $H_{i}, i=1,2,3,4,5$ be connected components of $H$. Evidently each of $H_{i}$ is isomorphic to $K_{3}$.

Now 2 -connectivity of $G$ and formulas (3)-(4) imply $\alpha=0, \beta^{\prime}=10$, $\beta^{\prime \prime}=0, \gamma=0, \gamma^{\prime}=0, \delta=0$.

Case 2. Suppose that $c=4$, and let $H_{i}, i=1,2,3,4$ be connected components of $H$. Now 2-connectivity of $G$ and formulas (3)-(4) imply $\alpha=2, \beta^{\prime}+\gamma=8, \beta^{\prime \prime}=0, \gamma^{\prime}=0, \delta=0$. Evidently each of $H_{i}, i=1,2,3$ is isomorphic to $K_{3}$ and $H_{4}$ is isomorphic to $2 K_{1}+K_{2}$ or $K_{1}+2 K_{2}$.

Case 3. Suppose that $c=3$, and let $H_{i}, i=1,2,3$ be connected components of $H$. Now 2-connectivity of $G$ and formulas (3)-(4) imply $\alpha=4, \beta^{\prime}+\gamma=6, \beta^{\prime \prime}=0, \gamma^{\prime}=0, \delta=0$. Moreover, if a graph $H_{i}$ has a cut vertex $x$, then the graph $H_{i}-x$ has exactly two connected components.

Thus if two graphs of $H_{i}, i=1,2,3$ are isomorphic to $K_{3}$ then, the other one is isomorphic to the last graph presented in lines 1-5 of Figure 2 and if exactly one of $H_{i}, i=1,2,3$ is isomorphic to $K_{3}$, then the other two are isomorphic to a graph $2 K_{1}+K_{2}$ or $K_{1}+2 K_{2}$ (see lines 6-8 of Figure 2).

Case 4. Suppose that $c=2$, and let $H_{1}, H_{2}$ be connected components of $H$. Then from (4) $\alpha \geq 6$ and from (3) $\beta^{\prime}+\gamma=4, \beta^{\prime \prime}=0, \gamma^{\prime}=0, \delta=0$. So 2-connectivity of $G$ implies that if a graph $H_{i}$ has a cut vertex $x$, then the graph $H_{i}-x$ has two connected components. Thus we have to consider three cases for the second component $H_{2}$. Namely, $H_{2} \cong K_{3}, K_{1}+2 K_{2}, 2 K_{1}+K_{2}$. Considering simple degree conditions we get all available candidates for $H_{1}$ presented in Figure 2 (continued).
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Figure 2
Case 5. Assume that $c=1$. Then from (2) and (3) $\alpha \geq 8$ and $\beta^{\prime}+\gamma \leq 2$. Moreover, $\beta^{\prime \prime}+\gamma^{\prime}+\delta=0$. If $\beta^{\prime}+\gamma=0$ we get $H \simeq G$ and Figure 4 lists all such graphs (each edge belongs to a triangle). For the opposite case $\beta^{\prime}+\gamma=2$. This follows by 2-connectivity of $G$. Moreover, 2-connectivity of $G$ implies that if a graph $H$ has a cut vertex $x$, then the graph $H-x$ has two connected components. Since $H$ has five triangles, we get $h \leq 11$. Considering $h=6, \ldots, 11$ and keeping the inequalities (2) and (3) we get all available candidates for $H$ presented in Figure 3.

For each case of $c=5,4,3,2$ and 1 (if $H \nsucceq G$ ) each required 2-connected graph $G$ is obtained from $H$ by adding paths in such a way that exactly two vertices of each connected component of $H$ are incident to an edge outside $H$. Looking at the graphs $H$ and Lemma 2 we get all information on $G$ presented in Table 1. The last column of Table 2 lists the graphs $X_{i}$ that are $\chi$-equivalent to respective graphs $G$. The column NB denotes a consecutive number of a graph $H$ or $H_{1}$ for each respective group. This completes the proof.
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Figure 4
Immediately from the proof of Theorem 3 and Lemma 2 we get the following three results.

Corollary 4. Each $X_{i}(n)$ for $9 \leq i \leq 13$ and $n \geq 8$ is a $\chi$-unique graph of order $n$.

The new chromatically unique graphs of order $n$ are the graphs $X_{i}(n)$ for $i=12,13$ (see Figure 1) for each $n \geq 8$.

Corollary 5. Each $\chi$-equivalence class containing graphs $X_{14}(n), X_{15}(n)$ for $n \geq 8$ has exactly these two nonisomorphic elements.

Corollary 6. Each $\chi$-equivalence class containing a graph $X_{7}(n), n \geq 7$, consists of all graphs defined in the conjecture of Li and Whitehead [5].

Table 2

| $c=$ | $h=$ or $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | NB | $X_{i}, \quad i=$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 15 | 1 | 0 |
| 4 | 13 | 1 | 0, 1 |
|  | 14 | 2 | 0 |
| 3 | 11 | 1 | 0,1 |
|  | 11 | 2 | 0, 1, 2 |
|  | 12 | 3 | 0,1 |
|  | 12 | 4 | 0,1 |
|  | 13 | 5 | 0 |
|  | 11 | 6 | 0, 1, 3 |
|  | 12 | 7 | 0,1 |
|  | 13 | 8 | 0, 1 |
| 2 | $K_{3}$ | 1 | 5,6 |
|  |  | 2 | 0, 1, 2, 4 |
|  |  | 3 | 0, 1, 2 |
|  |  | 4 | 0, 1,2 |
|  |  | 5 | 0, 1, 2, 8 |
|  |  | 6 | 0 |
|  |  | 7 | 0, 1, 2 |
|  |  | 8 | 0, 1 |
|  |  | 9 | 0, 1 |
|  |  | 10 | 0 |
|  |  | 11 | 0,1 |
|  |  | 12 | 0,1 |
|  |  | 13 | 0 |
|  |  | 14 | 0, 1, 3 |
|  |  | 15 | 1 |
|  |  | 16 | 0 |
|  |  | 17 | 0 |
|  |  | 18 | 0 |
|  |  | 19 | 0, 1 |
|  |  | 20 | 0 |
|  | $K_{1}+2 K_{2}$ | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | 2 | 0, 1, 2 |
|  |  | 3 | 0, 1 |
|  |  | 4 | 0 |
|  |  | 5 | 0 |
|  | $2 K_{1}+K_{2}$ | 1 | 0,1 |
|  |  | 2 | 0, 1, 2, 3, 7 |
|  |  | 3 | 0, 1, 3 |
|  |  | 4 | 0,3 |
|  |  | 5 | 0,1 |
| 1 | 6 | 1 | 5, 6, 12, 14 |
|  |  | 2 | 5, 6, 13, 14 |
|  | 7 | 1 | 0, 1, 2, 4, 9 |
|  |  | 2 | 0, 1, 2, 4 |
|  |  | 3 | 0, 1, 2, 4 |
|  |  | 4 | 0, 1, 2 |
|  |  | 5 | 0, 1, 2 |
|  |  | 6 | 0,1 |
|  |  | 7 | 5 |
|  |  | 8 | 5, 6 |
|  |  | 9 | 0, 1, 2, 4, 8 |
|  |  | 10 | $0,1,2,4,8,11$ |
|  |  | 11 | 0,1,2 |
|  |  | 12 | 0,1,2,8 |
|  |  | 13 | 0,2,2,8 |
|  |  | 14 | 0, 1, 2, 8, 10 |


| $c=$ | $h=$ or $H_{2}$ | NB | $X_{i}, \quad i=$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 8 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | 2 | 0 |
|  |  | 3 | 0 |
|  |  | 4 | 0 |
|  |  | 5 | 0 |
|  |  | 6 | 0, 1 |
|  |  | 7 | 0, 1 |
|  |  | 8 | 0,1 |
|  |  | 9 | 0,1 |
|  |  | 10 | 0, 1 |
|  |  | 11 | 0, 1 |
|  |  | 12 | 0, 1, 2 |
|  |  | 13 | 0, 1 |
|  |  | 14 | $0,1,2,4$ |
|  |  | 15 | 0, 1, 2 |
|  |  | 16 | 0, 1, 2 |
|  |  | 17 | 0, 1, 2 |
|  |  | 18 | 0, 1, 2 |
|  |  | 19 | 0, 1, 3 |
|  |  | 20 | 0, 1, 2 |
|  |  | 21 | 0,1 |
|  |  | 22 | 0, 1 |
|  |  | 23 | $0,1,2,8$ |
|  |  | 24 | 0, 1, 2 |
|  |  | 25 | 0, 1, 2, 3, 7 |
|  |  | 26 | 0, 1, 3 |
|  | 9 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | 2 | 0 |
|  |  | 3 | 0 |
|  |  | 4 | 0 |
|  |  | 5 | 0 |
|  |  | 6 | 0 |
|  |  | 7 | 0 |
|  |  | 8 | 0 |
|  |  | 9 | 0, 1 |
|  |  | 10 | 0 |
|  |  | 11 | 1 |
|  |  | 12 | 0 |
|  |  | 13 | 0 |
|  |  | 14 | 1 |
|  |  | 15 | 0, 1 |
|  |  | 16 | 0, 1 |
|  |  | 17 | 0, 1 |
|  |  | 18 | 0 |
|  |  | 19 | 0 |
|  |  | 20 | 2 |
|  |  | 21 | 2 |
|  |  | 22 | 1 |
|  |  | 23 | 0,1 |
|  |  | 24 | 0, 1, 3 |
|  |  | 25 | 0, 1, 3 |
|  | 10 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | 2 | 0 |
|  |  | 3 | 0 |
|  |  | 4 | 0 |
|  |  | 5 | 0, 1 |
|  |  | 6 | 1 |
|  | 11 | 1 | 0 |
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