

AN IMPROVED UPPER BOUND ON NEIGHBOR EXPANDED SUM DISTINGUISHING INDEX

BOJAN VUČKOVIĆ

*Mathematical Institute, Serbian Academy of Science and Arts,
Kneza Mihaila 36 (P.O. Box 367), 11001 Belgrade, Serbia*

e-mail: b.vuckovic@turing.mi.sanu.ac.rs

Abstract

A total k -weighting f of a graph G is an assignment of integers from the set $\{1, \dots, k\}$ to the vertices and edges of G . We say that f is neighbor expanded sum distinguishing, or NESD for short, if $\sum_{w \in N(v)} (f(vw) + f(w))$ differs from $\sum_{w \in N(u)} (f(uw) + f(w))$ for every two adjacent vertices v and u of G . The neighbor expanded sum distinguishing index of G , denoted by $\text{egndi}_{\Sigma}(G)$, is the minimum positive integer k for which there exists an NESD weighting of G . An NESD weighting was introduced and investigated by Flandrin *et al.* (2017), where they conjectured that $\text{egndi}_{\Sigma}(G) \leq 2$ for any graph G . They examined some special classes of graphs, while proving that $\text{egndi}_{\Sigma}(G) \leq \chi(G) + 1$. We improve this bound and show that $\text{egndi}_{\Sigma}(G) \leq 3$ for any graph G . We also show that the conjecture holds for all bipartite, 3-regular and 4-regular graphs.

Keywords: general edge coloring, total coloring, neighbor sum distinguishing index.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C15.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Chartrand and P. Zhang, *Chromatic Graph Theory* (Boca Raton: Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2009).
- [2] E. Flandrin, H. Li, A. Marczyk, J.-F. Sacle and M. Woźniak, *A note on neighbor expanded sum distinguishing index*, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory **37** (2017) 29–37. doi:10.7151/dmgt.1909
- [3] M. Karoński, T. Łuczak and A. Thomason, *Edge weights and vertex colours*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **91** (2004) 151–157. doi:10.1016/j.jctb.2003.12.001

- [4] M. Kalkowski, M. Karoński and F. Pfender, *Vertex-coloring edge-weightings: Towards the 1-2-3-conjecture*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **100** (2010) 347–349.
doi:10.1016/j.jctb.2009.06.002
- [5] R.L. Brooks, *On coloring the nodes of a network*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **37** (1941) 194–197.
doi:10.1017/S030500410002168X

Received 16 February 2017

Revised 19 March 2018

Accepted 19 March 2018