Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory 35 (2015) 641–650 doi:10.7151/dmgt.1823 Full PDF

DMGT Page

UPPER BOUNDS ON THE SIGNED TOTAL (k, k)-DOMATIC NUMBER OF GRAPHS

Lutz Volkmann

Lehrstuhl II für Mathematik RWTH Aachen University 52056 Aachen, Germany

e-mail: volkm@math2.rwth-aachen.de

Abstract

Let G be a graph with vertex set V(G), and let $f:V(G) \longrightarrow \{-1,1\}$ be a two-valued function. If $k \geq 1$ is an integer and $\sum_{x \in N(v)} f(x) \geq k$ for each $v \in V(G)$, where N(v) is the neighborhood of v, then f is a signed total k-dominating function on G. A set $\{f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_d\}$ of distinct signed total k-dominating functions on G with the property that $\sum_{i=1}^d f_i(x) \leq k$ for each $x \in V(G)$, is called a signed total (k, k)-dominating family (of functions) on G. The maximum number of functions in a signed total (k, k)-dominating family on G is the signed total (k, k)-domatic number of G.

In this article we mainly present upper bounds on the signed total (k, k)-domatic number, in particular for regular graphs.

Keywords: signed total (k, k)-domatic number, signed total k-dominating function, signed total k-domination number, regular graphs.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C69.

References

- [1] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi and P.J. Slater, Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs (Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1998).
- [2] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi and P.J. Slater, Ed(s), Domination in Graphs, Advanced Topics (Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1998).
- [3] M.A. Henning, Signed total domination in graphs, Discrete Math. 278 (2004) 109–125.
 doi:10.1016/j.disc.2003.06.002
- [4] M.A. Henning, On the signed total domatic number of a graph, Ars Combin. 79 (2006) 277–288.

- [5] S.M. Sheikholeslami and L. Volkmann, Signed total (k,k)-domatic number of a graph, AKCE Int. H. J. Graphs Comb. 7 (2010) 189–199.
- [6] C. Wang, The signed k-domination numbers in graphs, Ars Combin. 106 (2012) 205–211.
- [7] B. Zelinka, Signed total domination number of a graph, Czechoslovak. Math. J. 51 (2001) 225–229.
 doi:10.1023/A:1013782511179

Received 20 August 2013 Revised 15 April 2014 Accepted 19 January 2015