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#### Abstract

Strongly perfect graphs were introduced by C. Berge and P. Duchet in [1]. In [4], [3] the following was studied: the problem of strong perfectness for the Cartesian product, the tensor product, the symmetrical difference of $n, n \geq 2$, graphs and for the generalized Cartesian product of graphs. Co-strong perfectness was first studied by G. Ravindra and D. Basavayya [5]. In this paper we discuss strong perfectness and costrong perfectness for the generalized composition (the lexicographic product) of graphs named as the $X$-join of graphs.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $G$ be a finite undirected connected simple graph. By $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ we denote its vertex set and edge set, respectively. The notation $H=$ $<V_{0}>_{G}, V_{0} \subseteq V(G)$ means that $H$ is the subgraph of $G$ induced by $V_{0}$. A subset $S \subset V(G)$ is said to be stable in $G$ if no two distinct vertices of $S$ are adjacent in $G$. A subset $Q \subseteq V(G)$ is a clique of $G$ if $<Q>{ }_{G}$ is a complete subgraph of $G$. If the stable set $S$ meets every maximal (with respect to the set inclusion) clique $Q$, then we will call it a stable
transversal of $G$. A graph $G$ is called strongly perfect ([1]) if its every induced subgraph (including $G$ itself) has a stable transversal. We call $G$ co-strongly perfect ([5]) if $G$ and the complementary graph $\bar{G}$ to $G$ are strongly perfect. Let $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{n}, n \geq 2$, be graphs of the same order $m \geq 2$ with the vertex sets $V\left(G_{i}\right)=V=\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right\}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$ and $X$ be a graph such that $V(X)=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$. The $X$-join ([2]) of the sequence of graphs $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{n}$ and the graph $X$ is the graph $X\left[G_{1}, \ldots, G_{n}\right]$ with the vertex set $V(X) \times V$ and the edge set $\left\{\left[\left(x_{j}, y_{p}\right),\left(x_{k}, y_{q}\right)\right]: j=k\right.$ and $\left[y_{p}, y_{q}\right] \in E\left(G_{i}\right)$ or $\left.\left[x_{j}, x_{k}\right] \in E(X)\right\}$.

Observe that if $G_{1}=G_{2}=\ldots=G_{n}=Y$, then we obtain the composition (the lexicographic product) of graphs $Y$ and $X$ denoted by $X[Y]$.

Let $V_{0} \subseteq V(X) \times V$. By the projection $\operatorname{Pr}_{X} V_{0}$ of the subset $V_{0}$ on the graph $X$ we mean the set $\operatorname{Pr}_{X} V_{0}=\left\{x \in V(X)\right.$ : there exists $y \in V\left(G_{i}\right)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, that $\left.(x, y) \in V_{0}\right\}$.

## 2. Results

Put $G=X\left[G_{1}, \ldots, G_{n}\right]$, for convenience. Let $H$ be a connected induced subgraph of $G$ such that it is not isomorphic to any induced subgraph $H^{\prime}$ of the graph $X$ or $G_{i}$, for $i=1, \ldots n$.

Let $\operatorname{Pr}_{X} V(H)=\left\{x_{i 1}, \ldots, x_{i p}\right\}, \quad 2 \leq p \leq n$.
We partition the set $V(H)$ on $p$-disjoint sets $V_{i j}(H)$ such that $\operatorname{Pr}_{X} V_{i j}(H)=\left\{x_{i j}\right\}$ for $j=1, \ldots, p$. For an arbitrary subset $R \subseteq V(H)$, in a natural way we can write $R=\bigcup_{j=1}^{t} R \cap V_{i j}(H)$, where $1 \leq t \leq p$.

For $G$ and $H$ given above it follows immediately.
Lemma 1. If $Q$ is a maximal clique of $H$, then $\operatorname{Pr}_{X} Q$ is a maximal clique of $\left\langle P r_{X} V(H)\right\rangle$.

Lemma 2. A subset $Q \subseteq V(H)$ is a maximal clique of $H$ if and only if
(1) $Q \cap V_{i j}(H)$ is a maximal clique of $<V_{i j}(H)>$ for $j=1, \ldots, p$ or $Q \cap V_{i j}(H)=\emptyset$ and
(2) $\operatorname{Pr}_{X} Q$ is a maximal clique of $\left\langle\operatorname{Pr}_{X} V(H)>\right.$.

Proof. I. Assume that $Q$ is a maximal clique of $H$. We can write $Q=$ $\bigcup_{j=1}^{t} Q \cap V_{i j}(H)$ where $1 \leq t \leq p$ with $Q \cap V_{i j}(H) \neq \emptyset$ for each $j=1, \ldots, t$. Moreover, each of the sets $Q \cap V_{i j}(H)$ must be a clique of $\left\langle V_{i j}(H)\right\rangle$. Suppose there exists $j, 1 \leq j \leq t$ such that $Q \cap V_{i j}(H)$, is not maximal. In consequence, there exists a vertex $\left(x_{i j}, y_{r}\right) \in V_{i j}(H) \backslash Q \cap V_{i j}(H), 1 \leq j \leq t$
(of course $\left(x_{i j}, y_{r}\right) \notin Q$ ) which is adjacent to each vertex from $Q \cap V_{i j}(H)$. Moreover, by the definition of $G$ and from the fact that $Q \cap V_{i j}(H) \subset Q$ it follows that ( $x_{i j}, y_{r}$ ) must be adjacent to each vertex from $Q \backslash Q \cap V_{i j}(H)$. In consequence, $\left(x_{i j}, y_{r}\right)$ is adjacent to all vertices from $Q$ and $\left(x_{i j}, y_{r}\right) \notin Q$, a contradiction with the assumption that $Q$ is a maximal clique of $H$. This shows that the condition in (1) holds.

Condition (2) follows from Lemma 1.
II. Suppose that conditions (1) and (2) hold. We can write $Q=\bigcup_{j=1}^{t} Q \cap$ $V_{i j}(H), 1 \leq t \leq p$. Note that $|Q|>1$, by the asumption about $H$. Firstly, we shall show that $Q$ is a clique of $H$. Let $\left(x_{i j}, y_{r}\right),\left(x_{i k}, y_{s}\right)$ be two distinct vertices from $Q$. If $j=k$, then they belong to $Q \cap V_{i j}(H)$ and are adjacent by (1). If $j \neq k$, then $x_{i j}, x_{i k} \in \operatorname{Pr}_{X} Q$ and by (2) they are adjacent in $X$. Thus, by the definition of $G$ the vertices $\left(x_{i j}, y_{r}\right),\left(x_{i k}, y_{s}\right)$ are adjacent in $G$. This proves that $Q$ is a clique of $H$.

Assume that $Q$ is not maximal. This means that there exists $\left(x_{i l}, y_{r}\right) \notin Q$ but it is adjacent to each vertex from $Q$. Moreover, by the definition of $G$, the vertex $x_{i l}$ is adjacent to all vertices from $\operatorname{Pr}_{X} Q$. This implies that $x_{i l} \in$ $\operatorname{Pr}_{X} Q$ by (2). In consequence, it must be that $\left(x_{i l}, y_{r}\right) \in V_{i l}(H) \backslash Q \cap V_{i l}(H)$ (evidently ( $\left.x_{i l}, y_{r}\right) \notin Q \cap V_{i l}(H)$ ). Since $Q \cap V_{i l}(H) \subset Q$ and ( $x_{i l}, y_{r}$ ) is adjacent to each vertex from $Q$, then it is adjacent to each vertex from $Q \cap V_{i l}(H)$. Hence by (1) it must be that $\left(x_{i l}, y_{r}\right) \in Q \cap V_{i l}(H)$, a contradiction. Hence, $Q$ is a maximal clique of $H$ and this complets the proof of the lemma.

Using the same method as in the proof of Lemma 2 we prove.

Lemma 3. A subset $S \subset V(H)$ is a maximal stable set of $H$ if and only if
(1) $S \cap V_{i j}(H)$ is a maximal stable set of $<V_{i j}(H)>$ for $j=1, \ldots, s$ or $S \cap V_{i j}(H)=\emptyset$ and
(2) $\operatorname{Pr}_{X} S$ is a maximal stable set of $\left\langle\operatorname{Pr}_{X} V(H)\right\rangle$.

Lemma 4 follows directly from the definition of the graph $X\left[G_{1}, \ldots, G_{n}\right]$.
Lemma 4. $\bar{X}\left[G_{1}, \ldots, G_{n}\right]=\bar{X}\left[\overline{G_{1}}, \ldots, \overline{G_{n}}\right]$.

Theorem 1. $X\left[G_{1}, \ldots, G_{n}\right]$ is strongly perfect if and only if the graphs $X, G_{1}, \ldots, G_{n}$ are strongly perfect.

Proof. I. Let $X\left[G_{1}, \ldots, G_{n}\right]$ be strongly perfect. Then $X, G_{1}, \ldots, G_{n}$ are strongly perfect since they are isomorphic to some induced subgraphs of $G$.
II. Suppose that the graphs $X, G_{1}, \ldots, G_{n}$ are strongly perfect. We shall show that $G$ is strongly perfect. Let $H$ be a connected induced subgraph of $G$. We shall prove that $H$ has a stable transversal.

If $H$ is an induced subgraph of $X$ or $G_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$, then $H$ has a stable transversal, by the asumption that $X, G_{1}, \ldots, G_{n}$ are strongly perfect.

Let $H$ be not induced subgraph of $X, G_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$. Assume that $H$ does not have a stable transversal, i.e., for every maximal stable set $S \subseteq V(H)$ there exists a maximal clique $Q \subseteq V(H)$ such that $S \cap Q=\emptyset$. Moreover, by the definition of $G$ and Lemmas 2, 3 we have that for every maximal stable set $\operatorname{Pr}_{X} S$ of $<\operatorname{Pr}_{X} V(H)>$ there exists a maximal clique $\operatorname{Pr}_{X} Q$ of $<\operatorname{Pr}_{X} V(H)>$ such that $\operatorname{Pr}_{X} S \cap \operatorname{Pr}_{X} Q=\emptyset$. This is a contradiction, since $<\operatorname{Pr}_{X} V(H)>$ has a stable transversal.

This proves that $X\left[G_{1}, \ldots, G_{n}\right]$ is strongly perfect and the proof is complete.

For $G_{1}=G_{2}=\ldots=G_{n}=Y$ we obtain
Corollary 1. The composition $X[Y]$ of graphs $X$ and $Y$ is strongly perfect if and only if both $X$ and $Y$ are strongly perfect.
Using Lemma 4 and Theorem 1 we obtain
Corollary 2. $\overline{X\left[G_{1}, \ldots, G_{n}\right]}$ is strongly perfect if and only if the graphs $\bar{X}, \overline{G_{1}}, \ldots, \overline{G_{n}}$ are strongly perfect.
In consequence, it follows immediately
Theorem 2. $X\left[G_{1}, \ldots, G_{n}\right]$ is co-strongly perfect if and only if the graphs $X, G_{1}, \ldots, G_{n}$ are co-strongly perfect.
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