Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory 36 (2016) 1043–1050 doi:10.7151/dmgt.1895

Full PDF DMGT Page

A NOTE ON NON-DOMINATING SET PARTITIONS IN GRAPHS

WYATT J. DESORMEAUX^{1,a}, TERESA W. HAYNES^{1,a,b}

AND

MICHAEL A. HENNING^{2,a}

^aDepartment of Mathematics University of Johannesburg Auckland Park, 2006 South Africa

^bDepartment of Mathematics and Statistics East Tennessee State University Johnson City, TN 37614-0002 USA

e-mail: wjdesormeaux@gmail.com haynes@etsu.edu mahenning@uj.ac.za

Abstract

A set S of vertices of a graph G is a dominating set if every vertex not in S is adjacent to a vertex of S and is a total dominating set if every vertex of G is adjacent to a vertex of S. The cardinality of a minimum dominating (total dominating) set of G is called the domination (total domination) number. A set that does not dominate (totally dominate) G is called a nondominating (non-total dominating) set of G. A partition of the vertices of Ginto non-dominating (non-total dominating) sets is a non-dominating (nontotal dominating) set partition. We show that the minimum number of sets in a non-dominating set partition of a graph G equals the total domination number of its complement \overline{G} and the minimum number of sets in a non-total dominating set partition of G equals the domination number of \overline{G} . This perspective yields new upper bounds on the domination and total domination numbers. We motivate the study of these concepts with a social network application.

Keywords: domination, total domination, non-dominating partition, non-total dominating partition.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C69.

¹Research supported in part by the University of Johannesburg.

 $^{^2 {\}rm Research}$ supported in part by the University of Johannesburg and the South African National Research Foundation.

References

- B. Bollobás and E.J. Cockayne, Graph-theoretic parameters concerning domination, independence, and irredundance, J. Graph Theory 3 (1979) 241–249. doi:10.1002/jgt.3190030306
- [2] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi and P.J. Slater, Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs (Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York, 1998).
- [3] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi and P.J. Slater, Domination in Graphs: Advanced Topics (Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York, 1998).
- [4] P. Heggernes and J.A. Telle, Partitioning graphs into generalized dominating sets, Nordic J. Comput. 5 (1998) 128–142.
- [5] M.A. Henning, Trees with large total domination number, Util. Math. 60 (2001) 99–106.
- M.A. Henning, C. Löwenstein and D. Rautenbach, *Remarks about disjoint dominat*ing sets, Discrete Math. **309** (2009) 6451–6458.
 doi:10.1016/j.disc.2009.06.017
- [7] M.A. Henning and A. Yeo, Total Domination in Graphs (Springer Monographs in Mathematics) (Springer-Verlag, New York, 2013).
- [8] C. Löwenstein and D. Rautenbach, Pairs of disjoint dominating sets in connected cubic graphs, Graphs Combin. 28 (2012) 407–421. doi:10.1007/s00373-011-1050-1
- F. Uriel, M.M. Halldórsson, G. Kortsarz and A. Srinivasan, Approximating the domatic number, SIAM J. Comput. 32 (2002) 172–195. doi:10.1137/S0097539700380754
- B. Zelinka, Total domatic number and degrees of vertices of a graph, Math. Slovaca 39 (1989) 7–11.
- [11] B. Zelinka, Domatic numbers of graphs and their variants: A survey, in: Domination in Graphs: Advanced Topics, T.W. Haynes et al. (Ed(s)), (Marcel Dekker, New York, 1989) 351–377.

Received 12 August 2015 Revised 23 January 2016 Accepted 26 January 2016