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#### Abstract

Given a simple directed graph $D=(V, A)$, let the size of the largest induced acyclic tournament be denoted by $\operatorname{mat}(D)$. Let $D \in \mathcal{D}(n, p)$ (with $p=p(n)$ ) be a random instance, obtained by randomly orienting each edge of a random graph drawn from $\mathcal{G}(n, 2 p)$. We show that $\operatorname{mat}(D)$ is asymptotically almost surely (a.a.s.) one of only 2 possible values, namely either $b^{*}$ or $b^{*}+1$, where $b^{*}=\left\lfloor 2\left(\log _{r} n\right)+0.5\right\rfloor$ and $r=p^{-1}$.

It is also shown that if, asymptotically, $2\left(\log _{r} n\right)+1$ is not within a distance of $w(n) /(\ln n)$ (for any sufficiently slow $w(n) \rightarrow \infty)$ from an integer, then $\operatorname{mat}(D)$ is $\left\lfloor 2\left(\log _{r} n\right)+1\right\rfloor$ a.a.s. As a consequence, it is shown that $\operatorname{mat}(D)$ is 1-point concentrated for all $n$ belonging to a subset of positive integers of density 1 if $p$ is independent of $n$. It is also shown that there are functions $p=p(n)$ for which $\operatorname{mat}(D)$ is provably not concentrated in a single value. We also establish thresholds (on $p$ ) for the existence of induced acyclic tournaments of size $i$ which are sharp for $i=i(n) \rightarrow \infty$.

We also analyze a polynomial time heuristic and show that it produces a solution whose size is at least $\log _{r} n+\Theta\left(\sqrt{\log _{r} n}\right)$. Our results are valid as long as $p \geq 1 / n$. All of these results also carry over (with some slight changes) to a related model which allows 2-cycles.
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## 1. Appendix

## 1.1. $\operatorname{mat}(D)$ versus $\omega(G)$

The following lemma relates the probabilities in the two models $\mathcal{D}(n, p)$ and $\mathcal{G}(n, p)$ for having, respectively, tournaments and cliques of specific sizes. Its proof is similar to the proof of an analogous relationship involving $\operatorname{mas}(D)$ and $\alpha(G)$ (maximum size of an independent set in $G$ ) established in [23].

Lemma 1.1. For any positive integer $b$, for a random digraph $D \in \mathcal{D}(n, p)$,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[\operatorname{mat}(D) \geq b] \geq \mathbf{P r}[\omega(G) \geq b]
$$

where $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, p)$.
Proof. Given a linear ordering $\sigma$ of vertices of $D$ and a subset $A$ of size $b$, we say that $D[A]$ is consistent with $\sigma$ if for every $\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j} \in A$ with $i<j, D[A]$ has the $\operatorname{arc}\left(\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j}\right)$.

Let $\tau$ denote an arbitrary but fixed ordering of $V$. Once we fix $\tau$, the spanning subgraph of $D$ formed by arcs of the form $(\tau(i), \tau(j))(i<j)$ is having the same distribution as $\mathcal{G}(n, p)$. Hence, for any $A$, the event of $D[A]$ being consistent with $\tau$ is equivalent to the event of $A$ inducing a clique in $\mathcal{G}(n, p)$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pr}(\operatorname{mat}(D) \geq b) & =\operatorname{Pr}(\exists A,|A|=b, D[A] \text { is an acyclic tournament }) \\
& =\operatorname{Pr}(\exists A,|A|=b, \exists \sigma, D[A] \text { is consistent with } \sigma) \\
& =\operatorname{Pr}(\exists \sigma, \exists A,|A|=b, D[A] \text { is consistent with } \sigma) \\
& \geq \operatorname{Pr}(\exists A,|A|=b, D[A] \text { is consistent with } \tau) \\
& =\operatorname{Pr}(\omega(G) \geq b) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence it is natural that we have a bigger upper bound for $\operatorname{mat}(D)$ than we have for $\omega(G)$.

Note: Recall that we first draw an undirected $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, 2 p)$ and then choose uniformly randomly an orientation of $E(G)$. Hence, for any fixed $A \subseteq V$ of size $b$ with $b=\omega(1)$,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}(D[A] \text { is an acyclic tournament } \mid G[A] \text { induces a clique })=\frac{b!}{\left.2^{(b)} 2\right)}=o(1) .
$$

However, there are so many cliques of size $b$ in $G$ that one of them manages to induce an acyclic tournament.

### 1.2. Proof of Theorem ??

We reduce the NP-complete Maximum Clique problem $\operatorname{MC}(G, k)$ to the $\operatorname{MAT}(D$, $k)$ problem as follows. Given an instance $(G=(V, E), k)$ of the first problem, compute an instance $f(G)=\left(G^{\prime}=(V, A), k\right)$ in polynomial time where

$$
A=\{(u, v): u v \in E, u<v\} .
$$

Clearly, $G^{\prime}$ is a dag and it is easy to see that a set $V^{\prime} \subseteq V$ induces a clique in $G$ if and only if $V^{\prime}$ induces an acyclic tournament in $G^{\prime}$. This establishes that $\operatorname{MAT}(D, k)$ is NP-hard even if $D$ is restricted to be a dag.

The inapproximability of $\operatorname{MAT}(D)$ follows from the following observation. Note that the reduction $G \rightarrow f(G)$ is an $L$-reduction in the sense of [20], since $|f(G)|=|G|$ and $\omega(G)=\operatorname{mat}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. Hence, any inapproximability result on maximum clique in undirected graphs (for example [12, 14]), implies a similar inapproximability for the $\operatorname{MAT}(D)$ problem.

### 1.3. Proof of Claim ??

Order the vertices of $U$ along a Hamilton path $P$ (if any exists) of $H$. An arc $(u, v) \in A$ is a forward arc if $u$ comes before $v$ in $P$ and is a backward arc otherwise. Since $H$ is acyclic, any $\operatorname{arc}(v, u) \in A$ must be a forward arc, since otherwise the segment of $P$ from $u$ to $v$ along with $(v, u)$ forms a cycle in $H$.

Now if there is another Hamilton path $Q$ in $H, Q \neq P$, then walking along $P$, consider the first vertex $a$ where $Q$ differs from $P$. Then in the path $Q, a$ is visited immediately after some vertex $a^{\prime}$ that comes after $a$ in $P$. But this implies that $\left(a^{\prime}, a\right)$ is a backward arc in $H$ contradicting the observation earlier that $H$ has no backward arc.

### 1.4. Remaining cases of Theorem ??

For $1 / w n \leq p<1 / n$,

$$
E[X(n, 4)]=\binom{n}{4} \cdot 4!\cdot p^{\binom{4}{2}} \leq n^{4} p^{6} \leq\left(1 / n^{2}\right)=o(1)
$$

Now, an acyclic tournament of size 2 is simply an edge which a.a.s. exists since:
$\operatorname{Pr}[\operatorname{mat}(D)<2]=\operatorname{Pr}[D$ is the empty graph $]=(1-2 p)^{\binom{n}{2}} \leq e^{-n(n-1) p}=o(1)$,
since $p \geq 1 / w n \geq w / n^{2}$. Hence, when $1 / w n \leq p \leq 1 / n$, $\operatorname{mat}(D) \in\{2,3\}$, a.a.s.
For $w n^{-2} \leq p<1 / w n$,

$$
E[X(n, 3)]=\binom{n}{3} \cdot 3!\cdot p^{\binom{3}{2}} \leq n^{3} p^{3}=o(1) \text { since } n p=o(1)
$$

The proof for $\operatorname{mat}(D) \geq 2$ is the same as in the previous case, since $n^{2} p=$ $\omega(1)$, and hence, at least one arc will exist, a.a.s. So when $w / n^{2} \leq p \leq 1 / w n$, $\operatorname{mat}(D)=2$, a.a.s.

For $\left(w n^{2}\right)^{-1} \leq p \leq w / n^{2}, E[X(n, 3)]=o(1)$, as in the previous case, and so $\operatorname{mat}(D)=1$ or 2 , a.a.s. When $p<\left(w n^{2}\right)^{-1}, \operatorname{mat}(D)=1$ since $D$ a.a.s. has no directed edge.
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